S-1503.2
----------

## SENATE BILL 5889

58th Legislature

2003 Regular Session

\_\_\_\_\_

By Senators Swecker and Rasmussen

State of Washington

Read first time 02/18/2003. Referred to Committee on Agriculture.

- AN ACT Relating to animal feeding operations; amending RCW 90.64.030 and 90.64.150; adding a new section to chapter 90.64 RCW; creating new sections; providing an effective date; providing an
- 4 expiration date; and declaring an emergency.
- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 6 NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. A livestock nutrient management program is 7 essential to ensuring a healthy and productive livestock industry in 8 Washington state. The goal of the program must be to provide clear 9 guidance to livestock farms as to their responsibilities under state 10 and federal law to protect water quality while maintaining a healthy business climate for these farms. 11 The program should develop reasonable financial assistance resources, educational and technical 12 13 assistance to meet these responsibilities, and provide for periodic inspection and enforcement actions to ensure compliance with state and 14 15 federal water quality laws. The legislature intends that by 2006, 16 there will be a fully functioning state program for confined animal 17 feeding operations in the state, and that this program will be a single program for all livestock sectors. 18

p. 1 SB 5889

The legislature finds that a livestock nutrient management program is necessary to address the federal rule changes under which all livestock operations must comply. Furthermore, budgetary conditions demand efficient and effective governance. In addition, many of the existing requirements and goals for dairy farms will be completed by December 2003, and revisions are needed.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. (1) A livestock nutrient management program development and oversight committee is created comprised of the following members, appointed as follows:

- (a) The director of the department of agriculture, or the director's designee, who shall serve as committee chair;
- (b) A representative of the United States environmental protection agency, appointed by the regional director of the agency unless the agency chooses not to be represented on the committee;
- (c) A representative of commercial shellfish growers, nominated by an organization representing these growers, appointed by the governor;
- (d) A representative of an environmental interest organization with familiarity and expertise in water quality issues, appointed by the governor;
- (e) A representative of tribal governments as nominated by an organization representing tribal governments, appointed by the governor;
- (f) A representative of Washington State University appointed by the dean of the college of agriculture and home economics;
- (g) Three representatives of dairy producers nominated by a statewide organization representing dairy producers in the state, appointed by the governor;
- (h) Two representatives of beef cattle producers nominated by a statewide organization representing beef cattle producers in the state, appointed by the governor;
- (i) One representative of poultry producers nominated by a statewide organization representing poultry producers in the state, appointed by the governor;
- (j) One representative of the commercial cattle feedlots nominated by a statewide organization representing commercial cattle feedlots in the state, appointed by the governor; and

SB 5889 p. 2

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

2930

31

3233

(k) A representative of any other segment of the livestock industry determined by the director of agriculture to be subject to federal rules regulating animal feeding or confined animal feeding operations.

- (2) The state department of agriculture shall provide staff for the committee. The department of agriculture may request staff assistance be assigned by the United States environmental protection agency to assist the director in staffing the committee.
- (3) The committee shall establish a work plan that includes a list of tasks and a projected completion date for each task.
- (4) The committee may establish a subcommittee for each of the major industry segments that is covered by the recently adopted federal regulations that pertain to animal feeding operations and confined animal feeding operations. The subcommittee shall be composed of selected members of the full committee and additional representatives from that major segment of the livestock industry as determined by the director. The committee shall assign tasks to the subcommittees and shall establish dates for each subcommittee to report back to the full committee.
- (5) The committee shall examine the recently adopted federal regulations that provide for the regulation of animal feeding operations and confined animal feeding operations and develop a program to be administered by the department of agriculture that meets the requirements and time frames contained in the federal rules. Elements that the committee shall evaluate include:
- (a) A process for adopting standards and for developing plans for each operation that meet these standards;
- (b) A process for revising current national pollution discharge elimination system permits currently held by livestock operations and to transition these permits into the new system; and
- (c) In consultation with the director, a determination of what other work is needed and what other institutional relationships are needed or desirable.
- (6) The committee shall review and comment on proposals for grants from the livestock nutrient management account created in RCW 90.64.150.
- 36 (7) The committee shall develop draft proposed legislation that 37 includes:

