CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5694

Chapter 245, Laws of 2003

58th Legislature 2003 Regular Session

INTEGRATED PERMIT SYSTEM

EFFECTIVE DATE: 7/27/03

Passed by the Senate April 21, 2003 YEAS 45 NAYS 0

BRAD OWEN

President of the Senate

Passed by the House April 11, 2003 YEAS 89 NAYS 0

FRANK CHOPP

Speaker of the House of Representatives

Approved May 12, 2003.

CERTIFICATE

I, Milton H. Doumit, Jr., Secretary of the Senate of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5694** as passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives on the dates hereon set forth.

MILTON H. DOUMIT JR.

Secretary

FILED

May 12, 2003 - 4:24 p.m.

GARY LOCKE

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State State of Washington

SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5694

AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

Passed Legislature - 2003 Regular Session

State of Washington 58th Legislature 2003 Regular Session

By Senate Committee on Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Senators Swecker, Jacobsen, Horn, Doumit, Haugen and Rasmussen)

READ FIRST TIME 03/10/03.

1 AN ACT Relating to an integrated permit system; creating new 2 sections; and providing an expiration date.

3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. The legislature finds that environmental 4 5 review and permitting, especially as applied to complex or controversial projects, can be characterized by multiple overlapping 6 7 agency authorities, as a result of multiple governing statutes, 8 generally adopted in isolation from one another, whose purposes and 9 requirements may not be integrated and cause correspondingly 10 uncoordinated implementation by administrative agencies. As a result, 11 numerous and differing project descriptions, inconsistent 12 administrative records, unproductive and redundant requirements, delays, and disproportionate costs caused by all of these may impede 13 14 the making of sound and expeditious decisions by agencies and 15 appropriate project changes by permit applicants, contrary to the intent and purpose of environmental review and permitting and the 16 interests of permit applicants and the public. 17

18 A single project may be governed by local, state, federal, and 19 tribal laws. A single project may be subject to all of the following

requirements and others not listed here: (1) Federal section 404 1 2 permit, section 7 consultation, essential fish habitat consultation, section 401 water quality certification, section 402 waste discharge 3 permit, section 402 general permit, section 4(f) parks and recreational 4 5 lands use approval, superfund clean-up requirements, air quality conformity, underground storage tank removal, and coastal б zone 7 management program consistency certification; (2) state storm water pollution control plan approval, hydraulic project approval, aquatic 8 approval, historic archaeological 9 lands use and approval, 10 archaeological excavation and removal permit, state model toxics control act clean-up requirements, asbestos removal, and air quality 11 12 operating permit; and (3) local shoreline substantial development 13 permit, conditional use permit or variance, shoreline design review, 14 critical areas ordinance review, historic district approval, street use permit, demolition permit, grading permit, noise variance, storm water 15 16 and drainage control approval, and utility approval.

The legislature finds that the public, as well as permit applicants, agencies, and affected parties, will benefit from an environmental review and permitting system that integrates and makes easily accessible the requirements and documentation for agency decision making, facilitating timely and effective participation in the process.

23 NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. The legislature intends to proceed in steps 24 to develop and adopt an integrated permit system, working through the office of permit assistance, in cooperation with the department of 25 26 transportation, the transportation permit efficiency and accountability 27 committee, and local, state, federal, and tribal regulatory agencies. When implemented, the integrated permit system would integrate project 28 design, environmental review, permitting, and mitigation elements into 29 30 a single process. Major components of the integrated permit system are 31 a unified project decision support document and a unified project administrative procedure. A unified project decision support document 32 is intended to be a single document proactively developed to support 33 and satisfy all needs for information, analysis, and evaluation; 34 document and justify incremental project decisions; inform the public 35 36 and interested parties; and support integration of project design, 37 environmental review, permitting, and mitigation elements. A unified

project administrative procedure is intended to harmonize, reduce, or 1 2 eliminate duplicative or conflicting procedural requirements for environmental analysis, agency decision making, and public review and 3 A unified project decision support document might 4 comment. be 5 implemented by intergovernmental agreement under existing law. Α unified project administrative procedure may require changes б to 7 existing law.

