S-4031.6			

SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5708

State of Washington 58th Legislature 2004 Regular Session

By Senate Committee on Children & Family Services & Corrections (originally sponsored by Senators Franklin, Esser, Haugen, Thibaudeau, Kline and Kohl-Welles)

READ FIRST TIME 02/09/04.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to visitation rights for grandparents; amending RCW
- 2 26.10.160; adding a new section to chapter 26.10 RCW; creating a new
- 3 section; repealing RCW 26.09.240; and declaring an emergency.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** The legislature affirms that parents have a
- 6 paramount right to raise their minor children. The legislature also
- 7 recognizes that this paramount right must be considered in conjunction
- 8 with a minor child's interest in maintaining the strong emotional bonds
- 9 with grandparents that the child has developed and relies upon.
- 10 Therefore, the legislature intends to establish internally consistent
- 11 and rigorous standards that must be met for a grandparent to obtain
- 12 visitation with a minor child.
- 13 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 2.** A new section is added to chapter 26.10 RCW
- 14 to read as follows:
- 15 (1) For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:
- 16 (a) "Applicant" means a grandparent who initiates a proceeding
- 17 under this statute.

p. 1 2SSB 5708

- 1 (b) "Contact" includes all court-ordered arrangements by which a 2 grandparent is authorized to interact with a child other than custody, 3 conservatorship, guardianship, or joint or shared custody.
 - (c) "Harm" means that denial of contact results in substantial loss and detriment to the child's physical, psychological, or emotional well-being. The likelihood of harm must be beyond the normal short-term distress a child suffers due to a change in circumstances.
 - (d) "Grandparent" means the parent of the child's parent.
 - (e) "Parent-like relationship" means a very significant relationship between a grandparent and a child in which the grandparent undertook responsibilities and tasks commonly performed by parents and commonly recognized as actions by someone in a parent-like relationship.
 - (f) "Substantially interfered" means to have unreasonably and greatly diminished the amount and quality of contact a grandparent has had with the child. A reasonable reduction in the frequency or length of contact previously enjoyed with the child is not a substantial interference.
- 19 (2)(a) A grandparent may petition the court for visitation one time 20 only, either:
 - (i) During a pending dissolution or pending legal separation;
 - (ii) Within one year of the death of a parent;
- 23 (iii) Within one year of the granting of a dissolution of marriage 24 or legal separation of the parents; or
 - (iv) Within one year of the effective date of this act if the death of a parent or the granting of a dissolution or legal separation of the parents has occurred at any time prior to the effective date of this act.
 - (b) A grandparent may petition the court for visitation, one time only, within one year of the death of a parent regardless of whether or not the grandparent has previously petitioned for visitation under the circumstances listed in (a) of this subsection.
 - (3) A grandparent may initiate a court proceeding for contact with a child by filing a verified application to obtain court-ordered contact when all of the following criteria are satisfied:
- 36 (a) The applicant is a grandparent with a parent-like relationship 37 with the child. To satisfy this criterion, the applicant must show 38 that:

6 7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

2122

25

2627

28

2930

31

32

33

34

1 (i) His or her relationship with the child has been parent-like in 2 nature for a substantial period of time;

- (ii) A parent or custodian of the child consented to or allowed the formation and establishment of the relationship or the relationship was formed as a result of the unavailability or inability of any legal parent to perform caretaking functions; and
 - (iii) His or her relationship with the child is beneficial; and
- (b) A parent or custodian has substantially interfered with the grandparent's relationship with the child and the grandparent has unsuccessfully attempted to resolve any disagreement with the parent or custodian before going to court.
- (4)(a)(i) The court shall treat standing as a threshold issue. The grandparent bears the burden of establishing standing. If the grandparent does not satisfy this burden, the proceeding shall be dismissed.
- (ii) Upon a finding that the grandparent has standing, the grandparent shall come forward with evidence to show that the child would very likely suffer harm if contact were not awarded. If the grandparent presents evidence that could allow a reasonable fact-finder to conclude that the child would very likely suffer harm, the parent or custodian must present evidence that the decision to refuse contact is reasonable and in the best interests of the child.
- (b) The court shall order contact if it finds that the grandparent has satisfied the burden of showing by clear and convincing evidence that:
- (i) The child would very likely suffer harm if contact is not awarded; and
- (ii) The parent's or custodian's denial of contact was unreasonable and not in the child's best interests.
- (c) Any contact ordered by the court shall be subject to the limitations set forth in RCW 26.10.160. Grandparents petitioning for visitation are not presumptively entitled to reasonable visitation under RCW 26.10.160. Visitation shall be limited if a parent or custodian presents evidence that could allow a reasonable fact-finder to conclude that the grandparent or grandparents seeking visitation have engaged in any of the conduct under RCW 26.10.160(2)(a).
- (5) If the court dismisses the proceeding for lack of standing, the court shall award reasonable and necessary costs and fees to the

