## CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

#### HOUSE BILL 1439

Chapter 363, Laws of 2006

(partial veto)

59th Legislature 2006 Regular Session

#### GENERAL ADMINISTRATION--ELECTRONIC BIDDING

EFFECTIVE DATE: 6/7/06

Passed by the House March 6, 2006 Yeas 98 Nays 0

#### FRANK CHOPP

### Speaker of the House of Representatives

Passed by the Senate March 3, 2006 Yeas 48 Nays 0

#### BRAD OWEN

## President of the Senate

Approved March 31, 2006, with the exception of sections 4, 5 and 6, which are vetoed.

#### CERTIFICATE

I, Richard Nafziger, Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **HOUSE BILL 1439** as passed by the House of Representatives and the Senate on the dates hereon set forth.

### RICHARD NAFZIGER

Chief Clerk

FILED

March 31, 2006 - 9:09 a.m.

CHRISTINE GREGOIRE

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State State of Washington

# \_\_\_\_\_

### HOUSE BILL 1439

\_\_\_\_\_

#### AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE

Passed Legislature - 2006 Regular Session

# State of Washington 59th Legislature 2006 Regular Session

By Representatives Green, Nixon, Haigh, Upthegrove, Chase and Dunn; by request of Department of General Administration

Read first time 01/24/2005. Referred to Committee on State Government Operations & Accountability.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to electronic and web-based bidding; amending RCW
- 2 43.19.1906, 43.19.1908, and 43.19.1911; adding a new section to chapter
- 3 39.04 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 39.29 RCW; and adding a new
- 4 section to chapter 43.105 RCW.
- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 6 **Sec. 1.** RCW 43.19.1906 and 2002 c 332 s 4 are each amended to read 7 as follows:
- 8 Insofar as practicable, all purchases and sales shall be based on
- 9 competitive bids, and a formal sealed, electronic, or web-based bid
- 10 procedure, subject to RCW 43.19.1911, shall be used as standard
- 11 procedure for all purchases and contracts for purchases and sales
- 12 executed by the state purchasing and material control director and
- under the powers granted by RCW 43.19.190 through 43.19.1939. This
- 14 requirement also applies to purchases and contracts for purchases and
- 15 sales executed by agencies, including educational institutions, under
- 16 delegated authority granted in accordance with provisions of RCW
- 17 43.19.190 or under RCW 28B.10.029. However, formal sealed, electronic,
- 18 <u>or web-based competitive</u> bidding is not necessary for:

p. 1 HB 1439.SL

- (1) Emergency purchases made pursuant to RCW 43.19.200 if the sealed bidding procedure would prevent or hinder the emergency from being met appropriately;
- (2) Purchases not exceeding thirty-five thousand dollars, 4 subsequent limits as calculated by the office of financial management: 5 PROVIDED, That the state director of general administration shall 6 7 establish procedures to assure that purchases made by or on behalf of the various state agencies shall not be made so as to avoid the thirty-8 five thousand dollar bid limitation, or subsequent bid limitations as 9 calculated by the office of financial management: PROVIDED FURTHER, 10 That the state purchasing and material control director is authorized 11 12 to reduce the formal sealed bid limits of thirty-five thousand dollars, 13 or subsequent limits as calculated by the office of financial management, to a lower dollar amount for purchases by individual state 14 agencies if considered necessary to maintain full disclosure of 15 competitive procurement or otherwise to achieve overall state 16 17 efficiency and economy in purchasing and material control. Quotations from three thousand dollars to thirty-five thousand dollars, 18 subsequent limits as calculated by the office of financial management, 19 shall be secured from at least three vendors to assure establishment of 20 21 a competitive price and may be obtained by telephone or written 22 quotations, or both. The agency shall invite at least one quotation each from a certified minority and a certified women-owned vendor who 23 24 shall otherwise qualify to perform such work. Immediately after the 25 award is made, the bid quotations obtained shall be recorded and open to public inspection and shall be available by telephone inquiry. A 26 27 record of competition for all such purchases from three thousand dollars to thirty-five thousand dollars, or subsequent limits as 28 calculated by the office of financial management, shall be documented 29 for audit purposes. Purchases up to three thousand dollars may be made 30 without competitive bids based on buyer experience and knowledge of the 31 32 market in achieving maximum quality at minimum cost;
  - (3) Purchases which are clearly and legitimately limited to a single source of supply and purchases involving special facilities, services, or market conditions, in which instances the purchase price may be best established by direct negotiation;
- 37 (4) Purchases of insurance and bonds by the risk management 38 division under RCW 43.41.310;

