CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 3178

Chapter 282, Laws of 2010

(partial veto)

61st Legislature 2010 Regular Session

STATE GOVERNMENT--EFFICIENCIES IN TECHNOLOGY

EFFECTIVE DATE: 06/10/10

Passed by the House March 11, 2010 Yeas 94 Nays 3

FRANK CHOPP

Speaker of the House of Representatives

Passed by the Senate March 10, 2010 Yeas 47 Nays 0

CERTIFICATE

I, Barbara Baker, Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL** 3178 as passed by the House of Representatives and the Senate on the dates hereon set forth.

BARBARA BAKER

BRAD OWEN

Chief Clerk

President of the Senate

Approved April 1, 2010, 2:57 p.m., with the exception of Sections 5, 13, 14, and 15 which are vetoed.

FILED

April 2, 2010

CHRISTINE GREGOIRE

Secretary of State State of Washington

Governor of the State of Washington

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 3178

AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE

Passed Legislature - 2010 Regular Session

State of Washington

61st Legislature

2010 Regular Session

By House Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Representatives Carlyle, Anderson, Hunter, Rolfes, Eddy, Takko, Probst, Wallace, Maxwell, Van De Wege, Kelley, Green, Sullivan, Hudgins, Hope, Morrell, Springer, Ericks, Hunt, Goodman, Jacks, and Finn)

READ FIRST TIME 03/01/10.

- AN ACT Relating to creating efficiencies in the use of technology in state government; amending RCW 43.88.560, 43.105.041, 43.105.180, and 43.105.160; adding new sections to chapter 43.105 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 43.88 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 2.68 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 44.68 RCW; creating new sections; repealing RCW 43.105.017; and providing an expiration date.
- 7 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 8 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** A new section is added to chapter 43.105 RCW to read as follows:
- 10 The legislature finds that the provision of information 11 technology in state government lacks strategic coordination, 12 transparency, and meaningful enterprise-wide direction and oversight. It is no longer economically sustainable or technically feasible for 13 state agencies to obtain and provide large-scale, commonly utilized 14 information technology products and services on an individual, agency-15 by-agency basis without coordination. Instead, the state needs a 16 strong, enterprise-based information technology strategy to ensure the 17 public's needs are being met and the state is receiving the highest 18 19 quality information technology products and services at the best price

- from public or private providers. Developing a strong enterprise-wide 1 2 strategy also includes establishing clear lines of authority and accountability within state agencies so that those services unique to 3 individual agencies receive the support required to effectively and 4 efficiently provide services to citizens. To accomplish these 5 objectives, the state needs to develop an open, transparent process for 6 7 determining the total cost of ownership for the information technology products and services it provides, and to provide such information in 8 an easily accessible, public fashion. It is in the state's interest to 9 10 ensure that the wide range of disparate networks, systems, services, and structures across state government become more closely coordinated, 11 12 organized, and structured. This type of coordinating effort is already 13 underway in the area of higher education through the efforts of the 14 higher education technology transformation task force and informally within other areas. When more transparent technical and financial 15 information is readily available, the state can make sound policy 16 17 decisions about what information technology services should be provided centrally on a shared services basis, and what products and services 18 may be best suited for either contracting with private providers or for 19 maintenance at the agency level. Furthermore, if attractive pricing 20 21 models and service level agreements are developed for enterprise-based 22 information technology services, the legislative and judicial branches will have an incentive to participate in those services as well. 23
 - (2) It is the intent of the legislature to organize, consolidate, and, where appropriate, contract with private providers for technology systems and resources in a strategic fashion that is based upon sound, objective, nonpolitical, and independent technical and financial criteria. The state needs to develop a clear, enterprise-based statewide strategy for information technology to ensure that there is transparency and accountability regarding how information technology resources are being allocated, how decisions are being made, and who is accountable for on-time, on-budget delivery.
 - NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 43.105 RCW to read as follows:
 - (1) State agencies that are purchasing wireless devices or services must make such purchases through the state master contract, unless the state agency provides to the office of financial management evidence

25

2627

28

29

30

3132

33

34

3536

that the state agency is securing its wireless devices or services from another source for a lower cost than through participation in the state master contract.

