## SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5748

State of Washington 64th Legislature 2015 Regular Session

By Senate Early Learning & K-12 Education (originally sponsored by Senators Litzow, Mullet, Fain, Dammeier, Hill, Rivers, Becker, King, Braun, Warnick, and Bailey)

READ FIRST TIME 02/18/15.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to clarifying the teacher and principal 2 evaluation process with the intent of strengthening the process; and 3 amonding DCW 283 405 100
- 3 amending RCW 28A.405.100.

7

8

9

10 11

1213

14

15

16

- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 **Sec. 1.** RCW 28A.405.100 and 2012 c 35 s 1 are each amended to 6 read as follows:
  - (1)(a) Except as provided in subsection (2) of this section, the superintendent of public instruction shall establish and may amend from time to time minimum criteria for the evaluation of the professional performance capabilities and development of certificated classroom teachers and certificated support personnel. For classroom teachers the criteria shall be developed in the following categories: Instructional skill; classroom management, professional preparation and scholarship; effort toward improvement when needed; the handling of student discipline and attendant problems; and interest in teaching pupils and knowledge of subject matter.
- 17 (b) Every board of directors shall, in accordance with procedure 41.59.170, 18 provided in RCW 41.59.010 through 41.59.910, 41.59.920, establish evaluative criteria and procedures for 19 all 20 certificated classroom teachers and certificated support personnel. 21 The evaluative criteria must contain as a minimum the criteria

p. 1 SSB 5748

established by the superintendent of public instruction pursuant to this section and must be prepared within six months following adoption of the superintendent of public instruction's minimum criteria. The district must certify to the superintendent of public instruction that evaluative criteria have been so prepared by the district.

- (2)(a) Pursuant to the implementation schedule established in subsection (7)(c) of this section, every board of directors shall, in accordance with procedures provided in RCW 41.59.010 through 41.59.170, 41.59.910, and 41.59.920, establish revised evaluative criteria and a four-level rating system for all certificated classroom teachers.
- (b) The minimum criteria shall include: (i) Centering instruction on high expectations for student achievement; (ii) demonstrating effective teaching practices; (iii) recognizing individual student learning needs and developing strategies to address those needs; (iv) providing clear and intentional focus on subject matter content and curriculum; (v) fostering and managing a safe, positive learning environment; (vi) using multiple student data elements to modify instruction and improve student learning; (vii) communicating and collaborating with parents and the school community; and (viii) exhibiting collaborative and collegial practices focused on improving instructional practice and student learning. Student growth data, as determined under (f) of this subsection, must be a substantial factor in evaluating the summative performance of certificated classroom teachers for at least three of the evaluation criteria listed in this subsection.
- (c) The four-level rating system used to evaluate the certificated classroom teacher must describe performance along a continuum that indicates the extent to which the criteria have been met or exceeded. The summative performance ratings shall be as follows: Level 1 unsatisfactory; level 2 basic; level 3 proficient; and level 4 distinguished. A classroom teacher shall receive one of the four summative performance ratings for each of the minimum criteria in (b) of this subsection and one of the four summative performance ratings for the evaluation as a whole, which shall be the comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating. By December 1, 2012, the superintendent of public instruction must adopt rules prescribing a common method for calculating the comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating for each of the

p. 2 SSB 5748

preferred instructional frameworks, including for a focused evaluation under subsection (12) of this section, giving appropriate weight to the indicators evaluated under each criteria and maximizing rater agreement among the frameworks.

- (d) By December 1, 2012, the superintendent of public instruction shall adopt rules that provide descriptors for each of the summative performance ratings, based on the development work of pilot school districts under subsection (7) of this section. Any subsequent changes to the descriptors by the superintendent may only be made following consultation with a group broadly reflective of the parties represented in subsection (7)(a) of this section.
- (e) By September 1, 2012, the superintendent of public instruction shall identify up to three preferred instructional frameworks that support the revised evaluation system. The instructional frameworks shall be research-based and establish definitions or rubrics for each of the four summative performance ratings for each evaluation criteria. Each school district must adopt one of the preferred instructional frameworks and post the selection on the district's web site. The superintendent of public instruction shall establish a process for approving minor modifications or adaptations to a preferred instructional framework that may be proposed by a school district.
- (f)(i) Student growth data that is relevant to the teacher and subject matter must be a factor in the evaluation process and must be based on multiple measures that can include classroom-based, school-based, district-based, and state-based tools. However, for teachers who teach reading or language arts or mathematics in a grade in which the federally mandated statewide student assessments are administered, one of the multiple measures of student growth must be the student results on the relevant assessments. The office of the superintendent of public instruction shall provide to each school district the relevant state-level assessment information necessary to determine student growth for the purpose of teacher evaluations.
- (ii) Student growth data elements may include the teacher's performance as a member of a grade-level, subject matter, or other instructional team within a school when the use of this data is relevant and appropriate.
- (iii) Student growth data elements may also include the teacher's performance as a member of the overall instructional team of a school when use of this data is relevant and appropriate. As used in this

p. 3 SSB 5748

subsection (2), "student growth" means the change in student achievement between two points in time.