3435

36

1 2

(5) Purchases and contracts for vocational rehabilitation clients of the department of social and health services: PROVIDED, That this exemption is effective only when the state purchasing and material control director, after consultation with the director of the division of vocational rehabilitation and appropriate department of social and health services procurement personnel, declares that such purchases may be best executed through direct negotiation with one or more suppliers in order to expeditiously meet the special needs of the state's vocational rehabilitation clients;

- (6) Purchases by universities for hospital operation or biomedical teaching or research purposes and by the state purchasing and material control director, as the agent for state hospitals as defined in RCW 72.23.010, and for health care programs provided in state correctional institutions as defined in RCW 72.65.010(3) and veterans' institutions as defined in RCW 72.36.010 and 72.36.070, made by participating in contracts for materials, supplies, and equipment entered into by nonprofit cooperative hospital group purchasing organizations;
- (7) Purchases for resale by institutions of higher education to other than public agencies when such purchases are for the express purpose of supporting instructional programs and may best be executed through direct negotiation with one or more suppliers in order to meet the special needs of the institution;
- (8) Purchases by institutions of higher education not exceeding thirty-five thousand dollars: PROVIDED, That for purchases between three thousand dollars and thirty-five thousand dollars quotations shall be secured from at least three vendors to assure establishment of a competitive price and may be obtained by telephone or written quotations, or both. For purchases between three thousand dollars and thirty-five thousand dollars, each institution of higher education shall invite at least one quotation each from a certified minority and a certified women-owned vendor who shall otherwise qualify to perform such work. A record of competition for all such purchases made from three thousand to thirty-five thousand dollars shall be documented for audit purposes; and
- (9) Negotiation of a contract by the department of transportation, valid until June 30, 2001, with registered tow truck operators to provide roving service patrols in one or more Washington state patrol tow zones whereby those registered tow truck operators wishing to

p. 3 HB 1439.SL

participate would cooperatively, with the department of transportation, develop a demonstration project upon terms and conditions negotiated by the parties.

Beginning on July 1, 1995, and on July 1 of each succeeding odd-numbered year, the dollar limits specified in this section shall be adjusted as follows: The office of financial management shall calculate such limits by adjusting the previous biennium's limits by the appropriate federal inflationary index reflecting the rate of inflation for the previous biennium. Such amounts shall be rounded to the nearest one hundred dollars. However, the three thousand dollar figure in subsections (2) and (8) of this section may not be adjusted to exceed five thousand dollars.

**Sec. 2.** RCW 43.19.1908 and 1994 c 300 s 2 are each amended to read 14 as follows:

Competitive bidding required by RCW 43.19.190 through 43.19.1939 shall be solicited by public notice, and through the sending of notices by mail, electronic transmission, or other means to bidders on the appropriate list of bidders who shall have qualified by application to the division of purchasing. Bids may be solicited by the purchasing division from any source thought to be of advantage to the state. All bids shall be in ((writing)) written or electronic form and conform to rules of the division of purchasing.

- Sec. 3. RCW 43.19.1911 and 2005 c 204 s 5 are each amended to read as follows:
- (1) Preservation of the integrity of the competitive bid system dictates that after competitive bids have been opened, award must be made to that responsible bidder who submitted the lowest responsive bid pursuant to subsections (7) and (9) of this section, unless there is a compelling reason to reject all bids and cancel the solicitation.
- (2) Every effort shall be made to anticipate changes in a requirement before the date of opening and to provide reasonable notice to all prospective bidders of any resulting modification or cancellation. If, in the opinion of the purchasing agency, division, or department head, it is not possible to provide reasonable notice, the published date for receipt of bids may be postponed and all known bidders notified. This will permit bidders to change their bids and

prevent unnecessary exposure of bid prices. In addition, every effort shall be made to include realistic, achievable requirements in a solicitation.