(2) For the purposes of this section, "state agency" means any office, department, board, commission, or other unit of state government, but does not include a unit of state government headed by a statewide elected official, an institution of higher education as defined in RCW 28B.10.016, the higher education coordinating board, the state board for community and technical colleges, or agencies of the legislative or judicial branches of state government.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 43.88 RCW to read as follows:

- (1) As part of the biennial budget process, the office of financial management shall collect from agencies, and agencies shall provide, information to produce reports, summaries, and budget detail sufficient to allow review, analysis, and documentation of all current and proposed expenditures for information technology by state agencies. Information technology budget detail must be included as part of the budget submittal documentation required pursuant to RCW 43.88.030.
- (2) The office of financial management must collect, and present as part of the biennial budget documentation, information for all existing information technology projects as defined by information services board policy. The office of financial management must work with the department of information services to maximize the ability to draw this information from the information technology portfolio management data collected by the department of information services pursuant to RCW 43.105.170. Connecting project information collected through the portfolio management process with financial data developed under subsection (1) of this section provides transparency regarding expenditure data for existing technology projects.
- (3) The biennial budget documentation submitted by the office of financial management pursuant to RCW 43.88.030 must include an information technology plan identifying proposed large information technology projects. This plan must be presented using a method similar to the capital budget, identifying project costs through stages of the project and across fiscal periods and biennia from project initiation to implementation. This information must be submitted

- electronically, in a format to be determined by the office of financial management and the legislative evaluation and accountability program committee.
- 4 (4) The office of financial management shall also institute a 5 method of accounting for information technology-related expenditures, 6 including creating common definitions for what constitutes an 7 information technology investment.
- 8 **Sec. 4.** RCW 43.88.560 and 1992 c 20 s 7 are each amended to read 9 as follows:
- The director of financial management shall establish policies and standards governing the funding of major information technology projects as required under RCW 43.105.190(2). The director of financial management shall also direct the collection of additional information on information technology projects and submit an information technology plan as required under section 3 of this act.
- *Sec. 5. RCW 43.105.041 and 2009 c 486 s 13 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) The board shall have the following powers and duties related to information services:
 - (a) To develop standards and procedures governing the acquisition and disposition of equipment, proprietary software and purchased services, licensing of the radio spectrum by or on behalf of state agencies, and confidentiality of computerized data. The board shall coordinate with the office of financial management to develop contracting standards for information technology acquisition and purchased services and must work with state agencies to ensure deployment of standardized contracts;
 - (b) To purchase, lease, rent, or otherwise acquire, dispose of, and maintain equipment, proprietary software, and purchased services, or to delegate to other agencies and institutions of state government, under appropriate standards, the authority to purchase, lease, rent, or otherwise acquire, dispose of, and maintain equipment, proprietary software, and purchased services: PROVIDED, That, agencies and institutions of state government are expressly prohibited from acquiring or disposing of equipment, proprietary software, and purchased services without such delegation of authority. The

19 20

2122

23

2425

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

- acquisition and disposition of equipment, proprietary software, and purchased services is exempt from RCW 43.19.1919 and, as provided in RCW 43.19.1901, from the provisions of RCW 43.19.190 through 43.19.200, except that the board, the department, and state agencies, as delegated, must post notices of technology procurement bids on the state's common vendor registration and bid notification system. This subsection (1)(b) does not apply to the legislative branch;
- (c) To develop statewide or interagency technical policies, standards, and procedures;
 - (d) To review and approve standards and common specifications for new or expanded telecommunications networks proposed by agencies, public postsecondary education institutions, educational service districts, or statewide or regional providers of K-12 information technology services, and to assure the cost-effective development and incremental implementation of a statewide video telecommunications system to serve: Public schools; educational service districts; vocational-technical institutes; community colleges; colleges and universities; state and local government; and the general public through public affairs programming;
 - (e) To provide direction concerning strategic planning goals and objectives for the state. The board shall seek input from the legislature and the judiciary;
- 23 (f) To develop and implement a process for the resolution of 24 appeals by:
 - (i) Vendors concerning the conduct of an acquisition process by an agency or the department; or
 - (ii) A customer agency concerning the provision of services by the department or by other state agency providers;
 - (g) To establish policies for the periodic review by the department of agency performance which may include but are not limited to analysis of:
 - (i) Planning, management, control, and use of information services;
 - (ii) Training and education; and
- 34 (iii) Project management;