p. 3 2SSB 5708

- 1 prevailing party unless there is a compelling reason to do otherwise.
- 2 In all other cases, the court may award such costs and fees as it deems
- 3 appropriate.

8

9

11

12

13

1415

16

17

27

28

- 4 **Sec. 3.** RCW 26.10.160 and 1996 c 303 s 2 are each amended to read 5 as follows:
 - (1) A parent not granted custody of the child is entitled to reasonable visitation rights except as provided in subsection (2) of this section.
 - (2)(a) Visitation with the child shall be limited if it is found that the parent seeking visitation has engaged in any of the following conduct: (i) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended period of time or substantial refusal to perform parenting functions; (ii) physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; (iii) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or an assault or sexual assault which causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm; or (iv) the parent has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense under:
- 18 (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of this subsection;
- 21 (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between the 22 offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of 23 this subsection;
- (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of this subsection;
 - (D) RCW 9A.44.089;
 - (E) RCW 9A.44.093;
- 29 (F) RCW 9A.44.096;
- 30 (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age 31 between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists 32 under (d) of this subsection;
 - (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
- 34 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed 35 in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of this subsection;
- 36 (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an

offense analogous to the offenses listed in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of this subsection.

This subsection (2)(a) shall not apply when (c) or (d) of this subsection applies.

- (b) The parent's visitation with the child shall be limited if it is found that the parent resides with a person who has engaged in any of the following conduct: (i) Physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; (ii) a history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or an assault or sexual assault that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm; or (iii) the person has been convicted as an adult or as a juvenile has been adjudicated of a sex offense under:
- 13 (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
- 16 (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
- 19 (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
- 22 (D) RCW 9A.44.089;
- 23 (E) RCW 9A.44.093;

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

2425

2627

- (F) RCW 9A.44.096;
- (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
- 28 (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
- 29 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed 30 in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of this subsection;
- 31 (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an 32 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of 33 this subsection.
- 34 This subsection (2)(b) shall not apply when (c) or (e) of this subsection applies.
- 36 (c) If a parent has been found to be a sexual predator under 37 chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other 38 jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a

p. 5 2SSB 5708

- child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter. If a parent resides with an adult or a juvenile who has been found to be a sexual predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's child except contact that occurs outside that person's presence.
 - (d) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection poses a present danger to a child. Unless the parent rebuts this presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter:
- 13 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted 14 was at least five years older than the other person;
 - (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;

8

10

11 12

15

2930

31

32

33

34

35

- 16 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
- 18 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at least 19 eight years older than the victim;
- 20 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;
- 21 (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least 22 eight years older than the victim;
- 23 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;
- (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;
- 26 (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an 27 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of 28 this subsection.
 - (e) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who resides with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted, or as a juvenile has been adjudicated, of the sex offenses listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection places a child at risk of abuse or harm when that parent exercises visitation in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person. Unless the parent rebuts the presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's child except for contact that occurs outside of the convicted or adjudicated person's presence:

- 1 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted 2 was at least five years older than the other person;
 - (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

3031

32

33

34

35

3637

- 4 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at least eight years older than the victim;
- 6 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at least 7 eight years older than the victim;
- 8 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;
- 9 (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least 10 eight years older than the victim;
- 11 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;
- 12 (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses 13 listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;
- 14 (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an 15 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of 16 this subsection.
 - (f) The presumption established in (d) of this subsection may be rebutted only after a written finding that:
 - (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, and (B) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or
 - (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the child and the offending parent is in the child's best interest, and (C) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.
 - (g) The presumption established in (e) of this subsection may be rebutted only after a written finding that:

p. 7 2SSB 5708

(i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and that parent is able to protect the child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person, and (B) the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or

- (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the parent in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is in the child's best interest, and (C) the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes contact between the parent and child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.
- (h) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (f) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have visitation with the child supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such visitation. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
- (i) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may

2SSB 5708 p. 8

allow a parent residing with a person who has been adjudicated as a juvenile of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have visitation with the child in the presence of the person adjudicated as a juvenile, supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such visitation. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.