p. 3 SB 5889

- 1 (a) Statutory changes, including a time line to achieve the phased-2 in levels of regulation under federal law, to comply with the minimum 3 requirements under federal law and the minimum requirements under 4 chapter 90.48 RCW;
  - (b) Statutory changes necessitated by the transfer of functions under chapter 90.64 RCW from the department of ecology to the department of agriculture;
  - (c) Continued inspection of dairy operations at least once every two years;
  - (d) An outreach and education program to inform the various animal feeding operations and confined animal feeding operations of the program's elements; and
    - (e) Annual reporting to the legislature on the progress of the state strategy for implementing the animal feeding operation and confined animal feeding operation.
    - (8) The committee shall provide a report by December 1, 2003, to appropriate committees of the legislature that includes the results of the committee's evaluation under subsection (5) of this section and draft legislation to initiate the program.
- 20 (9) This section expires June 30, 2006.

- **Sec. 3.** RCW 90.64.030 and 2002 c 327 s 1 are each amended to read 22 as follows:
  - (1) Under the inspection program established in RCW 90.64.023, the department may investigate a dairy farm to determine whether the operation is discharging pollutants or has a record of discharging pollutants into surface or ground waters of the state. Upon concluding an investigation, the department shall make a written report of its findings, including the results of any water quality measurements, photographs, or other pertinent information, and provide a copy of the report to the dairy producer within twenty days of the investigation.
  - (2) The department shall investigate a written complaint filed with the department within three working days and shall make a written report of its findings including the results of any water quality measurements, photographs, or other pertinent information. Within twenty days of receiving a written complaint, a copy of the findings shall be provided to the dairy producer subject to the complaint, and

SB 5889 p. 4

to the complainant if the person gave his or her name and address to the department at the time the complaint was filed.

- (3) The department may consider past complaints against the same dairy farm from the same person and the results of its previous inspections, and has the discretion to decide whether to conduct an inspection if:
- (a) The same or a similar complaint or complaints have been filed against the same dairy farm within the immediately preceding six-month period; and
- (b) The department made a determination that the activity that was the subject of the prior complaint was not a violation.
- (4) If the decision of the department is not to conduct an inspection, it shall document the decision and the reasons for the decision within twenty days. The department shall provide the decision to the complainant if the name and address were provided to the department, and to the dairy producer subject to the complaint, and the department shall place the decision in the department's administrative records.
- (5) The report of findings of any inspection conducted as the result of either an oral or a written complaint shall be placed in the department's administrative records. Only findings of violations shall be entered into the data base identified in RCW 90.64.130.
- (6) A dairy farm that is determined to be a significant contributor of pollution based on actual water quality tests, photographs, or other pertinent information is subject to the provisions of this chapter and to the enforcement provisions of chapters 43.05 and 90.48 RCW, including civil penalties levied under RCW 90.48.144.
- (7) If the department determines that an unresolved water quality problem from a dairy farm requires immediate corrective action, the department shall notify the producer and the district in which the problem is located. When corrective actions are required to address such unresolved water quality problems, the department shall provide copies of all final dairy farm inspection reports and documentation of all formal regulatory and enforcement actions taken by the department against that particular dairy farm to the local conservation district and to the appropriate dairy farm within twenty days.
  - (8) For a violation of water quality laws that is a first offense

p. 5 SB 5889

for a dairy producer, the penalty may be waived to allow the producer to come into compliance with water quality laws. The department shall record all legitimate violations and subsequent enforcement actions.

- (9) A discharge, including a storm water discharge, to surface waters of the state shall not be considered a violation of this chapter, chapter 90.48 RCW, or chapter 173-201A WAC, and shall therefore not be enforceable by the department of ecology or a third party, if at the time of the discharge, a violation is not occurring under RCW 90.64.010(18). In addition, a dairy producer shall not be held liable for violations of this chapter, chapter 90.48 RCW, chapter 173-201A WAC, or the federal clean water act due to the discharge of dairy nutrients to waters of the state resulting from spreading these materials on lands other than where the nutrients were generated, when the nutrients are spread by persons other than the dairy producer or the dairy producer's agent.
- (10) As provided under RCW 7.48.305, agricultural activities associated with the management of dairy nutrients are presumed to be reasonable and shall not be found to constitute a nuisance unless the activity has a substantial adverse effect on public health and safety.
- (11) This section specifically acknowledges that if a holder of a general or individual national pollutant discharge elimination system permit complies with the permit and the dairy nutrient management plan conditions for appropriate land application practices, the permit provides compliance with the federal clean water act and acts as a shield against citizen or agency enforcement for any additions of pollutants to waters of the state or of the United States as authorized by the permit.
- (12) A dairy producer who fails to have an approved dairy nutrient management plan by July 1, 2002, or a certified dairy nutrient management plan by December 31, 2003, and for which no appeals have been filed with the pollution control hearings board, is in violation of this chapter. Each month beyond these deadlines that a dairy producer is out of compliance with the requirement for either plan approval or plan certification shall be considered separate violations of chapter 90.64 RCW that may be subject to penalties. Such penalties may not exceed one hundred dollars per month for each violation up to a combined total of five thousand dollars. Penalties for failure to meet deadlines for plan approval or plan certification shall be imposed

