2SHB 1662 - S AMD **295**

By Senators Swecker, Ranker, Harper

ADOPTED 04/07/2011

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

4

- 5 "Sec. 1. RCW 90.58.140 and 2010 c 210 s 36 are each amended to 6 read as follows:
- 7 (1) A development shall not be undertaken on the shorelines of the 8 state unless it is consistent with the policy of this chapter and, 9 after adoption or approval, as appropriate, the applicable guidelines, 10 rules, or master program.
- $_{11}$ (2) A substantial development shall not be undertaken on $_{12}$ shorelines of the state without first obtaining a permit from the $_{13}$ government entity having administrative jurisdiction under this $_{14}$ chapter.
- 15 A permit shall be granted:
- (a) From June 1, 1971, until such time as an applicable master program has become effective, only when the development proposed is consistent with: (i) The policy of RCW 90.58.020; and (ii) after their adoption, the guidelines and rules of the department; and (iii) so far as can be ascertained, the master program being developed for the area;
- (b) After adoption or approval, as appropriate, by the department of an applicable master program, only when the development proposed is consistent with the applicable master program and this chapter.
- 25 (3) The local government shall establish a program, consistent 26 with rules adopted by the department, for the administration and 27 enforcement of the permit system provided in this section. The

- 1 administration of the system so established shall be performed 2 exclusively by the local government.
- 3 (4) Except as otherwise specifically provided in subsection (11) 4 of this section, the local government shall require notification of 5 the public of all applications for permits governed by any permit 6 system established pursuant to subsection (3) of this section by 7 ensuring that notice of the application is given by at least one of
- 7 ensuring that notice of the application is given by at least one of
- 8 the following methods:
- 9 (a) Mailing of the notice to the latest recorded real property 10 owners as shown by the records of the county assessor within at least 11 three hundred feet of the boundary of the property upon which the 12 substantial development is proposed;
- 13 (b) Posting of the notice in a conspicuous manner on the property 14 upon which the project is to be constructed; or
- 15 (c) Any other manner deemed appropriate by local authorities to 16 accomplish the objectives of reasonable notice to adjacent landowners 17 and the public.
- The notices shall include a statement that any person desiring to submit written comments concerning an application, or desiring to receive notification of the final decision concerning an application as expeditiously as possible after the issuance of the decision, may submit the comments or requests for decisions to the local government within thirty days of the last date the notice is to be published pursuant to this subsection. The local government shall forward, in a timely manner following the issuance of a decision, a copy of the decision to each person who submits a request for the decision.
- If a hearing is to be held on an application, notices of such a 28 hearing shall include a statement that any person may submit oral or 29 written comments on an application at the hearing.
- 30 (5) The system shall include provisions to assure that 31 construction pursuant to a permit will not begin or be authorized 32 until twenty-one days from the date of receipt as provided in 33 subsection (6) of this section; or until all review proceedings are 34 terminated if the proceedings were initiated within twenty-one days

1 from the date of receipt as defined in subsection (6) of this section 2 except as follows:

(a) ((In the case of any permit issued to the state of Washington, department of transportation, for the construction and modification of SR 90 (I 90) on or adjacent to Lake Washington, the construction may begin after thirty days from the date of filing, and the permits are valid until December 31, 1995)) If an appeal is filed with the shorelines hearings board, construction outside of the shoreland area may be commenced in advance of final action on the appeal if the local government makes a written finding that such work does not depend on or require the work proposed within the shoreland area and is not inconsistent with any requirements of the applicable master program. Project construction that occurs under the authority of this section is done at the proponent's risk with the project proponent being responsible for meeting the requirements of the final permit decision after appeal;

(b) Construction may be commenced no sooner than thirty days after 17 18 the date of the appeal of the board's decision is filed if a permit is 19 granted by the local government and (i) the granting of the permit is 20 appealed to the shorelines hearings board within twenty-one days of 21 the date of receipt, (ii) the hearings board approves the granting of 22 the permit by the local government or approves a portion of the 23 substantial development for which the local government issued the 24 permit, and (iii) an appeal for judicial review of the hearings board 25 decision is filed pursuant to chapter 34.05 RCW. The appellant may 26 request, within ten days of the filing of the appeal with the court, a 27 hearing before the court to determine whether construction pursuant to 28 the permit approved by the hearings board or to a revised permit 29 issued pursuant to the order of the hearings board should not 30 commence. If, at the conclusion of the hearing, the court finds that 31 construction pursuant to such a permit would involve a significant, 32 irreversible damaging of the environment, the court shall prohibit the 33 permittee from commencing the construction pursuant to the approved or 34 revised permit until all review proceedings are final. Construction