- (g) Student input may also be included in the evaluation process.
- 4 (3)(a) Except as provided in subsection (11) of this section, it shall be the responsibility of a principal or his or her designee to 5 6 evaluate all certificated personnel in his or her school. During each 7 school year all classroom teachers and certificated support personnel shall be observed for the purposes of evaluation at least twice in 8 the performance of their assigned duties. Total observation time for 9 each employee for each school year shall be not less than sixty 10 11 minutes. An employee in the third year of provisional status as 12 defined in RCW 28A.405.220 shall be observed at least three times in the performance of his or her duties and the total observation time 13 for the school year shall not be less than ninety minutes. Following 14 each observation, or series of observations, the principal or other 15 16 evaluator shall promptly document the results of the observation in 17 writing, and shall provide the employee with a copy thereof within 18 three days after such report is prepared. New employees shall be 19 observed at least once for a total observation time of thirty minutes during the first ninety calendar days of their employment period. 20
  - (b) As used in this subsection and subsection (4) of this section, "employees" means classroom teachers and certificated support personnel except where otherwise specified.
  - (4)(a) At any time after October 15th, an employee whose work is not judged satisfactory based on district evaluation criteria shall be notified in writing of the specific areas of deficiencies along with a reasonable program for improvement. For classroom teachers who have been transitioned to the revised evaluation system pursuant to the district implementation schedule adopted under subsection (7)(c) of this section, the following comprehensive summative evaluation performance ratings based on the evaluation criteria in subsection (2)(b) of this section mean a classroom teacher's work is not judged satisfactory:
    - (i) Level 1; or

1

2

2122

23

2425

26

2728

29

30 31

32

33

34

3536

37

3839

(ii) Level 2 if the classroom teacher is a continuing contract employee under RCW 28A.405.210 with more than five years of teaching experience and if the level 2 comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating has been received for two consecutive years or for two years within a consecutive three-year time period.

p. 4 SSB 5748

(b) During the period of probation, the employee may not be transferred from the supervision of the original evaluator. Improvement of performance or probable cause for nonrenewal must occur and be documented by the original evaluator before any consideration of a request for transfer or reassignment contemplated by either the individual or the school district. A probationary period of sixty school days shall be established. Days may be added if deemed necessary to complete a program for improvement and evaluate the probationer's performance, as long as the probationary period is concluded before May 15th of the same school year. The probationary period may be extended into the following school year if the probationer has five or more years of teaching experience and has a comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating as of May 15th of less than level 2. establishment of a probationary period does not adversely affect the contract status of an employee within the meaning of RCW 28A.405.300. The purpose of the probationary period is to give the employee opportunity to demonstrate improvements in his or her areas of deficiency. The establishment of the probationary period and the giving of the notice to the employee of deficiency shall be by the school district superintendent and need not be submitted to the board of directors for approval. During the probationary period the evaluator shall meet with the employee at least twice monthly to supervise and make a written evaluation of the progress, if any, made by the employee. The evaluator may authorize one additional certificated employee to evaluate the probationer and to aid the employee in improving his or her areas of deficiency. Should the evaluator not authorize such additional evaluator, the probationer may request that an additional certificated employee evaluator become part of the probationary process and this request must be implemented by including an additional experienced evaluator assigned by the educational service district in which the school district is located and selected from a list of evaluation specialists compiled by the educational service district. Such additional certificated employee shall be immune from any civil liability that might otherwise be incurred or imposed with regard to the good faith performance of such evaluation. If a procedural error occurs in the implementation of a improvement, the error does not invalidate the probationer's plan for improvement or evaluation activities unless the error materially affects the effectiveness of the plan or the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

