

SSB 6231 - H AMD 1486

By Representative Darneille

WITHDRAWN 03/06/2008

1 On page 2, beginning on line 14, after "areas;" strike all material  
2 through "(e)" on line 15 and insert the following:

3 "(e) Develop recommendations for consistent standards, methods, or  
4 protocols that may aid governmental organizations with the future  
5 identification of marine protected areas; and

6 (f)"

7 On page 2, line 17, after "director of" insert "the department of"

8 On page 2, line 35, after "area" insert "or the marine shorelines  
9 adjacent to the area"

10 On page 3, line 1, after "Washington;" strike "and"

11 On page 3, line 2, after "through" strike "(e)" and insert "(f)"

12 On page 3, line 3, after "section" insert "; and (c) conclusions  
13 learned from the case study required under section 3 of this act"

14 On page 3, after line 3, insert the following:

15 "NEW SECTION. **Sec. 3.** (1) The work product delivered by the  
16 marine protected areas work group established in section 2 of this act  
17 must include at least one case study regarding how consistent  
18 standards, methods, or protocols that may aid governmental  
19 organizations with the future identification of marine protected areas  
20 may be developed.

21 (2) The case study required by this section must be designed to  
22 analyze how and when future marine protected areas can or should be  
23 developed in urbanized areas where the purpose of the marine protected

1 area is to protect the marine shoreline and adjacent upland  
2 environmental, cultural, or community values.

3 (3) The case study required by this section must be located in an  
4 urban marine waterway adjacent to uplands areas available for public  
5 access that includes at least one park area developed, in part, with  
6 money from the Washington wildlife and recreation program that includes  
7 a seawall, walking paths, interpretive displays, and a cultural  
8 botanical display area and includes within the borders of the case  
9 study area at least one area of state-owned aquatic lands currently  
10 under lease with the department of natural resources for use as an  
11 industrial marine repair facility capable of servicing marine vessels  
12 that are seventy-five feet or more in length.

13 (4) Until the results of the case study is delivered to the  
14 legislature as part of the work product required by section 2 of this  
15 act, the city government with jurisdiction over uplands adjacent to the  
16 case study area is prohibited from allowing any shoreline uses or  
17 expansions not currently authorized for shorelines located within or  
18 adjacent to the case study area if the shoreline use or expansion is  
19 related to an industrial use capable of performing any of the following  
20 actions on marine vessels that are seventy-five feet or more in length:  
21 Construction, refurbishment, maintenance, repair, lay berthing, or  
22 demolition."

23 Renumber the remaining sections consecutively, correct any internal  
24 references accordingly, and correct the title.

25 On page 3, line 4, after "(1)" strike "If" and insert "Except as  
26 provided in section 3 of this act, if"

EFFECT: Requires the work group responsible for aiding in the  
development of the marine protected areas plan to conduct a case study  
in an urban waterway with specific qualities to determine a process for  
the future identification of marine managed areas and prohibits certain  
industrial uses in the case study area until the case study is  
complete.

--- END ---