CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

## SENATE BILL 6188

65th Legislature 2018 Regular Session

Passed by the Senate February 9, 2018 Yeas 41 Nays 6

President of the Senate

Passed by the House March 2, 2018 Yeas 98 Nays 0

Speaker of the House of Representatives Approved CERTIFICATE

I, Brad Hendrickson, Secretary of the Senate of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **SENATE BILL 6188** as passed by Senate and the House of Representatives on the dates hereon set forth.

Secretary

FILED

Secretary of State State of Washington

Governor of the State of Washington

## SENATE BILL 6188

Passed Legislature - 2018 Regular SessionState of Washington65th Legislature2018 Regular SessionBy Senators Dhingra, O'Ban, Wilson, Van De Wege, and KudererRead first time 01/10/18.Referred to Committee on Law & Justice.

AN ACT Relating to fairness in disciplinary actions of peace officers who appear on a prosecuting attorney's potential impeachment list; adding a new section to chapter 10.93 RCW; and creating a new section.

5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

6 NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. The United States supreme court has 7 consistently found that prosecutors have the duty to disclose potentially exculpatory evidence to defense attorneys prior to trial. 8 Some of the information that is being disclosed about government 9 10 witnesses, often law enforcement officers, has not been substantiated 11 or proven to any degree. This act prohibits a law enforcement agency 12 from taking punitive action against a peace officer solely because 13 the officer's name was placed on a potential impeachment list. This 14 act specifically does not prohibit a law enforcement agency from taking punitive or personnel action against a peace officer based on 15 16 the underlying acts or omissions for which that officer's name was 17 placed on the list.

18 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 10.93 19 RCW to read as follows:

p. 1

1 A disciplinary action or any other adverse personnel action may not be undertaken by a law enforcement agency against a peace officer 2 solely because that officer's name has been placed on a list 3 maintained by a prosecuting attorney's office of recurring witnesses 4 for whom there is known potential impeachment information, or that 5 6 the officer's name may otherwise be subject to disclosure pursuant to Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). This section does not prohibit 7 a law enforcement agency from taking disciplinary action or any other 8 adverse personnel action against a peace officer based on the 9 underlying acts or omissions for which that officer's name was placed 10 on a prosecutor-maintained list, or may otherwise be subject to 11 disclosure pursuant to Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), if the 12 actions taken by the law enforcement agency otherwise conform to the 13 14 rules and procedures adopted by the law enforcement agency as determined through collective bargaining. 15

--- END ---