

**SB 5042** - S AMD **341**  
By Senator Salomon

**NOT CONSIDERED 04/26/2021**

1 On page 2, after line 22, insert the following:

2 **"Sec. 3.** RCW 36.70A.130 and 2020 c 113 s 1 and 2020 c 20 s 1026  
3 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows:

4 (1)(a) Each comprehensive land use plan and development  
5 regulations shall be subject to continuing review and evaluation by  
6 the county or city that adopted them. Except as otherwise provided, a  
7 county or city shall take legislative action to review and, if  
8 needed, revise its comprehensive land use plan and development  
9 regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the  
10 requirements of this chapter according to the deadlines in  
11 subsections (4) and (5) of this section.

12 (b) Except as otherwise provided, a county or city not planning  
13 under RCW 36.70A.040 shall take action to review and, if needed,  
14 revise its policies and development regulations regarding critical  
15 areas and natural resource lands adopted according to this chapter to  
16 ensure these policies and regulations comply with the requirements of  
17 this chapter according to the deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of  
18 this section. Legislative action means the adoption of a resolution  
19 or ordinance following notice and a public hearing indicating at a  
20 minimum, a finding that a review and evaluation has occurred and  
21 identifying the revisions made, or that a revision was not needed and  
22 the reasons therefor.

23 (c) The review and evaluation required by this subsection shall  
24 include, but is not limited to, consideration of critical area  
25 ordinances and, if planning under RCW 36.70A.040, an analysis of the  
26 population allocated to a city or county from the most recent ten-  
27 year population forecast by the office of financial management.

28 (d) Any amendment of or revision to a comprehensive land use plan  
29 shall conform to this chapter. Any amendment of or revision to  
30 development regulations shall be consistent with and implement the  
31 comprehensive plan.

1 (2) (a) Each county and city shall establish and broadly  
2 disseminate to the public a public participation program consistent  
3 with RCW 36.70A.035 and 36.70A.140 that identifies procedures and  
4 schedules whereby updates, proposed amendments, or revisions of the  
5 comprehensive plan are considered by the governing body of the county  
6 or city no more frequently than once every year. "Updates" means to  
7 review and revise, if needed, according to subsection (1) of this  
8 section, and the deadlines in subsections (4) and (5) of this section  
9 or in accordance with the provisions of subsection (6) of this  
10 section. Amendments may be considered more frequently than once per  
11 year under the following circumstances:

12 (i) The initial adoption of a subarea plan. Subarea plans adopted  
13 under this subsection (2) (a) (i) must clarify, supplement, or  
14 implement jurisdiction-wide comprehensive plan policies, and may only  
15 be adopted if the cumulative impacts of the proposed plan are  
16 addressed by appropriate environmental review under chapter 43.21C  
17 RCW;

18 (ii) The development of an initial subarea plan for economic  
19 development located outside of the one hundred year floodplain in a  
20 county that has completed a state-funded pilot project that is based  
21 on watershed characterization and local habitat assessment;

22 (iii) The adoption or amendment of a shoreline master program  
23 under the procedures set forth in chapter 90.58 RCW;

24 (iv) The amendment of the capital facilities element of a  
25 comprehensive plan that occurs concurrently with the adoption or  
26 amendment of a county or city budget; or

27 (v) The adoption of comprehensive plan amendments necessary to  
28 enact a planned action under RCW 43.21C.440, provided that amendments  
29 are considered in accordance with the public participation program  
30 established by the county or city under this subsection (2) (a) and  
31 all persons who have requested notice of a comprehensive plan update  
32 are given notice of the amendments and an opportunity to comment.

33 (b) Except as otherwise provided in (a) of this subsection, all  
34 proposals shall be considered by the governing body concurrently so  
35 the cumulative effect of the various proposals can be ascertained.  
36 However, after appropriate public participation a county or city may  
37 adopt amendments or revisions to its comprehensive plan that conform  
38 with this chapter whenever an emergency exists or to resolve an  
39 appeal of a comprehensive plan filed with the growth management  
40 hearings board or with the court.

1 (3) (a) Each county that designates urban growth areas under RCW  
2 36.70A.110 shall review, according to the schedules established in  
3 subsections (4) and (5) of this section, its designated urban growth  
4 area or areas, and the densities permitted within both the  
5 incorporated and unincorporated portions of each urban growth area.  
6 In conjunction with this review by the county, each city located  
7 within an urban growth area shall review the densities permitted  
8 within its boundaries, and the extent to which the urban growth  
9 occurring within the county has located within each city and the  
10 unincorporated portions of the urban growth areas.