2021

22

23

2425

26

2728

29

30 31

32

33

34

3536

37

38

39 40

p. 5 SSB 5748

ability to evaluate the probationer's performance. The probationer must be removed from probation if he or she has demonstrated improvement to the satisfaction of the evaluator in those areas specifically detailed in his or her initial notice of deficiency and subsequently detailed in his or her program for improvement. A classroom teacher who has been transitioned to the revised evaluation system pursuant to the district implementation schedule adopted under subsection (7)(c) of this section must be removed from probation if he or she has demonstrated improvement that results in a new comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating of level 2 or above for a provisional employee or a continuing contract employee with five or fewer years of experience, or of level 3 or above for a continuing contract employee with more than five years of experience. Lack of necessary improvement during the established probationary period, as specifically documented in writing with notification to the probationer constitutes grounds for a finding of probable cause under RCW 28A.405.300 or 28A.405.210.

(c) When a continuing contract employee with five or more years of experience receives a comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating below level 2 for two consecutive years, the school district shall, within ten days of the completion of the second comprehensive summative ((comprehensive [comprehensive summative])) evaluation or May 15th, whichever occurs first, implement the employee notification of discharge as provided in RCW 28A.405.300.

(d) Immediately following the completion of a probationary period that does not produce performance changes detailed in the initial notice of deficiencies and program for improvement, the employee may be removed from his or her assignment and placed into an alternative assignment for the remainder of the school year. In the case of a classroom teacher who has been transitioned to the revised evaluation system pursuant to the district implementation schedule adopted under subsection (7)(c) of this section, the teacher may be removed from his or her assignment and placed into an alternative assignment for the remainder of the school year immediately following the completion of a probationary period that does not result in the required comprehensive summative evaluation performance ratings specified in (b) of this subsection. This reassignment may not displace another employee nor may it adversely affect the probationary employee's compensation or benefits for the remainder of the employee's contract

p. 6 SSB 5748

year. If such reassignment is not possible, the district may, at its option, place the employee on paid leave for the balance of the contract term.

1

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

3738

39

40

- (5) Every board of directors shall establish evaluative criteria and procedures for all superintendents, principals, and other administrators. It shall be the responsibility of the district superintendent or his or her designee to evaluate all administrators. Except as provided in subsection (6) of this section, such evaluation shall be based on the administrative position job description. Such criteria, when applicable, shall include at least the following categories: Knowledge of, experience in, and training in recognizing good professional performance, capabilities and development; school school administration and management; finance; professional preparation and scholarship; effort toward improvement when needed; interest in pupils, employees, patrons and subjects taught in school; leadership; and ability and performance of evaluation of school personnel.
  - (6)(a) Pursuant to the implementation schedule established by subsection (7)(b) of this section, every board of directors shall establish revised evaluative criteria and a four-level rating system for principals.
- (b) The minimum criteria shall include: (i) Creating a school culture that promotes the ongoing improvement of learning and teaching for students and staff; (ii) demonstrating commitment to closing the achievement gap; (iii) providing for school safety; (iv) leading the development, implementation, and evaluation of a datadriven plan for increasing student achievement, including the use of multiple student data elements; (v) assisting instructional staff with alignment of curriculum, instruction, and assessment with state and local district learning goals; (vi) monitoring, assisting, and evaluating effective instruction and assessment practices; (vii) managing both staff and fiscal resources to support student achievement and legal responsibilities; and (viii) partnering with the school community to promote student learning. Student growth data, as determined under (f) of this subsection, must be a substantial factor in evaluating the summative performance of the principal for at least three of the evaluation criteria listed in this subsection.
- (c) The four-level rating system used to evaluate the principal must describe performance along a continuum that indicates the extent

p. 7 SSB 5748

1 to which the criteria have been met or exceeded. The summative performance ratings shall be as follows: Level 1 - unsatisfactory; 2 level 2 - basic; level 3 - proficient; and level 4 - distinguished. A 3 principal shall receive one of the four summative performance ratings 4 for each of the minimum criteria in (b) of this subsection and one of 5 6 the four summative performance ratings for the evaluation as a whole, 7 which shall be the comprehensive summative evaluation performance 8 rating.

