SENATE BILL REPORT SB 6397

As of February 2, 2020

Title: An act relating to nonparticipating providers.

Brief Description: Concerning nonparticipating providers.

Sponsors: Senators Frockt, Rolfes and Keiser; by request of Health Care Authority.

Brief History:

Committee Activity: Ways & Means: 1/30/20.

Brief Summary of Bill

• Removes the expiration date.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON WAYS & MEANS

Staff: Sandy Stith (786-7710)

Background: The 2011 Legislature passed SB 5927 to require the Medicaid-managed care plans to pay a nonparticipating provider no more than the lowest amount paid for that service under the managed care system's contracts with similar providers in the state. Nonparticipating providers must accept the amount paid by the managed care plan as payment in full, except for any deductible, co-insurance, or co-payment due from the enrollee.

The Medicaid-managed care plans must meet federal requirements to maintain a network of appropriate providers sufficient to provide adequate access to all covered services. The 2011 legislation required the Health Care Authority to monitor and report on the proportion of services provided by contracted providers and nonparticipating providers, by county, for each managed care plan to ensure the network adequacy requirements were met.

Prior to passage of the 2011 legislation, a Snohomish County Superior Court decision indicated a managed care plan should pay a non-contracted practitioner the full amount billed by the practitioner. There were estimates for significant impact to the managed care rates, and the state budget, if the ruling was applied statewide.

Senate Bill Report - 1 - SB 6397

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

These provisions were to expire July 1, 2016.

The 2015 Legislature passed HB 1652 that required Medicaid-managed care plans to pay a nonparticipating provider no more than the lowest amount paid for that service under the managed care system's contracts with similar providers in the state if the managed care plan made good faith efforts to contract with the nonparticipating provider.

This legislation extended the expiration date to July 1, 2021.

Summary of Bill: The expiration date is removed.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Available.

Creates Committee/Commission/Task Force that includes Legislative members: No.

Effective Date: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Staff Summary of Public Testimony: PRO: We all want to find a way to get our Medicaid rates up for our providers. We continue to work through these issues. However, this is current policy and we need to continue to work on this. If we did not assume this bill would go forward, we would need to adjust the forecast to reflect the difference in paying for billed charges in the underlying budget. This is why there is not a savings to take. This bill assumes rates as we currently pay them. We derive the estimate in the fiscal note based on paid claims, then extrapolate to billed charges. There is no way to be precise. We can only estimate. We do not know what billed charges will be. We just know they are generally a lot more than the Medicaid rate. For the sake of fiscal stability and predictability, we request that the sunset date be removed. We also believe there needs to be further discussion about Medicaid rates and reimbursement, but we do not believe extending this policy is the way to do that.

CON: We appreciate the sponsor's comments about Medicaid reimbursement. We are not advocating for billed charges in Medicaid, nor or we advocating to be able to balance bill. Our interest is that there is a broad conversation about Medicaid reimbursement. It is widely acknowledged that Medicaid rates in the state are subpar in ensuring access to care. Until Medicaid reimbursement is meaningfully addressed, we believe this policy should be part of that conversation. We would advocate that the sunset date be extended rather than making this permanent state law.

Persons Testifying: PRO: Senator David Frockt, Prime Sponsor; Chris Bandoli, Association of Washington Healthcare Plans; Jason McGill, Health Care Authority.

CON: Sean Graham, Washington State Medical Association.

Persons Signed In To Testify But Not Testifying: No one.