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

2021

22

2324

25

28

31

32

33

34

35

3637

- (3) After the opening of bids, a solicitation may not be canceled and resolicited solely because of an increase in requirements for the items being acquired. Award may be made on the initial solicitation and an increase in requirements may be treated as a new acquisition.
- (4) A solicitation may be canceled and all bids rejected before award but after bid opening only when, consistent with subsection (1) of this section, the purchasing agency, division, or department head determines in writing that:
- 12 (a) Unavailable, inadequate, ambiguous specifications, terms, 13 conditions, or requirements were cited in the solicitation;
- 14 (b) Specifications, terms, conditions, or requirements have been 15 revised;
- 16 (c) The supplies or services being contracted for are no longer 17 required;
- 18 (d) The solicitation did not provide for consideration of all factors of cost to the agency;
  - (e) Bids received indicate that the needs of the agency can be satisfied by a less expensive article differing from that for which the bids were invited;
  - (f) All otherwise acceptable bids received are at unreasonable prices or only one bid is received and the agency cannot determine the reasonableness of the bid price;
- 26 (g) No responsive bid has been received from a responsible bidder; 27 or
  - (h) The bid process was not fair or equitable.
- 29 (5) The agency, division, or department head may not delegate his 30 or her authority under this section.
  - (6) After the opening of bids, an agency may not reject all bids and enter into direct negotiations to complete the planned acquisition. However, the agency can enter into negotiations exclusively with the lowest responsible bidder in order to determine if the lowest responsible bid may be improved. Until December 31, 2009, for purchases requiring a formal bid process the agency shall also enter into negotiations with and may consider for award the lowest

p. 5 HB 1439.SL

- responsible bidder that is a vendor in good standing, as defined in RCW 43.19.525. An agency shall not use this negotiation opportunity to permit a bidder to change a nonresponsive bid into a responsive bid.
  - (7) In determining the lowest responsible bidder, the agency shall consider any preferences provided by law to Washington products and vendors and to RCW 43.19.704, and further, may take into consideration the quality of the articles proposed to be supplied, their conformity with specifications, the purposes for which required, and the times of delivery.
  - (8) Each bid with the name of the bidder shall be entered of record and each record, with the successful bid indicated, shall, after letting of the contract, be open to public inspection. Bid prices shall not be disclosed during electronic or web-based bidding before the letting of the contract.
- 15 (9) In determining "lowest responsible bidder", in addition to price, the following elements shall be given consideration:
- 17 (a) The ability, capacity, and skill of the bidder to perform the contract or provide the service required;
  - (b) The character, integrity, reputation, judgment, experience, and efficiency of the bidder;
- 21 (c) Whether the bidder can perform the contract within the time 22 specified;
  - (d) The quality of performance of previous contracts or services;
  - (e) The previous and existing compliance by the bidder with laws relating to the contract or services;
  - (f) Such other information as may be secured having a bearing on the decision to award the contract: PROVIDED, That in considering bids for purchase, manufacture, or lease, and in determining the "lowest responsible bidder," whenever there is reason to believe that applying the "life cycle costing" technique to bid evaluation would result in lowest total cost to the state, first consideration shall be given by state purchasing activities to the bid with the lowest life cycle cost which complies with specifications. "Life cycle cost" means the total cost of an item to the state over its estimated useful life, including costs of selection, acquisition, operation, maintenance, and where applicable, disposal, as far as these costs can reasonably be determined, minus the salvage value at the end of its estimated useful life. The "estimated useful life" of an item means the estimated time

- 1 from the date of acquisition to the date of replacement or disposal,
- 2 determined in any reasonable manner. Nothing in this section shall
- 3 prohibit any state agency, department, board, commission, committee, or
- 4 other state-level entity from allowing for preferential purchase of
- 5 products made from recycled materials or products that may be recycled
- 6 or reused.