35 (h) To set its meeting schedules and convene at scheduled times, or 36 meet at the request of a majority of its members, the chair, or the 37 director;

- (i) To review and approve that portion of the ((department's)) budget ((requests)) that ((provides-for)) may provide independent, technical staff support to the board; and
 - (j) To develop procurement policies and procedures, such as unbundled contracting and subcontracting, that encourage and facilitate the purchase of products and services by state agencies and institutions from Washington small businesses to the maximum extent practicable and consistent with international trade agreement commitments.
 - (2) Statewide technical standards to promote and facilitate electronic information sharing and access are an essential component of acceptable and reliable public access service and complement content-related standards designed to meet those goals. The board shall:
 - (a) Establish technical standards to facilitate electronic access to government information and interoperability of information systems, including wireless communications systems. Local governments are strongly encouraged to follow the standards established by the board; and
- (b) Require agencies to consider electronic public access needs when planning new information systems or major upgrades of systems.

In developing these standards, the board is encouraged to include the state library, state archives, and appropriate representatives of state and local government.

- (3)(a) The board, in consultation with the K-20 board, has the duty to govern, operate, and oversee the technical design, implementation, and operation of the K-20 network including, but not limited to, the following duties: Establishment and implementation of K-20 network technical policy, including technical standards and conditions of use; review and approval of network design; procurement of shared network services and equipment; and resolving user/provider disputes concerning technical matters. The board shall delegate general operational and technical oversight to the K-20 network technical steering committee as appropriate.
- (b) The board has the authority to adopt rules under chapter 34.05 RCW to implement the provisions regarding the technical operations and conditions of use of the K-20 network.
- (4) The board shall review all information technology efforts under its purview based on independent technical and financial information,

regardless of whether the projects or services are being provided by

public or private providers. This review must be conducted by

independent, technical staff support, subject to funds appropriated for

this specific purpose.

5

6 7

8

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

2829

30

3132

33

34

3536

- (5) In reviewing these efforts, the board, in consultation with the office of financial management, shall review state agency information technology budgets. The board may acquire project management assistance to assist in its efforts under this act.

 *Sec. 5 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.
- 9 **Sec. 6.** RCW 43.105.180 and 1999 c 80 s 11 are each amended to read 10 as follows:
 - ((Upon-request-of-the-office-of-financial-management,)) (1) The department, in coordination with the information services board and the office of financial management, shall evaluate agency budget requests major information technology projects identified under 43.105.190, including those proposed by the superintendent of public instruction, in conjunction with educational service districts, or statewide or regional providers of K-12 education information technology services. The department shall submit recommendations for funding all or part of such requests to the office of financial management and to the chairs, ranking minority members, and staff coordinators of the appropriations committees of the senate and house of representatives. The department shall also submit recommendations regarding consolidation of similar proposals or other efficiencies it finds in reviewing proposals.
 - (2) The department, with the advice and approval of the office of management and the information services board, financial portfolio-based establish criteria, consistent with information technology management, for the evaluation of agency budget requests under this section. These budget requests shall be made in the context of an agency's information technology portfolio; technology initiatives underlying budget requests are subject to board review. Criteria shall include, but not be limited to: Feasibility of the proposed projects, consistency with the state strategic information technology plan, consistency with information technology portfolios, appropriate provision for public electronic access to information, evidence of business process streamlining and gathering of business and technical requirements, and services, costs, and benefits.

- 1 (3) For the purposes of this section, "state agency" includes every 2 state office, department, division, bureau, board, commission, or other 3 state agency, including offices headed by a statewide elected official.
- MEW SECTION. Sec. 7. A new section is added to chapter 2.68 RCW to read as follows:

The administrative office of the courts, under the direction of the judicial information system committee, shall:

- (1) Develop a judicial information system information technology portfolio consistent with the provisions of RCW 43.105.172;
- (2) Participate in the development of an enterprise-based statewide information technology strategy as defined in section 10 of this act;
- (3) Ensure the judicial information system information technology portfolio is organized and structured to clearly indicate participation in and use of enterprise-wide information technology strategies;
- (4) As part of the biennial budget process, submit the judicial information system information technology portfolio to the chair and ranking member of the ways and means committees of the house of representatives and the senate, the office of financial management, and the department of information services.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 8. A new section is added to chapter 44.68 RCW to read as follows:
 - The legislative service center, under the direction of the joint legislative systems committee and the joint legislative systems administrative committee, shall:
 - (1) Develop a legislative information technology portfolio consistent with the provisions of RCW 43.105.172;
 - (2) Participate in the development of an enterprise-based statewide information technology strategy as defined in section 10 of this act;
 - (3) Ensure the legislative information technology portfolio is organized and structured to clearly indicate participation in and use of enterprise-wide information technology strategies;
- 32 (4) As part of the biennial budget process, submit the legislative 33 information technology portfolio to the chair and ranking member of the 34 ways and means committees of the house of representatives and the 35 senate, the office of financial management, and the department of 36 information services.

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

22

23

2425

26

27

2829

30

Sec. 9. RCW 43.105.160 and 2005 c 319 s 110 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) The department shall prepare a state strategic information technology plan which shall establish a statewide mission, goals, and objectives for the use of information technology, including goals for electronic access to government records, information, and services. The plan shall be developed in accordance with the standards and policies established by the board and shall be submitted to the board for review, modification as necessary, and approval. The department shall seek the advice of the board in the development of this plan.

The plan approved under this section shall be updated as necessary and submitted to the governor and the chairs and ranking minority members of the appropriations committees of the senate and the house of representatives.

- (2) The department shall prepare a biennial state performance report on information technology based on agency performance reports required under RCW 43.105.170 and other information deemed appropriate by the department. The report shall include, but not be limited to:
- (a) An analysis, based upon agency portfolios, of the state's information technology infrastructure, including its value, condition, and capacity;
- 22 (b) An evaluation of performance relating to information 23 technology;
 - (c) An assessment of progress made toward implementing the state strategic information technology plan, including progress toward electronic access to public information and enabling citizens to have two-way access to public records, information, and services;
 - (d) An analysis of the success or failure, feasibility, progress, costs, and timeliness of implementation of major information technology projects under RCW 43.105.190. At a minimum, the portion of the report regarding major technology projects must include:
 - (i) Final total cost of ownership budget data for the entire lifecycle of the project, including capital and operational costs, broken down by staffing costs, contracted service, hardware purchase or lease, software purchase or lease, travel, and training. The original budget must also be shown for comparison;
- (ii) The original proposed project schedule and the final actual
 project schedule;

- 1 (iii) Data regarding progress towards meeting the original goals
 2 and performance measures of the project, particularly as it relates to
 3 operating budget savings;
 - (iv) Discussion of lessons learned on the project, performance of any contractors used, and reasons for project delays or cost increases; and
 - $((\frac{(e)}{(e)}))$ <u>(v)</u> Identification of benefits, cost avoidance, and cost savings generated by major information technology projects developed under RCW 43.105.190; and
- 10 $((\frac{f}{f}))$ <u>(e)</u> An inventory of state information services, equipment, 11 and proprietary software.

12 Copies of the report shall be distributed biennially to the 13 governor and the chairs and ranking minority members of the 14 appropriations committees of the and senate the house of representatives. The major technology section of the report must 15 examine major information technology projects completed in the previous 16 17 biennium to determine the performance of the implementing agency, cost and value effectiveness, and timeliness and other performance metrics 18

- 19 <u>necessary to assess the quality and value of the investment. The</u>
- 20 <u>report must also examine projects two years after completion for</u>
- 21 progress toward meeting performance goals and operating budget savings.
- 22 <u>The first report is due December 15, 2011, and every two years</u>
- 23 <u>thereafter.</u>

26

27

28

2930

31

3233

4

5

7

8

- NEW SECTION. Sec. 10. A new section is added to chapter 43.105 RCW to read as follows:
 - (1) The board, in consultation with the department and the office of financial management, shall develop an enterprise-based strategy for information technology in state government informed by information technology expenditure information collected from state agencies pursuant to section 3 of this act.
 - (2) In developing an enterprise-based strategy for the state, the board is encouraged to consider the following strategies as possible opportunities for achieving greater efficiency:
- 34 (a) Developing personal computer replacement policies for the 35 state, with consideration given to alternative models of personal 36 computer usage for state government use, such as thin client, software

as a service, browser-based functionality, mobile computing, and other models that are less dependent upon traditional computing;

