CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL 2671

Chapter 172, Laws of 2012

62nd Legislature 2012 Regular Session

LOCAL SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAMS--DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY ACTION

EFFECTIVE DATE: 06/07/12

Passed by the House February 13, 2012 Yeas 54 Nays 44

FRANK CHOPP

Speaker of the House of Representatives

Passed by the Senate March 1, 2012 Yeas 42 Nays 6

CERTIFICATE

I, Barbara Baker, Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL 2671** as passed by the House of Representatives and the Senate on the dates hereon set forth.

BARBARA BAKER

BRAD OWEN

Chief Clerk

President of the Senate

Approved March 29, 2012, 6:41 p.m.

FILED

March 29, 2012

CHRISTINE GREGOIRE

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State State of Washington

ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL 2671

Passed Legislature - 2012 Regular Session

rassed negistature 2012 Regular Session

State of Washington 62nd Legislature

2012 Regular Session

By Representatives Takko and Fitzgibbon; by request of Department of Ecology

Read first time 01/24/12. Referred to Committee on Local Government.

- AN ACT Relating to clarifying procedures for appealing department of ecology final action on a local shoreline master program by ensuring consistency with existing procedural provisions of the growth management act, chapter 36.70A RCW, the administrative procedure act, chapter 34.05 RCW, and the state environmental policy act, chapter
- 6 43.21C RCW; and amending RCW 90.58.190.
- 7 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 8 **Sec. 1.** RCW 90.58.190 and 2011 c 277 s 5 are each amended to read 9 as follows:
- 10 (1) The appeal of the department's decision to adopt a master 11 program or amendment pursuant to RCW 90.58.070(2) or 90.58.090(5) is 12 governed by RCW 34.05.510 through 34.05.598.
- 13 (2)(a) The department's final decision to approve or reject a 14 proposed master program or master program amendment by a local 15 government planning under RCW 36.70A.040 shall be appealed to the 16 growth management hearings board by filing a petition as provided in 17 RCW 36.70A.290.
- 18 (b) If the appeal to the growth management hearings board concerns 19 shorelines, the growth management hearings board shall review the

- proposed master program or amendment solely for compliance with the requirements of this chapter, the policy of RCW 90.58.020 and the applicable guidelines, the internal consistency provisions of RCW 36.70A.070, 36.70A.040(4), 35.63.125, and 35A.63.105, and chapter 43.21C RCW as it relates to the adoption of master programs and amendments under chapter 90.58 RCW.
 - (c) If the appeal to the growth management hearings board concerns a shoreline of statewide significance, the board shall uphold the decision by the department unless the board, by clear and convincing evidence, determines that the decision of the department is ((inconsistent)) noncompliant with the policy of RCW 90.58.020 ((and)) or the applicable guidelines, or chapter 43.21C RCW as it relates to the adoption of master programs and amendments under this chapter.
 - (d) The appellant has the burden of proof in all appeals to the growth management hearings board under this subsection.
 - (e) Any party aggrieved by a final decision of the growth management hearings board under this subsection may appeal the decision to superior court as provided in RCW 36.70A.300.
 - (3)(a) The department's final decision to approve or reject a proposed master program or master program amendment by a local government not planning under RCW 36.70A.040 shall be appealed to the shorelines hearings board by filing a petition within thirty days of the date that the department publishes notice of its final decision under RCW 90.58.090(8).
 - (b) In an appeal relating to shorelines, the shorelines hearings board shall review the proposed master program or master program amendment and, after full consideration of the presentations of the ((local government and the department)) parties, shall determine the validity of the local government's master program or amendment in light of the policy of RCW 90.58.020 and the applicable guidelines, and chapter 43.21C RCW as it relates to the adoption of master programs and amendments under this chapter.
 - (c) In an appeal relating to shorelines of statewide significance, the shorelines hearings board shall uphold the decision by the department unless the board determines, by clear and convincing evidence that the decision of the department is ((inconsistent)) noncompliant with the policy of RCW 90.58.020 ((and)) or the applicable

guidelines, or chapter 43.21C RCW as it relates to the adoption of master programs and amendments under this chapter.

- (d) Review by the shorelines hearings board shall be considered an adjudicative proceeding under chapter 34.05 RCW, the administrative procedure act. The ((aggrieved local government)) appellant shall have the burden of proof in all such reviews.
- (e) Whenever possible, the review by the shorelines hearings board shall be heard within the county where the land subject to the proposed master program or master program amendment is primarily located. The department and any ((local-government)) party aggrieved by a final decision of the hearings board may appeal the decision to superior court as provided in chapter 34.05 RCW.
- (4) A master program amendment shall become effective after the approval of the department or after the decision of the growth management hearings board or shorelines hearings board to uphold the master program or master program amendment, provided that either the growth management hearings board or the shorelines hearings board may remand the master program or master program ((adjustment)) amendment to the local government or the department for modification prior to the final adoption of the master program or master program amendment.

Passed by the House February 13, 2012. Passed by the Senate March 1, 2012. Approved by the Governor March 29, 2012. Filed in Office of Secretary of State March 29, 2012.