CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6611

Chapter 216, Laws of 2010

61st Legislature 2010 Regular Session

GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT--COMPREHENSIVE PLANS AND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS--SUBAREA PLANS

EFFECTIVE DATE: 06/10/10

YEAS 45 NAYS 0 I, Thomas Hoemann, Secretary of the Senate of the State of BRAD OWEN Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is SUBSTITUTE SENATE President of the Senate BILL 6611 as passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives Passed by the House March 2, 2010 on the dates hereon set forth. YEAS 94 NAYS 2 THOMAS HOEMANN FRANK CHOPP Secretary Speaker of the House of Representatives

Approved March 25, 2010, 3:59 p.m.

Passed by the Senate March 11, 2010

FILED

CERTIFICATE

March 26, 2010

CHRISTINE GREGOIRE

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State State of Washington

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6611

AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

Passed Legislature - 2010 Regular Session

State of Washington

61st Legislature

2010 Regular Session

By Senate Government Operations & Elections (originally sponsored by Senators Pridemore, Swecker, and Shin; by request of Washington State Department of Commerce and Department of Ecology)

READ FIRST TIME 02/05/10.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to extending the deadlines for the review and 2 evaluation of comprehensive land use plan and development regulations
- 3 for three years and addressing the timing for adopting certain subarea
- 4 plans; and amending RCW 36.70A.130.

8

9

1112

1314

15

16

17

- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 6 **Sec. 1.** RCW 36.70A.130 and 2009 c 479 s 23 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1)(a) Each comprehensive land use plan and development regulations shall be subject to continuing review and evaluation by the county or city that adopted them. Except as otherwise provided, a county or city shall take legislative action to review and, if needed, revise its comprehensive land use plan and development regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter according to the ((time periods specified)) deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this section.
 - (b) Except as otherwise provided, a county or city not planning under RCW 36.70A.040 shall take action to review and, if needed, revise its policies and development regulations regarding critical areas and natural resource lands adopted according to this chapter to ensure

- these policies and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter according to the ((time-periods-specified)) deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this section. Legislative action means the adoption of a resolution or ordinance following notice and a public hearing indicating at a minimum, a finding that a review and evaluation has occurred and identifying the revisions made, or that a revision was not needed and the reasons therefor.
 - (c) The review and evaluation required by this subsection may be combined with the review required by subsection (3) of this section. The review and evaluation required by this subsection shall include, but is not limited to, consideration of critical area ordinances and, if planning under RCW 36.70A.040, an analysis of the population allocated to a city or county from the most recent ten-year population forecast by the office of financial management.
 - (d) Any amendment of or revision to a comprehensive land use plan shall conform to this chapter. Any amendment of or revision to development regulations shall be consistent with and implement the comprehensive plan.
- (2)(a) Each county and city shall establish and broadly disseminate to the public a public participation program consistent with RCW 36.70A.035 and 36.70A.140 that identifies procedures and schedules whereby updates, proposed amendments, or revisions of the comprehensive plan are considered by the governing body of the county or city no more frequently than once every year. "Updates" means to review and revise, if needed, according to subsection (1) of this section, and the ((time periods—specified)) deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this section or in accordance with the provisions of subsection((s (s) and (s)) (s) of this section. Amendments may be considered more frequently than once per year under the following circumstances:
- (i) The initial adoption of a subarea plan ((that does not modify the comprehensive plan policies and designations applicable to the subarea)). Subarea plans adopted under this subsection (2)(a)(i) must clarify, supplement, or implement jurisdiction-wide comprehensive plan policies, and may only be adopted if the cumulative impacts of the proposed plan are addressed by appropriate environmental review under chapter 43.21C RCW;
- (ii) <u>The development of an initial subarea plan for economic</u>

development located outside of the one hundred year floodplain in a county that has completed a state-funded pilot project that is based on watershed characterization and local habitat assessment;

(iii) The adoption or amendment of a shoreline master program under the procedures set forth in chapter 90.58 RCW;