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

27

28

2930

31

3233

3435

- (b) Pursuing shared services initiatives across functional areas, which may include services such as e-mail, telephony, and data storage;
- (c) Pursuing pilot programs, such as a pilot to demonstrate the value of application management services, to identify opportunities to achieve operational efficiencies;
- (d) Developing data storage policies and record retention requirements and schedules for state agencies, in consultation with the office of the secretary of state, the state archivist, and the state records committee, where appropriate;
- (e) Reviewing existing software maintenance contracts to identify opportunities to renegotiate the price of those contracts or the level of service; and
- 15 (f) Partnering with private providers for commonly utilized 16 information technology products and services.
- 17 (3) The legislative and judicial branches are encouraged to 18 coordinate with, and participate in, shared services initiatives, pilot 19 programs, and development of the enterprise-based strategy, where 20 appropriate.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 11. (1) The office of financial management, with the assistance of the department of information services, must identify areas of potential savings that will achieve the savings identified in the omnibus appropriations act. These areas shall include, but not be limited to, wireless service, telephony, desktop computers, electronic mail services, and data storage.
 - (2) The office of financial management shall work with the appropriate state agencies, including the department of information services, to generate savings that arise pursuant to this act from the improved acquisition and delivery of information technology products and services. To accomplish this objective, state agencies must provide timely, accurate total cost of ownership data to the office of financial management upon request regarding information technology products and services. The savings must be at least equal to those specified in the omnibus appropriations act. The office of financial management shall reduce agency allotments by the amounts specified in

- the omnibus appropriations act to reflect these savings. The allotment reductions shall be placed in unallotted status and remain unexpended.
 - (3) For the purposes of this section, "state agency" means any office, department, board, commission, or other unit of state government, but does not include a unit of state government headed by a statewide elected official, an institution of higher education as defined in RCW 28B.10.016, the higher education coordinating board, the state board for community and technical colleges, or agencies of the legislative or judicial branches of state government.
 - (4) This section expires June 30, 2011.

- NEW SECTION. Sec. 12. A new section is added to chapter 43.105 RCW to read as follows:
 - (1) The department, in collaboration with state agencies, shall conduct an inventory from existing data sets of information technology assets owned or leased by state agencies. This inventory must be used to inform the development of a state information technology asset management process. Prior to implementation of any state information technology asset management process, the department must submit its recommended approach, including an estimate of the associated implementation costs, to the board for approval.
 - (2) For the purposes of this section, "state agency" includes every state office, department, division, bureau, board, commission, or other state agency, including offices headed by a statewide elected official, and offices in the legislative and judicial branches of state government, notwithstanding the provisions of RCW 44.68.105.
 - *NEW SECTION. Sec. 13. (1) The office of financial management, in consultation with the department of information services and the information services board, shall develop and execute a pilot program to contract with one or more private providers for the delivery, support, maintenance, and operation of information technology through application managed services or other similar programs across one or more functional areas of information technology, or for the information technology needs of one or more state agencies. In selecting a private provider for the pilot program, the office of financial management must engage in a competitive bid process or request for proposals process.

(2) The objective of the pilot program will be to assess: (a) Each agency's information technology application portfolio; (b) opportunities to use best practices and tools; and (c) whether the agency should proceed with application managed services or other similar programs based on the results of the assessment.

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

28

2930

31

- (3) The department of information services and the office of financial management shall prepare a report of the findings of the pilot assessments by September 1, 2010, and a final report of the pilot results by June 30, 2011. The final report must include the following: Identification of short and long-term costs, risks, benefits, and other organizational impacts of implementing application managed services or other similar programs within the pilot agencies. The final report must also identify opportunities for other state agencies to benefit from application managed services or other similar programs. The results of the pilot program must be provided to the information services board, the governor, the senate committee on ways and means, and the house of representatives committee on ways and means.

 *Sec. 13 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.
- *NEW SECTION. Sec. 14. The department of information services shall, by November 1, 2010, report on the efforts to develop a centralized information project management office pursuant to section 142, chapter 522, Laws of 2007. The report shall address the current status of the effort, lessons learned, and recommended changes to the program.

