HOUSE BILL 2684

State of Washington 63rd Legislature 2014 Regular Session

By Representatives Walkinshaw, Zeiger, and Young; by request of Department of Transportation

Read first time 01/27/14. Referred to Committee on Transportation.

1 AN ACT Relating to time period and monetary limits on ferry vessel 2 and terminal work by state forces; and amending RCW 47.28.030.

3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

4 **Sec. 1.** RCW 47.28.030 and 2011 c 367 s 710 are each amended to 5 read as follows:

6 (1)(a) A state highway shall be constructed, altered, repaired, or 7 and improvements located improved, on property acquired for right-of-way purposes may be repaired or renovated pending the use of 8 9 such right-of-way for highway purposes, by contract or state forces. 10 The work or portions thereof may be done by state forces when the 11 estimated costs thereof are less than fifty thousand dollars and 12 effective July 1, 2005, sixty thousand dollars.

(b) When delay of performance of such work would jeopardize a state highway or constitute a danger to the traveling public, the work may be done by state forces when the estimated cost thereof is less than eighty thousand dollars and effective July 1, 2005, one hundred thousand dollars.

18 (c) When the department of transportation determines to do the work

HB 2684

p. 1

1 by state forces, it shall enter a statement upon its records to that 2 effect, stating the reasons therefor.

(d) To enable a larger number of small businesses and veteran, 3 4 minority, and women contractors to effectively compete for department 5 of transportation contracts, the department may adopt rules providing for bids and award of contracts for the performance of work, or б 7 furnishing equipment, materials, supplies, or operating services 8 whenever any work is to be performed and the engineer's estimate indicates the cost of the work would not exceed eighty thousand dollars 9 10 and effective July 1, 2005, one hundred thousand dollars.

(2) The rules adopted under this section:

12 (a) Shall provide for competitive bids to the extent that 13 competitive sources are available except when delay of performance 14 would jeopardize life or property or inconvenience the traveling 15 public; and

16 (b) Need not require the furnishing of a bid deposit nor a 17 performance bond, but if a performance bond is not required then 18 progress payments to the contractor may be required to be made based on 19 submittal of paid invoices to substantiate proof that disbursements 20 have been made to laborers, material suppliers, mechanics, and 21 subcontractors from the previous partial payment; and

(c) May establish prequalification standards and procedures as an alternative to those set forth in RCW 47.28.070, but the prequalification standards and procedures under RCW 47.28.070 shall always be sufficient.

(3) The department of transportation shall comply with such goals and rules as may be adopted by the office of minority and women's business enterprises to implement chapter 39.19 RCW with respect to contracts entered into under this chapter. The department may adopt such rules as may be necessary to comply with the rules adopted by the office of minority and women's business enterprises under chapter 39.19 RCW.

(4)(a) ((For the period of March 15, 2010, through June 30, 2013,))
<u>W</u>ork for less than ((one)) two hundred ((twenty)) thousand dollars may
be performed on ferry vessels and terminals by state forces.

36 (b) The department shall hire a disinterested, third party to 37 conduct an independent analysis to identify methods of reducing out-of-38 service times for vessel maintenance, preservation, and improvement

11

p. 2

analysis must include options 1 projects. The that consider 2 consolidating work while vessels are at shipyards by having state forces perform services traditionally performed at Eagle Harbor at the 3 4 shipyard and decreasing the allowable time at shipyards. The analysis must also compare the out-of-service vessel times of performing 5 services by state forces versus contracting out those services which in б 7 turn must be used to form a recommendation as to what the threshold of 8 work performed on ferry vessels and terminals by state forces should This analysis must be presented to the transportation committees 9 be. 10 of the senate and house of representatives by December 1, 2010.

11 (c) The department shall develop a proposed ferry vessel 12 maintenance, preservation, and improvement program and present it to 13 the transportation committees of the senate and house of 14 representatives by December 1, 2010. The proposed program must:

15 (i) Improve the basis for budgeting vessel maintenance, 16 preservation, and improvement costs and for projecting those costs into 17 a sixteen-year financial plan;

18 (ii) Limit the amount of planned out-of-service time to the 19 greatest extent possible, including options associated with department 20 staff as well as commercial shipyards; and

(iii) Be based on the service plan in the capital plan, recognizingthat vessel preservation and improvement needs may vary by route.

23 (d) In developing the proposed ferry vessel maintenance, 24 preservation, and improvement program, the department shall consider 25 the following, related to reducing vessel out-of-service time:

(i) The costs compared to benefits of Eagle Harbor repair and
maintenance facility operations options to include staffing costs and
benefits in terms of reduced out-of-service time;

29 (ii) The maintenance requirements for on-vessel staff, including 30 the benefits of a systemwide standard;

31 (iii) The costs compared to benefits of staff performing 32 preservation or maintenance work, or both, while the vessel is 33 underway, tied up between sailings, or not deployed;

34 (iv) A review of the department's vessel maintenance, preservation, 35 and improvement program contracting process and contractual 36 requirements;

37 (v) The costs compared to benefits of allowing for increased costs38 associated with expedited delivery;

p. 3

1 (vi) A method for comparing the anticipated out-of-service time of 2 proposed projects and other projects planned during the same 3 construction period;

4 (vii) Coordination with required United States coast guard dry 5 dockings;

6 (viii) A method for comparing how proposed projects relate to the 7 service requirements of the route on which the vessel normally 8 operates; and

9 (ix) A method for evaluating the ongoing maintenance and 10 preservation costs associated with proposed improvement projects.

--- END ---