- 1 pursuant to a permit revised at the direction of the hearings board
- 2 may begin only on that portion of the substantial development for
- 3 which the local government had originally issued the permit, and
- 4 construction pursuant to such a revised permit on other portions of
- 5 the substantial development may not begin until after all review
- 6 proceedings are terminated. In such a hearing before the court, the
- 7 burden of proving whether the construction may involve significant
- 8 irreversible damage to the environment and demonstrating whether such
- 9 construction would or would not be appropriate is on the appellant;
- 10 (c) If the permit is for a substantial development meeting the
- 11 requirements of subsection (11) of this section, construction pursuant
- 12 to that permit may not begin or be authorized until twenty-one days
- 13 from the date of receipt as provided in subsection (6) of this
- 14 section.
- 15 If a permittee begins construction pursuant to ((subsections))
- 16 (a), (b), or (c) of this subsection, the construction is begun at the
- 17 permittee's own risk. If, as a result of judicial review, the courts
- 18 order the removal of any portion of the construction or the
- 19 restoration of any portion of the environment involved or require the
- 20 alteration of any portion of a substantial development constructed
- 21 pursuant to a permit, the permittee is barred from recovering damages
- 22 or costs involved in adhering to such requirements from the local
- 23 government that granted the permit, the hearings board, or any
- 24 appellant or intervener.
- 25 (6) Any decision on an application for a permit under the
- 26 authority of this section, whether it is an approval or a denial,
- 27 shall, concurrently with the transmittal of the ruling to the
- 28 applicant, be transmitted to the department and the attorney general.
- 29 A petition for review of such a decision must be commenced within
- 30 twenty-one days from the date of receipt of the decision. With regard
- 31 to a permit other than a permit governed by subsection (10) of this
- 32 section, "date of receipt" as used herein refers to the date that the
- 33 applicant receives written notice from the department that the
- 34 department has received the decision. With regard to a permit for a

- 1 variance or a conditional use, "date of receipt" means the date a
- 2 local government or applicant receives the written decision of the
- 3 department rendered on the permit pursuant to subsection (10) of this
- 4 section. For the purposes of this subsection, the term "date of
- 5 receipt" has the same meaning as provided in RCW 43.21B.001.
- 6 (7) Applicants for permits under this section have the burden of
- 7 proving that a proposed substantial development is consistent with the
- 8 criteria that must be met before a permit is granted. In any review
- 9 of the granting or denial of an application for a permit as provided
- 10 in RCW 90.58.180 (1) and (2), the person requesting the review has the
- 11 burden of proof.
- 12 (8) Any permit may, after a hearing with adequate notice to the
- 13 permittee and the public, be rescinded by the issuing authority upon
- 14 the finding that a permittee has not complied with conditions of a
- 15 permit. If the department is of the opinion that noncompliance
- 16 exists, the department shall provide written notice to the local
- 17 government and the permittee. If the department is of the opinion
- 18 that the noncompliance continues to exist thirty days after the date
- 19 of the notice, and the local government has taken no action to rescind
- 20 the permit, the department may petition the hearings board for a
- 21 rescission of the permit upon written notice of the petition to the
- 22 local government and the permittee if the request by the department is
- 23 made to the hearings board within fifteen days of the termination of
- 24 the thirty-day notice to the local government.
- 25 (9) The holder of a certification from the governor pursuant to
- 26 chapter 80.50 RCW shall not be required to obtain a permit under this
- 27 section.
- 28 (10) Any permit for a variance or a conditional use by local
- 29 government under approved master programs must be submitted to the
- 30 department for its approval or disapproval.
- 31 (11)(a) An application for a substantial development permit for a
- 32 limited utility extension or for the construction of a bulkhead or
- 33 other measures to protect a single family residence and its

- 1 appurtenant structures from shoreline erosion shall be subject to the 2 following procedures:
- 3 (i) The public comment period under subsection (4) of this section
- 4 shall be twenty days. The notice provided under subsection (4) of
- 5 this section shall state the manner in which the public may obtain a
- 6 copy of the local government decision on the application no later than
- 7 two days following its issuance;
- 8 (ii) The local government shall issue its decision to grant or
- 9 deny the permit within twenty-one days of the last day of the comment
- 10 period specified in (a)(i) of this subsection; and
- 11 (iii) If there is an appeal of the decision to grant or deny the
- 12 permit to the local government legislative authority, the appeal shall
- 13 be finally determined by the legislative authority within thirty days.
- 14 (b) For purposes of this section, a limited utility extension
- 15 means the extension of a utility service that:
- 16 (i) Is categorically exempt under chapter 43.21C RCW for one or
- 17 more of the following: Natural gas, electricity, telephone, water, or
- 18 sewer;
- 19 (ii) Will serve an existing use in compliance with this chapter;
- 20 and
- 21 (iii) Will not extend more than twenty-five hundred linear feet
- 22 within the shorelines of the state."

2SHB 1662 - S AMD

By Senators Swecker, Ranker, Harper

25

26 ADOPTED 04/07/2011

On page 1, line 2 of the title, after "act;" strike the remainder of the title and insert "and amending RCW 90.58.140."

EFFECT: Clarifies that if an appeal is filed with the shorelines hearings board, construction outside of the shoreland area may be commenced in advance of final action on the appeal if the local government makes a written finding that such work is not inconsistent with any requirements of the applicable master program. Also clarifies that project construction occurring under the authority of this section is done at the project proponent's risk.

--- END ---