SB 5889 p. 6

- 1 <u>at the department's discretion if the failure to comply is due to lack</u>
- 2 <u>of financial resources.</u> Failure to register as required in RCW
- 3 90.64.017 shall subject a dairy producer to a maximum penalty of one
- 4 hundred dollars. Penalties shall be levied by the department.
- 5 **Sec. 4.** RCW 90.64.150 and 1998 c 262 s 15 are each amended to read 6 as follows:
- 7 The ((dairy waste)) livestock nutrient management account is created in the custody of the state treasurer. All receipts from 8 9 monetary penalties levied pursuant to violations of this chapter must 10 be deposited into the account. Expenditures from the account may be 11 used only ((for the commission)) to provide grants ((to local 12 conservation districts for the sole purpose of assisting dairy producers to develop and fully implement dairy nutrient management 13 plans)) for research or education proposals that assist livestock 14 operations to achieve compliance with state and federal water quality 15 laws. The director of agriculture shall accept and prioritize research 16 proposals and education proposals. 17 Only the ((<del>chairman of the</del> commission)) director or the ((chairman's)) director's designee may 18 authorize expenditures from the account. The account is subject to 19 20 allotment procedures under chapter 43.88 RCW, but an appropriation is 21 not required for expenditures.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. A new section is added to chapter 90.64 RCW to read as follows:

24

25

26

27

2829

30

- (1) All powers, duties, and functions of the department of ecology pertaining to chapter 90.64 RCW are transferred to the department of agriculture. All references to the director of ecology or the department of ecology in the Revised Code of Washington shall be construed to mean the director of agriculture or the department of agriculture when referring to the functions transferred in this section.
- 31 (2)(a) All reports, documents, surveys, books, records, files, 32 papers, or written material in the possession of the department of 33 ecology pertaining to the powers, functions, and duties transferred 34 shall be delivered to the custody of the department of agriculture. 35 All cabinets, furniture, office equipment, motor vehicles, and other 36 tangible property employed by the department of ecology in carrying out

p. 7 SB 5889

the powers, functions, and duties transferred shall be made available to the department of agriculture. All funds, credits, or other assets held in connection with the powers, functions, and duties transferred shall be assigned to the department of agriculture.

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

- (b) Any appropriations made to the department of ecology for carrying out the powers, functions, and duties transferred shall, on the effective date of this section, be transferred and credited to the department of agriculture.
- (c) Whenever any question arises as to the transfer of any personnel, funds, books, documents, records, papers, files, equipment, or other tangible property used or held in the exercise of the powers and the performance of the duties and functions transferred, the director of financial management shall make a determination as to the proper allocation and certify the same to the state agencies concerned.
- (3) All rules and all pending business before the department of ecology pertaining to the powers, functions, and duties transferred shall be continued and acted upon by the department of agriculture. All existing contracts and obligations shall remain in full force and shall be performed by the department of agriculture.
- (4) The transfer of the powers, duties, functions, and personnel of the department of ecology shall not affect the validity of any act performed before the effective date of this section.
- (5) If apportionments of budgeted funds are required because of the transfers directed by this section, the director of financial management shall certify the apportionments to the agencies affected, the state auditor, and the state treasurer. Each of these shall make the appropriate transfer and adjustments in funds and appropriation accounts and equipment records in accordance with the certification.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. Sections 2 and 5 of this act are necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety, or support of the state government and its existing public institutions, and take effect July 1, 2003.

--- END ---

SB 5889 p. 8