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

2223

24

25

26

27

28

2930

31

32

35

- \*NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. A new section is added to chapter 39.04 RCW to read as follows:
  - (1) Any state agency, city with a population greater than one hundred thousand, or counties with a population greater than five hundred thousand executing public works using a competitive bidding process cannot reject all bids after opening unless there is a compelling reason.
  - (2) Every effort shall be made to anticipate changes in a requirement before the date of opening and to provide reasonable notice to all prospective bidders of any resulting modification or cancellation. If, in the opinion of the director or agency head or the appropriate city or county contract authority, it is not possible to provide reasonable notice, the published date for receipt of bids may be postponed and all known bidders notified. This will permit bidders to change their bids and prevent unnecessary exposure of bid prices. In addition, every effort shall be made to include realistic, achievable requirements in a bid solicitation.
  - (3) After the opening of bids, a solicitation may not be canceled and resolicited solely because of an increase in requirements for the items being acquired. Award may be made on the initial solicitation and an increase in requirements may be treated as a new acquisition.
  - (4) A solicitation may be canceled and all bids rejected before award but after bid opening only when, consistent with subsection (1) of this section, the state, city, or county determines in writing that:
  - (a) Unavailable, inadequate, ambiguous specifications, terms, conditions, or requirements were cited in the solicitation;
- 33 (b) Specifications, terms, conditions, or requirements have been revised;
  - (c) The services being contracted for are no longer required;
- 36 (d) The solicitation did not provide for consideration of all 37 factors of cost to the agency, city, or county;

p. 7 HB 1439.SL

- (e) Bids received indicate that the needs of the state, city, or county can be satisfied by a less expensive article differing from that for which the bids were invited;
  - (f) All otherwise acceptable bids received are at unreasonable prices or only one bid is received and the agency, city, or county cannot determine the reasonableness of the bid price;
- 7 (g) No responsive bid has been received from a responsible bidder; 8 or
  - (h) The bid process was not fair or equitable.
- 10 (5) The state agency head or city or county contract authority may

  11 not delegate his or her authority under this section.

  \*Sec. 4 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.
- \*NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. A new section is added to chapter 39.29 RCW to read as follows:
  - (1) Any agency or institution of state government procuring personal services using a competitive solicitation process cannot reject all solicitations after opening unless there is a compelling reason.
  - (2) Every effort shall be made to anticipate changes in a requirement before the date of opening and to provide reasonable notice to all prospective bidders of any resulting modification or cancellation. If, in the opinion of the director or agency head, it is not possible to provide reasonable notice, the published date for receipt of bids may be postponed and all known bidders notified. This will permit bidders to change their bids and prevent unnecessary exposure of bid prices. In addition, every effort shall be made to include realistic, achievable requirements in a solicitation.
  - (3) After the opening of bids, a solicitation may not be canceled and resolicited solely because of an increase in requirements for the items being acquired. Award may be made on the initial solicitation and an increase in requirements may be treated as a new acquisition.
  - (4) A solicitation may be canceled and all bids rejected before award but after bid opening only when, consistent with subsection (1) of this section, the agency determines in writing that:
  - (a) Unavailable, inadequate, ambiguous specifications, terms, conditions, or requirements were cited in the solicitation;
- 36 (b) Specifications, terms, conditions, or requirements have been revised;

- 1 (c) The services being contracted for are no longer required;
- 2 (d) The solicitation did not provide for consideration of all factors of cost to the agency;
  - (e) Bids received indicate that the needs of the agency can be satisfied by a less expensive article differing from that for which the bids were invited;
  - (f) All otherwise acceptable bids received are at unreasonable prices or only one bid is received and the agency cannot determine the reasonableness of the bid price;
- 10 (g) No responsive bid has been received from a responsible bidder;
  11 or
- 12 (h) The bid process was not fair or equitable.