9

10 11

12

1314

15 16

17

18 19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

2829

30 31

32

33

34

3536

37

38

- (d) By December 1, 2012, the superintendent of public instruction shall adopt rules that provide descriptors for each of the summative performance ratings, based on the development work of pilot school districts under subsection (7) of this section. Any subsequent changes to the descriptors by the superintendent may only be made following consultation with a group broadly reflective of the parties represented in subsection (7)(a) of this section.
- September 1, 2012, the superintendent instruction shall identify up to three preferred leadership frameworks that support the revised evaluation system. The leadership frameworks shall be research-based and establish definitions or rubrics for each of the four performance ratings for each evaluation criteria. Each school district shall adopt one of the preferred leadership frameworks and post the selection on the district's web site. The superintendent of public instruction shall establish a process for approving minor modifications or adaptations to a preferred leadership framework that may be proposed by a school district.
- (f)(i) Student growth data that is relevant to the principal must be a factor in the evaluation process and must be based on multiple measures that can include classroom-based, school-based, district-based, and state-based tools. However, for principals assigned to a school in which reading or language arts or mathematics are taught in at least one of the grades in which the federally mandated statewide assessments are administered, one of the multiple measures of student growth must be the student results on the relevant assessments. The office of the superintendent of public instruction shall provide to each school district the relevant state-level assessment information necessary to determine student growth for the purpose of principal evaluations.
- (ii) As used in this subsection (6), "student growth" means the change in student achievement between two points in time.

p. 8 SSB 5748

- (g) Input from building staff may also be included in the evaluation process.
  - (h) For principals who have been transitioned to the revised evaluation system pursuant to the district implementation schedule adopted under subsection (7)(c) of this section, the following comprehensive summative evaluation performance ratings mean a principal's work is not judged satisfactory:
    - (i) Level 1; or

- (ii) Level 2 if the principal has more than five years of experience in the principal role and if the level 2 comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating has been received for two consecutive years or for two years within a consecutive three-year time period.
- (7)(a) The superintendent of public instruction, in collaboration with state associations representing teachers, principals, administrators, school board members, and parents, to be known as the steering committee, shall create models for implementing the evaluation system criteria, student growth tools, professional development programs, and evaluator training for certificated classroom teachers and principals. Human resources specialists, professional development experts, and assessment experts must also be consulted. Due to the diversity of teaching assignments and the many developmental levels of students, classroom teachers and principals must be prominently represented in this work. The models must be available for use in the 2011-12 school year.
- (b) A new certificated classroom teacher evaluation system that implements the provisions of subsection (2) of this section and a new principal evaluation system that implements the provisions of subsection (6) of this section shall be phased-in beginning with the 2010-11 school year by districts identified in (d) of this subsection and implemented in all school districts beginning with the 2013-14 school year.
- (c) Each school district board of directors shall adopt a schedule for implementation of the revised evaluation systems that transitions a portion of classroom teachers and principals in the district to the revised evaluation systems each year beginning no later than the 2013-14 school year, until all classroom teachers and principals are being evaluated under the revised evaluation systems no later than the 2015-16 school year. A school district is not precluded from completing the transition of all classroom teachers

p. 9 SSB 5748

- and principals to the revised evaluation systems before the 2015-16 school year. The schedule adopted under this subsection (7)(c) must provide that the following employees are transitioned to the revised evaluation systems beginning in the 2013-14 school year:
- 5 (i) Classroom teachers who are provisional employees under RCW 6 28A.405.220;
- 7 (ii) Classroom teachers who are on probation under subsection (4) 8 of this section;
- 9 (iii) Principals in the first three consecutive school years of 10 employment as a principal;

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

3839

40

- (iv) Principals whose work is not judged satisfactory in their most recent evaluation; and
- (v) Principals previously employed as a principal by another school district in the state of Washington for three or more consecutive school years and in the first full year as a principal in the school district.
- (d) A set of school districts shall be selected by the superintendent of public instruction to participate in collaborative process resulting in the development and piloting of new certificated classroom teacher and principal evaluation systems during the 2010-11 and 2011-12 school years. These school districts must be selected based on: (i) The agreement of the associations representing classroom teachers and principals collaborate with the district in this developmental work and (ii) the agreement to participate in the full range of development and implementation activities, including: Development of rubrics for the evaluation criteria and ratings in subsections (2) and (6) of this section; identification of or development of appropriate multiple measures of student growth in subsections (2) and (6) of this development of appropriate evaluation system forms; section; participation in professional development for principals classroom teachers regarding the content of the new evaluation system; participation in evaluator training; and participation in activities to evaluate the effectiveness of the new systems and support programs. The school districts must submit to the office of superintendent of public instruction data that is used evaluations and all district-collected student achievement, aptitude, growth data regardless of whether the data evaluations. If the data is not available electronically, the district may submit it in nonelectronic form. The superintendent of

