## HOUSE BILL 2906

State of Washington 59th Legislature 2006 Regular Session

By Representatives Bailey, Schindler, Clements, Haler, Alexander, Ahern, Strow, Curtis, Dunn, Talcott, Anderson, Skinner and Holmquist

Read first time 01/16/2006. Referred to Committee on Local Government.

- AN ACT Relating to greater accountability for growth management hearings boards; amending RCW 36.70A.260 and 36.70A.320; and repealing
- 3 RCW 36.70A.340 and 36.70A.345.

7

8

9

1112

13

14

15

16

1718

19

- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 **Sec. 1.** RCW 36.70A.260 and 1994 c 249 s 30 are each amended to 6 read as follows:
  - (1) Each growth management hearings board shall consist of three members qualified by experience or training in matters pertaining to land use ((planning)) and residing within the jurisdictional boundaries of the applicable board. At least one member of each board must be admitted to practice law in this state ((and)), at least one member must have been a city or county elected official, and at least one member must be a real property owner that is subject to critical area regulations. Each board shall be appointed by the governor ((and)) with the advice and consent of the senate prior to serving on the board. Not more than two members at the time of appointment or during their term shall be members of the same political party. No more than two members at the time of appointment or during their term shall reside in the same county.

p. 1 HB 2906

(2) Each member of a board shall be appointed for a term of ((six)) four years and shall be appointed for no more than two consecutive terms. A vacancy shall be filled by appointment by the governor for the unexpired portion of the term in which the vacancy occurs. ((The terms of the first three members of a board shall be staggered so that one member is appointed to serve until July 1, 1994, one member until July 1, 1996, and one member until July 1, 1998.))

- **Sec. 2.** RCW 36.70A.320 and 1997 c 429 s 20 are each amended to 9 read as follows:
  - (1) Except as provided in subsection (((+5))) (6) of this section, comprehensive plans and development regulations, and amendments thereto, adopted under this chapter are presumed valid upon adoption.
  - (2) Except as otherwise provided in subsection ((4)) of this section, the burden is on the petitioner to demonstrate that any action taken by a state agency, county, or city under this chapter is not in compliance with the requirements of this chapter.
  - (3) In any petition under this chapter, the board, after full consideration of the petition, shall determine whether there is compliance with the requirements of this chapter. In making its determination, the board ((shall)) may consider the criteria adopted by the department under RCW 36.70A.190(4). The board shall find compliance unless it determines that the action by the state agency, county, or city is clearly erroneous in view of the entire record before the board and in light of the goals and requirements of this chapter.
  - (4) In recognition of the broad range of discretion that may be exercised by counties and cities consistent with the requirements of this chapter, the board shall not substitute its judgment for that of county or city-elected officials regarding the exercise of such authorized discretion. When determining whether a comprehensive plan, designation, development regulation, or other action complies with the requirements of this chapter, the board shall find compliance if the city or county's plan as a whole satisfies the goals of this chapter. Further, the board shall defer to decisions by county and city-elected officials on matters not specifically addressed in this chapter.
  - (5) A county or city subject to a determination of invalidity made under RCW 36.70A.300 or 36.70A.302 has the burden of demonstrating that

HB 2906 p. 2

- the ordinance or resolution it has enacted in response to the determination of invalidity will no longer substantially interfere with the fulfillment of the goals of this chapter under the standard in RCW 36.70A.302(1).
- $((\frac{5}{)}))$  (6) The shoreline element of a comprehensive plan and the applicable development regulations adopted by a county or city shall take effect as provided in chapter 90.58 RCW.
- 8 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 3.** The following acts or parts of acts are each 9 repealed:
- 10 (1) RCW 36.70A.340 (Noncompliance and sanctions) and 1991 sp.s. c 11 32 s 26; and
- 12 (2) RCW 36.70A.345 (Sanctions) and 1994 c 249 s 33 & 1993 sp.s. c 13 6 s 5.

--- END ---

р. 3 НВ 2906