8 integrated permit system, including the unified project The decision support document and unified project administrative procedure, 9 10 will not modify or change any agency's substantive regulatory authority including that agency's responsibility and authority to issue and 11 condition its specific permit(s). The integrated permit system will 12 13 promote procedural changes which lead to greater efficiency while 14 maintaining environmental and community safequards. In developing new approaches for public involvement, care shall be taken to maintain or 15 16 enhance the quality of public involvement opportunities.

17 The legislature intends by this act to authorize, through a pilot project, development of a guidance document for implementation of a 18 unified project decision 19 support document and development of recommendations for an integrated permit system and for changes to 20 21 law needed for implementation of a unified project existing 22 administrative procedure.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. (1) By December 1, 2005, the office of permit assistance shall develop a guidance document for creating a unified project decision support document for state and federal agencies and local governments that will be sufficient to support all regulatory decision making.

office shall, in consultation with the 28 The department of transportation and the transportation permit efficiency and 29 30 accountability committee, test and, as necessary, revise and add to the 31 "unified permit binder" currently being developed by the department of transportation to provide a standardized outline, checklists, and 32 33 templates for preparation of a single master support document for all 34 regulatory decision making concerning a project. The office shall 35 address regulatory decision-making processes under existing substantive 36 authorities and administrative procedures, applicable existing 37 statutory requirements for environmental review and permitting,

information necessary for decision making, and existing requirements for public and agency involvement and its documentation. The resulting document shall be designed to be a complete, concise, and logically organized guidance document for creating a unified project decision support document for state and federal agencies and local governments. (2) By December 1, 2005, the office shall develop recommendations

7 for an integrated permit system to integrate the procedural aspects of project design, environmental review, permitting, and mitigation; 8 for legislative 9 develop recommendations changes to statutory 10 authorizations and administrative procedures needed to establish the system; and develop detailed recommendations for full-scale testing of 11 12 the system through one or more pilot projects.

The elements of the integrated permit system shall include use of 13 14 a unified project decision support document available on the internet for purposes of public review and comment and for decision making by 15 agencies and local governments with jurisdiction over the project; a 16 17 unified project administrative procedure for regulatory decision making that harmonizes, reduces or eliminates duplicative, or conflicting 18 procedural requirements for environmental analysis, public review and 19 20 comment.

(3) The office shall fulfill the requirements of subsections (1) and (2) of this section using a pilot project of economic development significance, after obtaining agreement to participate in the pilot project from the project proponent and the state agencies and local governments with jurisdiction. As needed, the office may also seek agreement to participate from federal and tribal agencies with jurisdiction.

(4) The office shall submit a report to the standing legislative
committees with jurisdiction by December 1, 2003, and December 1, 2004,
regarding progress on subsections (1) and (2) of this section and by
December 1, 2005, upon completion of subsections (1) and (2) of this
section.

33 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 4. (1) A unified project administrative 34 procedure is the common, integrated process used for the development of 35 a project-specific unified project decision support document.

36 (2) A unified permit binder is the same as a unified project37 decision support document.

1 (3) A unified project decision support document is a single 2 document that contains and integrates all project-specific application, 3 design, environmental review, permitting and mitigation analyses and 4 evaluations needed to support permitting and regulatory decisions.

5 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 5. This act expires December 31, 2005.

6 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 6. If specific funding for the purposes of this 7 act, referencing this act by bill or chapter number, is not provided by 8 June 30, 2003, in the omnibus appropriations act, this act is null and 9 void.

> Passed by the Senate April 21, 2003. Passed by the House April 11, 2003. Approved by the Governor May 12, 2003. Filed in Office of Secretary of State May 12, 2003.