p. 10 SSB 5748

public instruction must analyze the districts' use of student data in 1 evaluations, including examining the extent that student data is not 2 used or is underutilized. The superintendent of public instruction 3 must also consult with participating districts and stakeholders, 4 recommend appropriate changes, and address statewide implementation 5 6 issues. The superintendent of public instruction shall report 7 evaluation system implementation status, evaluation recommendations to appropriate committees of the legislature and 8 governor by July 1, 2011, and at the conclusion of the development 9 phase by July 1, 2012. In the July 1, 2011, report, 10 superintendent shall include recommendations for whether a single 11 12 statewide evaluation model should be adopted, whether modified versions developed by school districts should be subject to state 13 approval, and what the criteria would be for determining if a school 14 district's evaluation model meets or exceeds a statewide model. The 15 16 report shall also identify challenges posed by requiring a state 17 approval process.

(e)(i) The steering committee in (a) of this subsection (( $\frac{(7)(a)}{of}$  this section)) and the pilot school districts in (d) of this subsection (( $\frac{(7)(d)}{of}$  this section)) shall continue to examine implementation issues and refine tools for the new certificated classroom teacher evaluation system in subsection (2) of this section and the new principal evaluation system in subsection (6) of this section during the 2013-14 through 2015-16 implementation phase.

18 19

2021

22

23

2425

26

2728

29

30 31

32

33

34

3536

37

38

- (ii) Particular attention shall be given to the following issues:
- (A) Developing a report for the legislature and governor, due by December 1, 2013, of best practices and recommendations regarding how teacher and principal evaluations and other appropriate elements shall inform school district human resource and personnel practices. The legislature and governor are provided the opportunity to review the report and recommendations during the 2014 legislative session;
- (B) Taking the new teacher and principal evaluation systems to scale and the use of best practices for statewide implementation;
- (C) Providing guidance regarding the use of student growth data to assure it is used responsibly and with integrity;
- (D) Refining evaluation system management tools, professional development programs, and evaluator training programs with an emphasis on developing rater reliability;

p. 11 SSB 5748

(E) Reviewing emerging research regarding teacher and principal evaluation systems and the development and implementation of evaluation systems in other states;

- (F) Reviewing the impact that variable demographic characteristics of students and schools have on the objectivity, reliability, validity, and availability of student growth data; and
- (G) Developing recommendations regarding how teacher evaluations could inform state policies regarding the criteria for a teacher to obtain continuing contract status under RCW 28A.405.210. In developing these recommendations the experiences of school districts and teachers during the evaluation transition phase must be considered. Recommendations must be reported by July 1, 2016, to the legislature and the governor.
- (iii) To support the tasks in (e)(ii) of this subsection, the superintendent of public instruction may contract with an independent research organization with expertise in educator evaluations and knowledge of the revised evaluation systems being implemented under this section.
- (iv) The superintendent of public instruction shall monitor the statewide implementation of revised teacher and principal evaluation systems using data reported under RCW 28A.150.230 as well as periodic input from focus groups of administrators, principals, and teachers.
- (v) The superintendent of public instruction shall submit reports detailing findings, emergent issues or trends, recommendations from the steering committee, and pilot school districts, and other recommendations, to enhance implementation and continuous improvement of the revised evaluation systems to appropriate committees of the legislature and the governor beginning July 1, 2013, and each July 1st thereafter for each year of the school district implementation transition period concluding with a report on December 1, 2016.
- (8)(a) Beginning with the ((2015-16)) 2016-17 school year, evaluation results for certificated classroom teachers and principals must be used as one of multiple factors in making human resource and personnel decisions. Human resource decisions include, but are not limited to: Staff assignment, including the consideration of an agreement to an assignment by an appropriate teacher, principal, and superintendent; and reduction in force. Nothing in this section limits the ability to collectively bargain how the multiple factors shall be used in making human resource or personnel decisions, with the exception that evaluation results must be a factor.

p. 12 SSB 5748

(b) The office of the superintendent of public instruction must report to the legislature and the governor regarding the school district implementation of the provisions of (a) of this subsection by December 1, ((2017)) 2018.