11 (b) The county comprehensive plan designating urban growth areas,  
12 and the densities permitted in the urban growth areas by the  
13 comprehensive plans of the county and each city located within the  
14 urban growth areas, shall be revised to accommodate the urban growth  
15 projected to occur in the county for the succeeding twenty-year  
16 period. The review required by this subsection may be combined with  
17 the review and evaluation required by RCW 36.70A.215.

18 (4) Except as otherwise provided in subsections (6) and (8) of  
19 this section, counties and cities shall take action to review and, if  
20 needed, revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations  
21 to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of  
22 this chapter as follows:

23 (a) On or before June 30, 2015, for King, Pierce, and Snohomish  
24 counties and the cities within those counties;

25 (b) On or before June 30, 2016, for Clallam, Clark, Island,  
26 Jefferson, Kitsap, Mason, San Juan, Skagit, Thurston, and Whatcom  
27 counties and the cities within those counties;

28 (c) On or before June 30, 2017, for Benton, Chelan, Cowlitz,  
29 Douglas, Kittitas, Lewis, Skamania, Spokane, and Yakima counties and  
30 the cities within those counties; and

31 (d) On or before June 30, 2018, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia,  
32 Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grant, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln,  
33 Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and  
34 Whitman counties and the cities within those counties.

35 (5) Except as otherwise provided in subsections (6) and (8) of  
36 this section, following the review of comprehensive plans and  
37 development regulations required by subsection (4) of this section,  
38 counties and cities shall take action to review and, if needed,  
39 revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations to

1 ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this  
2 chapter as follows:

3 (a) On or before June 30, 2024, and every (~~eight~~) 10 years  
4 thereafter, for King, Kitsap, Pierce, and Snohomish counties and the  
5 cities within those counties;

6 (b) On or before June 30, 2025, and every (~~eight~~) 10 years  
7 thereafter, for Clallam, Clark, Island, Jefferson, Lewis, Mason, San  
8 Juan, Skagit, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the cities within  
9 those counties;

10 (c) On or before June 30, 2026, and every (~~eight~~) 10 years  
11 thereafter, for Benton, Chelan, Cowlitz, Douglas, Franklin, Kittitas,  
12 Skamania, Spokane, Walla Walla, and Yakima counties and the cities  
13 within those counties; and

14 (d) On or before June 30, 2027, and every (~~eight~~) 10 years  
15 thereafter, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Garfield, Grant,  
16 Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille,  
17 Stevens, Wahkiakum, and Whitman counties and the cities within those  
18 counties.

19 (6)(a) Nothing in this section precludes a county or city from  
20 conducting the review and evaluation required by this section before  
21 the deadlines established in subsections (4) and (5) of this section.  
22 Counties and cities may begin this process early and may be eligible  
23 for grants from the department, subject to available funding, if they  
24 elect to do so.

25 (b) A county that is subject to a deadline established in  
26 subsection (5) (~~((a)(ii) through (iv) [(b) through (d)]))~~ (b) through  
27 (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with  
28 the requirements of this section at any time within the twenty-four  
29 months following the deadline established in subsection (5) of this  
30 section: The county has a population of less than fifty thousand and  
31 has had its population increase by no more than seventeen percent in  
32 the ten years preceding the deadline established in subsection (5) of  
33 this section as of that date.

34 (c) A city that is subject to a deadline established in  
35 subsection (5) (~~((a)(ii) through (iv) [(b) through (d)]))~~ (b) through  
36 (d) of this section and meets the following criteria may comply with  
37 the requirements of this section at any time within the twenty-four  
38 months following the deadline established in subsection (5) of this  
39 section: The city has a population of no more than five thousand and  
40 has had its population increase by the greater of either no more than

1 one hundred persons or no more than seventeen percent in the ten  
2 years preceding the deadline established in subsection (5) of this  
3 section as of that date.

4 (d) State agencies are encouraged to provide technical assistance  
5 to the counties and cities in the review of critical area ordinances,  
6 comprehensive plans, and development regulations.

