## \_\_\_\_\_

## ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5344

State of Washington 61st Legislature 2009 Regular Session

By Senate Environment, Water & Energy (originally sponsored by Senators Ranker, Swecker, Rockefeller, Marr, Hargrove, Pridemore, Fraser, Shin, McDermott, and Kilmer)

READ FIRST TIME 02/23/09.

8

9

- 1 AN ACT Relating to providing emergency response towing vessels;
- 2 amending RCW 88.46.068 and 88.46.130; adding new sections to chapter
- 3 88.46 RCW; creating new sections; and providing expiration dates.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. (1) The legislature finds that the northern coast of the Olympic Peninsula and Washington's west coast from Cape Flattery south to Cape Disappointment:
  - (a) Possess uniquely rich and highly vulnerable biological, marine, and cultural resources supporting some of the nation's most valuable commercial, sport, and tribal fisheries;
- 11 (b) Sustain endangered species and numerous species of vulnerable 12 marine mammals; and
- 13 (c) Are internationally recognized through extraordinary 14 designations including a world heritage site, a national park, a 15 national marine sanctuary, national wildlife refuges, a maritime area 16 off-limits to shipping, and tribal lands and fishing areas of federally 17 recognized coastal Indian tribes.
- 18 (2) The legislature further finds that these coasts are 19 periodically beset by severe storms with dangerously high seas and by

p. 1 ESSB 5344

- strong currents, obscuring fog, and other conditions that imperil vessels and crews. When vessels suffer damage or founder, the coasts are likewise imperiled, particularly if oil is spilled into coastal waters. Oil spills pose great potential risks to treasured resources.
  - (3) The legislature further finds that Washington has maintained an emergency response tug at Neah Bay since 1999 to protect state waters from maritime casualties and resulting oil spills. The tug is necessary because of peculiarities of local waters that call for special precautionary measures. The tug has demonstrated its necessity and capability by responding to forty-one vessels in need of assistance. State funding for the tug is scheduled to end on June 30, 2009.
- 13 (4) The legislature intends that the maritime industry should 14 provide and fully fund at least one year-round emergency response tug 15 at Neah Bay, with necessary logistical and operational support, and 16 that any tug provided by the maritime industry pursuant to this act 17 should meet or exceed technical performance requirements specified in 18 the state's fiscal year 2009 contract for the Neah Bay emergency 19 response tug.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 88.46 RCW to read as follows:
  - (1) The owner or operator of a covered vessel that is subject to requirements specified in RCW 88.46.130 must provide at least one emergency response towing vessel that must be:
    - (a) Stationed at Neah Bay; and
- 26 (b) Continuously available and capable of responding to any vessel 27 emergency, including but not limited to:
- (i) Loss or serious degradation of propulsion, steering, means of navigation, primary electrical generating capability, or seakeeping capability;
  - (ii) Hull breach; or
- 32 (iii) Oil spill.

6 7

8

9

1112

2223

24

25

31

- 33 (2) An emergency response towing vessel must be capable of:
- 34 (a) Deploying at any hour of any day to provide emergency 35 assistance;
- 36 (b) Being underway within twenty minutes of a decision to deploy,

ESSB 5344 p. 2

- with adequate crew to safely remain underway for at least forty-eight
  hours;
- 3 (c) Effectively employing a ship anchor chain recovery hook and 4 line throwing gun;
  - (d) A bollard pull of at least seventy short tons; and
  - (e) Effectively operating in severe weather conditions with sustained winds measured at forty knots and wave heights of twelve to eighteen feet, including:
    - (i) Holding position within one hundred feet of another vessel; and
- 10 (ii) Making up to, stopping, holding, and towing a drifting or 11 disabled vessel of one hundred eighty thousand metric dead weight tons.
  - (3) An emergency response towing vessel must be equipped with:
  - (a) A ship anchor chain recovery hook;
  - (b) A line throwing gun; and
    - (c) Appropriate equipment for:
  - (i) Damage control patching;
- 17 (ii) Vessel dewatering;

6 7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

2021

22

2324

2526

27

28

29

30

3132

3334

35

36

37

- 18 (iii) Air safety monitoring; and
- 19 (iv) Digital photography.
  - (4) The requirements of this section may be fulfilled by a private organization or nonprofit cooperative providing umbrella coverage under contract to a single or multiple covered vessels. If a nonprofit cooperative is formed or used to meet the requirements of this section, it shall equitably apportion costs to each participating covered vessel based on risk associated with particular classes of covered vessels, navigational and structural characteristics of covered vessels, and the number of covered vessel transits to or from a Washington port through the Strait of Juan de Fuca, as defined in RCW 88.46.130(5), except for transits extending no further west than Race Rocks.
  - (5) The department is authorized to contract with an emergency response towing vessel provided under this section. Any use by the department must be paid by the department.
  - (6) An owner or operator of a covered vessel that is required to provide an emergency response towing vessel may not restrict the emergency response towing vessel from responding to noncovered vessels in distress.
  - (7) Nothing in this section prohibits the owner or operator of a