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

2728

29

30 31

32

33

34

3536

37

3839

40

- (9) Each certificated classroom teacher and certificated support personnel shall have the opportunity for confidential conferences with his or her immediate supervisor on no less than two occasions in each school year. Such confidential conference shall have as its sole purpose the aiding of the administrator in his or her assessment of the employee's professional performance.
- (10) The failure of any evaluator to evaluate or supervise or cause the evaluation or supervision of certificated classroom teachers and certificated support personnel or administrators in accordance with this section, as now or hereafter amended, when it is his or her specific assigned or delegated responsibility to do so, shall be sufficient cause for the nonrenewal of any such evaluator's contract under RCW 28A.405.210, or the discharge of such evaluator under RCW 28A.405.300.
- (11) After a certificated classroom teacher or certificated support personnel has four years of satisfactory evaluations under subsection (1) of this section, a school district may use a short form of evaluation, a locally bargained evaluation emphasizing professional growth, an evaluation under subsection (1) or (2) of section, or any combination thereof. The short form evaluation shall include either a thirty minute observation during the school year with a written summary or a final annual written evaluation based on the criteria in subsection (1) or (2) of this section and based on at least two observation periods during the school year totaling at least sixty minutes without a written summary of such observations being prepared. A locally bargained short-form evaluation emphasizing professional growth must provide that the professional growth activity conducted by the certificated classroom teacher be specifically linked to one or more of the certificated classroom teacher evaluation criteria. However, the evaluation process set forth in subsection (1) or (2) of this section shall be followed at least once every three years unless this time is extended by a local school district under the bargaining process set forth in chapter 41.59 RCW. The employee or evaluator may require that the evaluation process set forth in subsection (1) or (2) of this section be conducted in any given school year. No evaluation other than the

p. 13 SSB 5748

- 1 evaluation authorized under subsection (1) or (2) of this section may be used as a basis for determining that an employee's work is not 2 satisfactory under subsection (1) or (2) of this section or as 3 probable cause for the nonrenewal of an employee's contract under RCW 4 28A.405.210 unless an evaluation process developed under chapter 5 6 41.59 RCW determines otherwise. The provisions of this subsection 7 apply to certificated classroom teachers only until the teacher has been transitioned to the revised evaluation system pursuant to the 8 district implementation schedule adopted under subsection (7)(c) of 9 this section. 10
  - (12) All certificated classroom teachers and principals who have been transitioned to the revised evaluation systems pursuant to the district implementation schedule adopted under subsection (7)(c) of this section must receive annual performance evaluations as provided in this subsection:

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

27

28 29

30

34

35

36

37

3839

40

- (a) All classroom teachers and principals shall receive a comprehensive summative evaluation at least once every four years. A comprehensive summative evaluation assesses all eight evaluation criteria and all criteria contribute to the comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating.
- 21 (b) The following categories of classroom teachers and principals 22 shall receive an annual comprehensive summative evaluation:
- 23 (i) Classroom teachers who are provisional employees under RCW 28A.405.220;
- 25 (ii) Principals in the first three consecutive school years of 26 employment as a principal;
  - (iii) Principals previously employed as a principal by another school district in the state of Washington for three or more consecutive school years and in the first full year as a principal in the school district; and
- 31 (iv) Any classroom teacher or principal who received a 32 comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating of level 1 or 33 level 2 in the previous school year.
  - (c)(i) In the years when a comprehensive summative evaluation is not required, classroom teachers and principals who received a comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating of level 3 or above in the previous school year are required to complete a focused evaluation. A focused evaluation includes an assessment of one of the eight criteria selected for a performance rating plus professional growth activities specifically linked to the selected criteria.

p. 14 SSB 5748

(ii) The selected criteria must be approved by the teacher's or principal's evaluator and may have been identified in a previous comprehensive summative evaluation as benefiting from additional attention. A group of teachers may focus on the same evaluation criteria and share professional growth activities. A group of principals may focus on the same evaluation criteria and share professional growth activities.

- (iii) The evaluator must assign a comprehensive summative evaluation performance rating for the focused evaluation using the methodology adopted by the superintendent of public instruction for the instructional or leadership framework being used.
- (iv) A teacher or principal may be transferred from a focused evaluation to a comprehensive summative evaluation at the request of the teacher or principal, or at the direction of the teacher's or principal's evaluator.
- (v) Due to the importance of instructional leadership and assuring rater agreement among evaluators, particularly those evaluating teacher performance, school districts are encouraged to conduct comprehensive summative evaluations of principal performance on an annual basis.
- (vi) A classroom teacher or principal may apply the focused evaluation professional growth activities toward the professional growth plan for professional certificate renewal as required by the professional educator standards board.
- 25 (13) Each school district is encouraged to acknowledge and 26 recognize classroom teachers and principals who have attained level 4 27 - distinguished performance ratings.

--- END ---

p. 15 SSB 5748