S-3943.1			

SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5216

State of Washington 58th Legislature 2004 Regular Session

By Senate Committee on Children & Family Services & Corrections (originally sponsored by Senators Stevens and Hargrove)

READ FIRST TIME 02/02/04.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to forensic competency and sanity examinations; and 2 amending RCW 10.77.060.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- **Sec. 1.** RCW 10.77.060 and 2000 c 74 s 1 are each amended to read 5 as follows:
 - (1)(a) Whenever a defendant has pleaded not guilty by reason of insanity, or there is reason to doubt his or her competency, the court on its own motion or on the motion of any party shall either appoint or request the secretary to designate at least two qualified experts or professional persons, one of whom shall be approved by the prosecuting attorney, to examine and report upon the mental condition of the defendant. The signed order of the court shall serve as authority for the experts to be given access to all records held by any mental health, medical, educational, or correctional facility that relate to the present or past mental, emotional, or physical condition of the defendant. At least one of the experts or professional persons appointed shall be a developmental disabilities professional if the court is advised by any party that the defendant may be developmentally disabled. Upon agreement of the parties, the court may designate one

p. 1 2SSB 5216

expert or professional person to conduct the examination and report on the mental condition of the defendant. For purposes of the examination, the court may order the defendant committed to a hospital or other suitably secure public or private mental health facility for a period of time necessary to complete the examination, but not to exceed fifteen days from the time of admission to the facility. If the defendant is being held in jail or other detention facility, upon agreement of the parties, the court may direct that the examination be conducted at the jail or other detention facility.

- (b) When a defendant is ordered to be committed for inpatient examination under this subsection (1), the court may delay granting bail until the defendant has been evaluated for competency or sanity and appears before the court. Following the evaluation, in determining bail the court shall consider: (i) Recommendations of the expert or professional persons regarding the defendant's competency, sanity, or diminished capacity; (ii) whether the defendant has a recent history of one or more violent acts; (iii) whether the defendant has previously been acquitted by reason of insanity or found incompetent; (iv) whether it is reasonably likely the defendant will fail to appear for a future court hearing; and (v) whether the defendant is a threat to public safety.
- (2) The court may direct that a qualified expert or professional person retained by or appointed for the defendant be permitted to witness the examination authorized by subsection (1) of this section, and that the defendant shall have access to all information obtained by the court appointed experts or professional persons. The defendant's expert or professional person shall have the right to file his or her own report following the guidelines of subsection (3) of this section. If the defendant is indigent, the court shall upon the request of the defendant assist him or her in obtaining an expert or professional person.
 - (3) The report of the examination shall include the following:
 - (a) A description of the nature of the examination;
 - (b) A diagnosis of the mental condition of the defendant;
- 35 (c) If the defendant suffers from a mental disease or defect, or is 36 developmentally disabled, an opinion as to competency;
- 37 (d) If the defendant has indicated his or her intention to rely on

2SSB 5216 p. 2

the defense of insanity pursuant to RCW 10.77.030, an opinion as to the defendant's sanity at the time of the act;

- (e) When directed by the court, an opinion as to the capacity of the defendant to have a particular state of mind which is an element of the offense charged;
- (f) An ((opinion as to whether the defendant should be evaluated by a county designated mental health professional under chapter 71.05 RCW, and an)) opinion as to whether the defendant is a substantial danger to other persons, or presents a substantial likelihood of committing criminal acts jeopardizing public safety or security, unless kept under further control by the court or other persons or institutions:
- (g) If directed by the court because the defendant does not meet the criteria of RCW 10.77.090(1)(d)(i)(A), an opinion as to whether the defendant should be evaluated by a county designated mental health professional under chapter 71.05 RCW.
- 16 (4) The secretary may execute such agreements as appropriate and 17 necessary to implement this section.

--- END ---

p. 3 2SSB 5216