- $((\frac{iii}))$ (iv) The amendment of the capital facilities element of a comprehensive plan that occurs concurrently with the adoption or amendment of a county or city budget; or
- (((iv) Until June 30, 2006, the designation of recreational lands under-RCW-36.70A.1701. A-county-amending-its-comprehensive-plan pursuant to this subsection (2)(a)(iv) may not do so more frequently than every eighteen months; and))
- (v) The adoption of comprehensive plan amendments necessary to enact a planned action under RCW 43.21C.031(2), provided that amendments are considered in accordance with the public participation program established by the county or city under this subsection (2)(a) and all persons who have requested notice of a comprehensive plan update are given notice of the amendments and an opportunity to comment.
- (b) Except as otherwise provided in (a) of this subsection, all proposals shall be considered by the governing body concurrently so the cumulative effect of the various proposals can be ascertained. However, after appropriate public participation a county or city may adopt amendments or revisions to its comprehensive plan that conform with this chapter whenever an emergency exists or to resolve an appeal of a comprehensive plan filed with a growth management hearings board or with the court.
- (3)(a) Each county that designates urban growth areas under RCW 36.70A.110 shall review, at least every ten years, its designated urban growth area or areas, and the densities permitted within both the incorporated and unincorporated portions of each urban growth area. In conjunction with this review by the county, each city located within an urban growth area shall review the densities permitted within its boundaries, and the extent to which the urban growth occurring within the county has located within each city and the unincorporated portions of the urban growth areas.
- (b) The county comprehensive plan designating urban growth areas, and the densities permitted in the urban growth areas by the

- comprehensive plans of the county and each city located within the urban growth areas, shall be revised to accommodate the urban growth projected to occur in the county for the succeeding twenty-year period. The review required by this subsection may be combined with the review and evaluation required by RCW 36.70A.215.
 - (4) ((The-department-shall-establish-a-schedule-for)) Except as provided in subsection (6) of this section, counties and cities ((to)) shall take action to review and, if needed, revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter((. Except as provided in subsections (5) and (8) of this section, the schedule established by the-department shall provide for the reviews and evaluations to be completed)) as follows:
 - (a) On or before December 1, 2004, ((and-every-seven-years thereafter,)) for Clallam, Clark, Jefferson, King, Kitsap, Pierce, Snohomish, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the cities within those counties;
 - (b) On or before December 1, 2005, ((and-every-seven-years thereafter,)) for Cowlitz, Island, Lewis, Mason, San Juan, Skagit, and Skamania counties and the cities within those counties;
 - (c) On or before December 1, 2006, ((and-every-seven-years thereafter,)) for Benton, Chelan, Douglas, Grant, Kittitas, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the cities within those counties; and
 - (d) On or before December 1, 2007, ((and-every-seven-years thereafter,)) for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman counties and the cities within those counties.
 - (5) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (6) of this section, following the review of comprehensive plans and development regulations required by subsection (4) of this section, counties and cities shall take action to review and, if needed, revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter as follows:
- 35 (a) On or before December 1, 2014, and every seven years
 36 thereafter, for Clallam, Clark, Jefferson, King, Kitsap, Pierce,
 37 Snohomish, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the cities within those
 38 counties;

1 (b) On or before December 1, 2015, and every seven years
2 thereafter, for Cowlitz, Island, Lewis, Mason, San Juan, Skagit, and
3 Skamania counties and the cities within those counties;

- (c) On or before December 1, 2016, and every seven years thereafter, for Benton, Chelan, Douglas, Grant, Kittitas, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the cities within those counties; and
- (d) On or before December 1, 2017, and every seven years thereafter, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman counties and the cities within those counties.
 - (6)(a) Nothing in this section precludes a county or city from conducting the review and evaluation required by this section before the ((time limits)) deadlines established in subsections (4) and (5) of this section. Counties and cities may begin this process early and may be eligible for grants from the department, subject to available funding, if they elect to do so.
 - (b) A county that is subject to a ((schedule)) deadline established ((by the department under)) in subsection (4)(b) through (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with the requirements of this section at any time within the thirty-six months following the ((date)) deadline established in ((the-applicable schedule)) subsection (4) of this section: The county has a population of less than fifty thousand and has had its population increase by no more than seventeen percent in the ten years preceding the ((date)) deadline established in ((the applicable schedule)) subsection (4) of this section as of that date.
 - (c) A city that is subject to ((a-schedule established by the department under)) a deadline established in subsection (4)(b) through (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with the requirements of this section at any time within the thirty-six months following the ((date)) deadline established in ((the applicable schedule)) subsection (4) of this section: The city has a population of no more than five thousand and has had its population increase by the greater of either no more than one hundred persons or no more than seventeen percent in the ten years preceding the ((date)) deadline established in ((the-applicable-schedule)) subsection (4) of this section as of that date.