- 13 (5) The agency head may not delegate his or her authority under
  14 this section.
  \*Sec. 5 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.
- \*NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. A new section is added to chapter 43.105 RCW to read as follows:
  - (1) The board, or other agencies and institutions of state government the board delegates authority to, when purchasing, leasing, renting, or otherwise acquiring, disposing of, or maintaining equipment, proprietary software, or purchased services using a competitive bidding process cannot reject all bids and cancel the solicitation after the bid opening unless there is a compelling reason.
  - (2) Every effort shall be made to anticipate changes in a requirement before the date of opening and to provide reasonable notice to all prospective bidders of any resulting modification or cancellation. If, in the opinion of the director or purchasing agency head, it is not possible to provide reasonable notice, the published date for receipt of bids may be postponed and all known bidders notified. This will permit bidders to change their bids and prevent unnecessary exposure of bid prices. In addition, every effort shall be made to include realistic, achievable requirements in a solicitation.
  - (3) After the opening of bids, a solicitation may not be canceled and resolicited solely because of an increase in requirements for the items being acquired. Award may be made on the initial solicitation and an increase in requirements may be treated as a new acquisition.
    - (4) A solicitation may be canceled and all bids rejected before

p. 9 HB 1439.SL

- award but after bid opening only when, consistent with subsection (1)
  of this section, the board or purchasing agency, determines in writing
  that:
  - (a) Unavailable, inadequate, ambiguous specifications, terms, conditions, or requirements were cited in the solicitation;
  - (b) Specifications, terms, conditions, or requirements have been revised;
  - (c) The supplies or services being contracted for are no longer required;
  - (d) The solicitation did not provide for consideration of all factors of cost to the board or agency;
  - (e) Bids received indicate that the needs of the board or agency can be satisfied by a less expensive article differing from that for which the bids were invited;
    - (f) All otherwise acceptable bids received are at unreasonable prices or only one bid is received and the board or agency cannot determine the reasonableness of the bid price;
- 18 (g) No responsive bid has been received from a responsible bidder;
  19 or
  - (h) The bid process was not fair or equitable.
- 21 (5) The agency head may not delegate his or her authority under 22 this section.

\*Sec. 6 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.

Passed by the House March 6, 2006. Passed by the Senate March 3, 2006.

Approved by the Governor March 31, 2006, with the exception of certain items that were vetoed.

Filed in Office of Secretary of State March 31, 2006.

Note: Governor's explanation of partial veto is as follows:

"I am returning, without my approval as to Sections 4, 5 and 6, House Bill No. 1439 entitled:

"AN ACT Relating to electronic and web-based bidding."

The Department of General Administration (Department) generally awards contracts through a competitive, formal, sealed bid process. Under House Bill No. 1439 the Department would be allowed to receive bids electronically or through the web. This is a step in the right direction. I support changes that will make the existing, complicated procurement process easier to manage and use.

However, Sections 4, 5, and 6 of the bill restrict cancellation of the bidding process and re-bidding on public works, personal service, and information technology related contracts. This bill takes cancellation language related to purchased goods and services contract bidding procedures and tries to apply it to other types of contracts, like public works contracts. Yet, there are significant differences between these contracting procedures. While the sealed bid process for purchased goods and services contracts focuses

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

primarily on price, public works and personal service contracts address several factors including price, ability to do the work, vendor qualifications, and prior vendor experience. I am therefore concerned about the impact of Sections 4, 5 and 6.

I do not look favorably on agencies irresponsibly canceling all bids after bid opening and commencing a re-bidding process. Contractors spend too much time and effort on preparing bids to have them cancelled simply because an agency is trying to "price" a project. This is too important an issue to be addressed through language that does not comprehensively address the complex differences between our contracting processes.

As such, I am vetoing Sections 4, 5, and 6. I urge the Legislature to revisit this issue with input from all stakeholders, cities, counties, and the business community, and to propose cancellation language appropriate for our state's contracting system.

For these reasons, I have vetoed Sections 4, 5 and 6 of House Bill No. 1439.

With the exception of Sections 4, 5 and 6 of House Bill No. 1439 is approved."

p. 11