# CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

#### SENATE BILL 5107

Chapter 419, Laws of 2009

61st Legislature 2009 Regular Session

ENERGY OVERLAY ZONES

EFFECTIVE DATE: 07/26/09

Passed by the Senate April 22, 2009 YEAS 48 NAYS 0

#### BRAD OWEN

## President of the Senate

Passed by the House April 7, 2009 YEAS 98 NAYS 0

## FRANK CHOPP

# Speaker of the House of Representatives

Approved May 8, 2009, 10:58 a.m.

I, Thomas Hoemann, Secretary of the Senate of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **SENATE BILL 5107** as passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives on the dates hereon set forth.

CERTIFICATE

### THOMAS HOEMANN

Secretary

FILED

May 11, 2009

CHRISTINE GREGOIRE

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State State of Washington

## SENATE BILL 5107

AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

Passed Legislature - 2009 Regular Session

61st Legislature

State of Washington 2009 Regular Session

By Senator Honeyford

Read first time 01/14/09. Referred to Committee on Environment, Water & Energy.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to energy overlay zones; and amending RCW
- 2 36.70C.020 and 36.70C.130.
- BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON: 3
- **Sec. 1.** RCW 36.70C.020 and 1995 c 347 s 703 are each amended to 4 5 read as follows:
- Unless the context clearly requires otherwise, the definitions in 6 this section apply throughout this chapter. 7
- (1) "Energy overlay zone" means a formal plan enacted by the county 8 <u>legislative</u> <u>authority</u> <u>that</u> <u>establishes</u> <u>suitable</u> <u>areas</u> <u>for</u> <u>siting</u> 9 renewable resource projects based on currently available resources and 10
- existing infrastructure with sensitivity to adverse environmental 11
- 12 impact.
- 13 (2) "Land use decision" means a final determination by a local
- jurisdiction's body or officer with the highest level of authority to 14
- make the determination, including those with authority to hear appeals, 15
- 16 on:
- (a) An application for a project permit or other governmental 17
- approval required by law before real property may be 18 improved,
- 19 developed, modified, sold, transferred, or used, but excluding

- applications for permits or approvals to use, vacate, or transfer streets, parks, and similar types of public property; excluding applications for legislative approvals such as area-wide rezones and annexations; and excluding applications for business licenses;
- 5 (b) An interpretative or declaratory decision regarding the 6 application to a specific property of zoning or other ordinances or 7 rules regulating the improvement, development, modification, 8 maintenance, or use of real property; and
- 9 (c) The enforcement by a local jurisdiction of ordinances 10 regulating the improvement, development, modification, maintenance, or 11 use of real property. However, when a local jurisdiction is required 12 by law to enforce the ordinances in a court of limited jurisdiction, a 13 petition may not be brought under this chapter.
- 14  $((\frac{2}{2}))$  <u>(3)</u> "Local jurisdiction" means a county, city, or 15 incorporated town.
- 16  $((\frac{3}{1}))$   $(\frac{4}{1})$  "Person" means an individual, partnership, corporation, 17 association, public or private organization, or governmental entity or 18 agency.
- 19 <u>(5) "Renewable resources" has the same meaning provided in RCW</u>
  20 <u>19.280.020.</u>
- 21 **Sec. 2.** RCW 36.70C.130 and 1995 c 347 s 714 are each amended to 22 read as follows:
  - (1) The superior court, acting without a jury, shall review the record and such supplemental evidence as is permitted under RCW 36.70C.120. The court may grant relief only if the party seeking relief has carried the burden of establishing that one of the standards set forth in (a) through (f) of this subsection has been met. The standards are:
- 29 (a) The body or officer that made the land use decision engaged in 30 unlawful procedure or failed to follow a prescribed process, unless the 31 error was harmless;
- 32 (b) The land use decision is an erroneous interpretation of the 33 law, after allowing for such deference as is due the construction of a 34 law by a local jurisdiction with expertise;
- 35 (c) The land use decision is not supported by evidence that is 36 substantial when viewed in light of the whole record before the court;

23

24

25

26

2728

1 (d) The land use decision is a clearly erroneous application of the 2 law to the facts;

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

29

3031

32

- (e) The land use decision is outside the authority or jurisdiction of the body or officer making the decision; or
- (f) The land use decision violates the constitutional rights of the party seeking relief.
- (2) In order to grant relief under this chapter, it is not necessary for the court to find that the local jurisdiction engaged in arbitrary and capricious conduct. A grant of relief by itself may not be deemed to establish liability for monetary damages or compensation.
- (3) Land use decisions made by a local jurisdiction concerning renewable resource projects within a county energy overlay zone are presumed to be reasonable if they are in compliance with the requirements and standards established by local ordinance for that zone. However, for land use decisions concerning wind power generation projects, either:
- 17 <u>(a) The local ordinance for that zone is consistent with the</u>
  18 department of fish and wildlife's wind power guidelines; or
  - (b) The local jurisdiction prepared an environmental impact statement under chapter 43.21C RCW on the energy overlay zone; and
  - (i) The local ordinance for that zone requires project mitigation, as addressed in the environmental impact statement and consistent with local, state, and federal law;
  - (ii) The local ordinance for that zone requires site specific fish and wildlife and cultural resources analysis; and
    - (iii) The local jurisdiction has adopted an ordinance that addresses critical areas under chapter 36.70A RCW.
    - (4) If a local jurisdiction has taken action and adopted local ordinances consistent with subsection (3)(b) of this section, then wind power generation projects permitted consistently with the energy overlay zone are deemed to have adequately addressed their environmental impacts as required under chapter 43.21C RCW.

Passed by the Senate April 22, 2009.

Passed by the House April 7, 2009.

Approved by the Governor May 8, 2009.

Filed in Office of Secretary of State May 11, 2009.

p. 3 SB 5107.SL