p. 3 ESSB 5344

- 1 covered vessel or a private organization or nonprofit cooperative from
- 2 contracting with an emergency response towing vessel with capabilities
- 3 exceeding requirements specified in this section.
  - NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. (1) The legislature finds that all sectors of the maritime industry have demonstrated the ability to cooperate in reducing risks of oil spills and in providing for comprehensive response actions when spills occur. Therefore, the legislature expects that owners or operators of covered vessels that are subject to requirements specified in RCW 88.46.130 will cooperate in sharing responsibility for the emergency response system and in equitably apportioning costs.
  - (2) The department of ecology shall monitor progress of the maritime industry in establishing and funding the emergency response system required by RCW 88.46.130. The department shall provide interim progress reports to appropriate standing committees in the senate and house of representatives by October 1, 2009, and again by December 1, 2009, the latter date coinciding with the deadline for contingency plans for covered vessels operating in the Strait of Juan de Fuca to provide for the emergency response system required by RCW 88.46.130.
  - (3) The department's reports required under subsection (2) of this section must describe the maritime industry's processes for ensuring that the emergency response system is established and funded by July 1, 2010, as required by RCW 88.46.130. The reports must provide available information regarding:
  - (a) The anticipated annual cost of providing the emergency response system;
  - (b) The methodology for determining the anticipated average annual cost for each class of covered vessel, including:
  - (i) A system for crediting enhanced navigational or structural characteristics;
  - (ii) Appropriate limits on total cost for vessels that frequently transit the Strait of Juan de Fuca, as defined in RCW 88.46.130(5), except for transits extending no further west than Race Rocks; and
    - (iii) Consideration of current economic conditions; and
- 35 (c) Any impediment to equitable apportionment of costs.
  - (4) As used in this section, "class of covered vessel" means:
- 37 (a) Oil tankers;

1 (b) Tank barges;

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

2425

26

27

28

29

30

- 2 (c) Tug and oil barge combinations;
- 3 (d) Cargo vessels;
- 4 (e) Passenger vessels; and
- 5 (f) Other covered vessels.
- 6 (5) This section expires June 30, 2010.

NEW SECTION. **Sec. 4.** A new section is added to chapter 88.46 RCW to read as follows:

As soon as practicable following return of an emergency response towing vessel after an incident necessitating a response, the covered vessel owner or operator or the contracting organization shall submit a report to the department. The report must provide a detailed description of the incident necessitating a response and actions taken to render assistance, and include high quality photographic documentation.

- 16 **Sec. 5.** RCW 88.46.068 and 2006 c 316 s 4 are each amended to read 17 as follows:
- (1) The department shall ((by rule)) adopt by rule procedures to determine the adequacy of contingency plans approved under RCW 88.46.060. The rules shall require random practice drills without prior notice that will test the adequacy of the responding entities. The rules may provide for unannounced practice drills of individual contingency plans.
  - (2) In addition to reviewing and approving contingency plans required by RCW 88.46.060, the department shall also review and approve the emergency response system required by RCW 88.46.130. Adequacy of the system may be determined through practice drills, which may be conducted without prior notice. Successful responses to vessel emergencies may satisfy this requirement. Drills must test emergency response towing vessels' ability to respond to worst case scenarios.
- 31 (3) The department shall review and publish a report on the drills, 32 including an assessment of response time and available equipment and 33 personnel compared to those listed in the contingency plans relying on 34 the responding entities, and requirements, if any, for changes in the 35 plans or their implementation.

p. 5 ESSB 5344

- 1 (4) The department may require additional drills and changes in 2 arrangements for implementing approved plans which are necessary to 3 ensure their effective implementation.
- **Sec. 6.** RCW 88.46.130 and 1991 c 200 s 426 are each amended to read as follows:

- (1) By July 1, 2010, the owner or operator of a covered vessel transiting to or from a Washington port through the Strait of Juan de Fuca, except for transits extending no further west than Race Rocks, shall establish and fund an emergency response system ((for the Strait of Juan de Fuca shall be established by July 1, 1992. In establishing the emergency response system, the administrator shall consider the recommendations of the regional marine safety committees. The administrator shall also consult with the province of British Columbia regarding its participation in the emergency response system)) providing at least one emergency response towing vessel stationed at Neah Bay.
- 17 <u>(2) Any emergency response towing vessel provided under this</u>
  18 section must:
  - (a) Be available to serve vessels in distress in the Strait of Juan de Fuca and off of the western coast of the state from Cape Flattery light south to Cape Disappointment light; and
    - (b) Meet the requirements specified in section 2 of this act.
  - (3) In addition to meeting requirements specified in RCW 88.46.060, contingency plans for covered vessels operating in the Strait of Juan de Fuca must provide for the emergency response system required by this section. Documents demonstrating compliance with this section must be submitted to the department by December 1, 2009. An initial contingency plan submitted to the department after December 1, 2009, must be accompanied by documents demonstrating compliance with this section.
    - (4) The requirements of this section are met if:
- (a) Owners or operators of covered vessels provide at least one
  emergency response towing vessel that complies with subsection (2) of
  this section; or
- 35 (b) The United States government implements a system of protective 36 measures that the department determines to be substantially equivalent.

ESSB 5344 p. 6

- (5) As used in this section, "Strait of Juan de Fuca" means waters off of the northern coast of the Olympic Peninsula, from Cape Flattery light east to New Dungeness light, terminating at a line extending from Discovery Island light south to New Dungeness light.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. (1) The director of the department of ecology, or the director's designee, shall initiate discussions with the director's counterpart in the government for the Canadian province of British Columbia to explore options for Washington and British Columbia to share marine emergency response assets required under this act.
- 11 (2) The department of ecology must report any progress or outcomes 12 from discussions initiated under this section to appropriate committees 13 of the legislature by January 1, 2011.
- 14 (3) This section expires July 31, 2011.
- NEW\_SECTION. Sec. 8. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

--- END ---

p. 7 ESSB 5344