 *Sec. 14 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.
- *NEW SECTION. Sec. 15. (1) The office of financial management shall contract with an independent consultant to:
- 26 (a) Conduct a technical and financial analysis of the state's plan 27 for the consolidated state data center and office building; and
 - (b) Develop a strategic business plan outlining the various options for use of the site that maximize taxpayer value consistent with the terms of the finance lease and related agreements.
 - (2) The analysis must consist of, at a minimum, an assessment of the following issues:
- 33 (a) The total capital and operational costs for the proposed data 34 center and office building;
- 35 (b) The occupancy rate for the consolidated state data center, as

- compared to total capacity, that will result in revenue exceeding total capital and operating expenses;
 - (c) The potential reallocation of resources that could result from the consolidation of state data centers and office space; and
 - (d) The potential return on investment for the consolidated state data center and office building that may be realized without impairing any existing contractual rights under the terms of the financing lease and related agreements.
- 9 (3) This review must build upon the analysis and migration strategy 10 for the consolidated state data center being prepared for the 11 department of information services.
- 12 (4) The strategic plan must be submitted to the governor and the
 13 legislature by December 1, 2010.
 *Sec. 15 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.
- 14 NEW SECTION. Sec. 16. (1) The department of information services and the office of financial management shall review existing statutes, 15 16 data, and organizational to structures 17 opportunities increase efficiency, customer service, to and 18 transparency in information technology. This effort shall include:
- 19 (a) Identifying and addressing financial data needed to 20 comprehensively evaluate information technology spending from an 21 enterprise perspective;
 - (b) A review of best practices in information technology governance, including private sector practices and lessons learned from other states; and
- (c) A review of existing statutes regarding information technology governance, standards, and financing to identify inconsistencies between current law and best practices.
- 28 (2) The department of information services and the office of 29 financial management shall report findings and recommendations to the 30 governor and the appropriate committees of the legislature by December 31 1, 2010.
- 32 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 17.** RCW 43.105.017 (Legislative intent) and 1992 c 20 s 6, 1990 c 208 s 2, & 1987 c 504 s 2 are each repealed.
- 34 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 18.** If specific funding for the purposes of

5

6 7

8

22

23

- 1 this act, referencing this act by bill or chapter number, is not
- 2 provided by June 30, 2010, in the omnibus appropriations act, this act
- 3 is null and void.

Passed by the House March 11, 2010.

Passed by the Senate March 10, 2010.

Approved by the Governor April 1, 2010, with the exception of certain items that were vetoed.

Filed in Office of Secretary of State April 2, 2010.

Note: Governor's explanation of partial veto is as follows:

"I am returning herewith, without my approval as to Sections 5, 13, 14 and 15, Engrossed Substitute House Bill 3178 entitled:

"AN ACT Relating to creating efficiencies in the use of technology in state government."

Section 5 requires the Information Services Board to develop standardized contracts and to review state agency information technology budgets. I am vetoing Section 5 because the Department of Information Services already has the authority to standardize its contracts and has already implemented many standardized contracts. In developing a statewide enterprise-based information technology strategy, the Office of Financial Management and Department of Information Services can determine if additional standardization is required. In addition, it is premature to vest additional authority and staff in the Information Services Board prior to the information technology governance review called for in Section 16 of this bill. Finally, no funding was provided to implement these subsections.

Section 13 requires the Office of Financial Management to develop and execute a pilot program to contract with private providers for the delivery, support, maintenance, and operation of information technology projects and report on the findings. I am vetoing this section because funding has not been provided for this purpose in the omnibus appropriations act and the Office of Financial Management cannot absorb the cost.

Section 14 requires the Department of Information Services to report on the efforts to develop a centralized project management office by November 1, 2010. I am vetoing this section because it codifies a requirement for the Department to produce a one-time report on the status of the establishment of a Centralized Information Technology Project Management Office that was funded as part of the 2007 Supplemental Budget. The Department has already completed the report and will submit it to the Legislature.

Section 15 requires the Office of Financial Management to contract with an independent consultant to conduct a technical and financial analysis of the state's plan for the Consolidated State Data Center and Office Building and to develop a business plan outlining the various options for use of the site. I am vetoing this section because funding was not provided for this purpose in the omnibus appropriations act and the Office of Financial Management cannot absorb the cost.

For these reasons, I have vetoed Sections 5, 13, 14 and 15 of Engrossed Substitute House Bill 3178.

With the exception of Sections 5, 13, 14 and 15, Engrossed Substitute House Bill 3178 is approved."