- (j) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have visitation with the child in the presence of the convicted person supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such visitation. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
- (k) A court shall not order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child of the offending parent who was sexually abused by that parent. A court may order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child who was not sexually abused by the parent after the presumption under (d) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised visitation has occurred for at least two years with no further arrests or convictions of sex offenses involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW and (i) the sex offense of the offending parent was not committed against a child of the offending parent, and (ii) the court finds that unsupervised contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor,

p. 9 2SSB 5708

or social worker with expertise in treating child sexual abuse victims 1 2 who has supervised at least one period of visitation between the parent and the child, and after consideration of evidence of the offending 3 parent's compliance with community supervision requirements, if any. 4 5 If the offending parent was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the parent 6 shall obtain a 7 psychosexual evaluation conducted by a state-certified sex offender treatment provider indicating that the offender has the lowest 8 likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised 9 contact between the parent and a child. 10

(1) A court may order unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur in the presence of a juvenile adjudicated of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection who resides with the parent after the presumption under (e) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised visitation has occurred for at least two years during which time the adjudicated juvenile has had no further arrests, adjudications, or convictions of sex offenses involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW, and (i) the court finds that unsupervised contact between the child and the parent that may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker with expertise in treatment of child sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one period of visitation between the parent and the child in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile, and after consideration of evidence of the adjudicated juvenile's compliance with community supervision or parole requirements, if any. If the adjudicated juvenile was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the adjudicated juvenile shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted by a state-certified sex offender treatment provider indicating that the adjudicated juvenile has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile who is residing with the parent.

(m)(i) The limitations imposed by the court under (a) or (b) of this subsection shall be reasonably calculated to protect the child from the physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could result

2SSB 5708 p. 10

11

1213

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

29

3031

32

33

34

35

3637

if the child has contact with the parent requesting visitation. If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that limitations on visitation with the child will not adequately protect the child from the harm or abuse that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting visitation, the court shall restrain the person seeking visitation from all contact with the child.

- (ii) The court shall not enter an order under (a) of this subsection allowing a parent to have contact with a child if the parent has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused the child, except upon recommendation by an evaluator or therapist for the child that the child is ready for contact with the parent and will not be harmed by the contact. The court shall not enter an order allowing a parent to have contact with the child in the offender's presence if the parent resides with a person who has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused a child, unless the court finds that the parent accepts that the person engaged in the harmful conduct and the parent is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm from the person.
- (iii) If the court limits visitation under (a) or (b) of this subsection to require supervised contact between the child and the parent, the court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between a child and a parent who has engaged in physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of the child unless the court finds based upon the evidence that the supervisor accepts that the harmful conduct occurred and is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing to or capable of protecting the child.
- (n) If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that contact between the parent and the child will not cause physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm to the child and that the probability that the parent's or other person's harmful or abusive conduct will recur is so remote that it would not be in the child's best interests to apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (iii) of this subsection, or if the court expressly finds that the parent's conduct did not have an impact on the child, then the court

p. 11 2SSB 5708

- need not apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (iii) of this subsection. The weight given to the existence of a protection order issued under chapter 26.50 RCW as to domestic violence is within the discretion of the court. This subsection shall not apply when (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), (l), and (m)(ii) of this subsection apply.
 - (3) ((Any person may petition the court for visitation rights at any time including, but not limited to, custody proceedings. The court may order visitation rights for any person when visitation may serve the best interest of the child whether or not there has been any change of circumstances.
- (4))) The court may modify an order granting or denying visitation rights whenever modification would serve the best interests of the child. Modification of a parent's visitation rights shall be subject to the requirements of subsection (2) of this section.
- 16 $((\frac{5}{}))$ (4) For the purposes of this section, a parent's child means that parent's natural child, adopted child, or stepchild.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. RCW 26.09.240 (Visitation rights--Person other than parent--Grandparents' visitation rights) and 1996 c 177 s 1, 1989 c 375 s 13, 1987 c 460 s 18, 1977 ex.s. c 271 s 1, & 1973 1st ex.s. c 157 s 24 are each repealed.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. This act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety, or support of the state government and its existing public institutions, and takes effect immediately.

--- END ---

7

8

10