SSB 6611.SL

- (d) A county or city that is subject to a deadline established in subsection (4)(d) of this section and that meets the criteria established in subsection (6)(b) or (c) of this section may comply with the requirements of subsection (4)(d) of this section at any time within the thirty-six months after the extension provided in subsection (6)(b) or (c) of this section.
- (e) State agencies are encouraged to provide technical assistance to the counties and cities in the review of critical area ordinances, comprehensive plans, and development regulations.
- (((6) A county or city subject to the time periods in subsection (4)(a) of this section that, pursuant to an ordinance adopted by the county-or-city-establishing-a-schedule-for-periodic-review-of-its comprehensive plan and development regulations, has conducted a review and evaluation-of-its-comprehensive-plan and development-regulations and, on or after January 1, 2001, has taken action in response to that review-and-evaluation-shall-be-deemed-to-have-conducted-the-first review-required-by-subsection-(4)(a)-of-this-section. Subsequent review and evaluation by the county-or city of its comprehensive-plan and development regulations shall-be conducted in accordance with the time periods established under subsection (4)(a) of this section.))
- (7)(a) The requirements imposed on counties and cities under this section shall be considered "requirements of this chapter" under the terms of RCW 36.70A.040(1). Only those counties and cities that meet the following criteria may receive grants, loans, pledges, or financial quarantees under chapter 43.155 or 70.146 RCW:
- $((\frac{a}{a}))$ (i) Complying with the $(\frac{schedules}{a})$ deadlines in this section;
- $((\frac{b}{b}))$ (ii) Demonstrating substantial progress towards compliance with the schedules in this section for development regulations that protect critical areas; or
- (((+c))) (iii) Complying with the extension provisions of subsection 32 ((+5)) (6)(b) ((+c)), (c), or (d) of this section ((+c)) (may-receive 33 grants, loans, pledges, or financial guarantees under chapter 43.155 or 34 (+c) 70.146 RCW)).
- 35 <u>(b)</u> A county or city that is fewer than twelve months out of 36 compliance with the schedules in this section for development 37 regulations that protect critical areas is making substantial progress

towards compliance. Only those counties and cities in compliance with the schedules in this section may receive preference for grants or loans subject to the provisions of RCW 43.17.250.

((8)-Except-as-provided-in-subsection-(5)(b)-and-(c)-of-this section:

(a)—Counties—and—cities—required—to—satisfy—the—requirements—of this section according to the schedule established by subsection (4)(b) through (d)—of this—section may comply with the requirements—of this section—for—development—regulations—that—protect—critical—areas—one year—after—the—dates—established—in—subsection—(4)(b)—through—(d)—of this—section;

(b)-Counties-and-cities-complying-with-the-requirements-of-this section-one-year-after-the-dates-established-in-subsection-(4)(b) through (d)-of-this section-for-development regulations that protect critical areas shall be deemed-in-compliance with the requirements of this section; and

(c) This subsection (8) applies only to the counties and cities specified in subsection (4)(b) through (d) of this section, and only to the requirements of this section for development regulations that protect critical areas that must be satisfied by December 1, 2005, and December 1, 2007.

(9)—Notwithstanding—subsection—(8)—of—this—section—and—the substantial—progress—provisions—of—subsections—(7)—and—(10)—of—this section, only those counties and cities complying with the schedule in subsection—(4)—of—this—section,—or—the—extension—provisions—of subsection—(5)(b) or (c) of—this section, may receive preferences for grants, loans, pledges, or financial guarantees under chapter 43.155 or 70.146 RCW.

(10) Until December 1, 2005, and notwithstanding subsection (7) of this-section,—a—county—or—city—subject—to—the—time—periods—in subsection—(4)(a)—of—this—section—demonstrating—substantial—progress towards—compliance—with—the—schedules—in—this—section—for—its comprehensive—land—use—plan—and—development—regulations—may—receive grants, loans, pledges, or financial guarantees under chapter 43.155 or 70.146 RCW. A county or city that is fewer than twelve—months out of compliance—with—the—schedules—in—this—section—for—its—comprehensive

p. 7 SSB 6611.SL

- 1 land-use-plan-and-development-regulations-is-deemed-to-be-making
- 2 substantial progress towards compliance.))

Passed by the Senate March 11, 2010. Passed by the House March 2, 2010. Approved by the Governor March 25, 2010. Filed in Office of Secretary of State March 26, 2010.