7 (7) (a) The requirements imposed on counties and cities under this  
8 section shall be considered "requirements of this chapter" under the  
9 terms of RCW 36.70A.040(1). Only those counties and cities that meet  
10 the following criteria may receive grants, loans, pledges, or  
11 financial guarantees under chapter 43.155 or 70A.135 RCW:

12 (i) Complying with the deadlines in this section; or

13 (ii) Demonstrating substantial progress towards compliance with  
14 the schedules in this section for development regulations that  
15 protect critical areas.

16 (b) A county or city that is fewer than twelve months out of  
17 compliance with the schedules in this section for development  
18 regulations that protect critical areas is making substantial  
19 progress towards compliance. Only those counties and cities in  
20 compliance with the schedules in this section may receive preference  
21 for grants or loans subject to the provisions of RCW 43.17.250.

22 (8) (a) Except as otherwise provided in (c) of this subsection, if  
23 a participating watershed is achieving benchmarks and goals for the  
24 protection of critical areas functions and values, the county is not  
25 required to update development regulations to protect critical areas  
26 as they specifically apply to agricultural activities in that  
27 watershed.

28 (b) A county that has made the election under RCW 36.70A.710(1)  
29 may only adopt or amend development regulations to protect critical  
30 areas as they specifically apply to agricultural activities in a  
31 participating watershed if:

32 (i) A work plan has been approved for that watershed in  
33 accordance with RCW 36.70A.725;

34 (ii) The local watershed group for that watershed has requested  
35 the county to adopt or amend development regulations as part of a  
36 work plan developed under RCW 36.70A.720;

37 (iii) The adoption or amendment of the development regulations is  
38 necessary to enable the county to respond to an order of the growth  
39 management hearings board or court;

1 (iv) The adoption or amendment of development regulations is  
2 necessary to address a threat to human health or safety; or

3 (v) Three or more years have elapsed since the receipt of  
4 funding.

5 (c) Beginning ten years from the date of receipt of funding, a  
6 county that has made the election under RCW 36.70A.710(1) must review  
7 and, if necessary, revise development regulations to protect critical  
8 areas as they specifically apply to agricultural activities in a  
9 participating watershed in accordance with the review and revision  
10 requirements and timeline in subsection (5) of this section. This  
11 subsection (8)(c) does not apply to a participating watershed that  
12 has determined under RCW 36.70A.720(2)(c)(ii) that the watershed's  
13 goals and benchmarks for protection have been met.

14 **Sec. 4.** RCW 90.58.080 and 2011 c 353 s 13 are each amended to  
15 read as follows:

16 (1) Local governments shall develop or amend a master program for  
17 regulation of uses of the shorelines of the state consistent with the  
18 required elements of the guidelines adopted by the department in  
19 accordance with the schedule established by this section.

20 (2)(a) Subject to the provisions of subsections (5) and (6) of  
21 this section, each local government subject to this chapter shall  
22 develop or amend its master program for the regulation of uses of  
23 shorelines within its jurisdiction according to the following  
24 schedule:

25 (i) On or before December 1, 2005, for the city of Port Townsend,  
26 the city of Bellingham, the city of Everett, Snohomish county, and  
27 Whatcom county;

28 (ii) On or before December 1, 2009, for King county and the  
29 cities within King county greater in population than ten thousand;

30 (iii) Except as provided by (a)(i) and (ii) of this subsection,  
31 on or before December 1, 2011, for Clallam, Clark, Jefferson, King,  
32 Kitsap, Pierce, Snohomish, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the  
33 cities within those counties;

34 (iv) On or before December 1, 2012, for Cowlitz, Island, Lewis,  
35 Mason, San Juan, Skagit, and Skamania counties and the cities within  
36 those counties;

37 (v) On or before December 1, 2013, for Benton, Chelan, Douglas,  
38 Grant, Kittitas, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the cities within  
39 those counties; and

1 (vi) On or before December 1, 2014, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia,  
2 Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln,  
3 Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and  
4 Whitman counties and the cities within those counties.

5 (b) Nothing in this subsection (2) shall preclude a local  
6 government from developing or amending its master program prior to  
7 the dates established by this subsection (2).

8 (3)(a) Following approval by the department of a new or amended  
9 master program, local governments required to develop or amend master  
10 programs on or before December 1, 2009, as provided by subsection  
11 (2)(a)(i) and (ii) of this section, shall be deemed to have complied  
12 with the schedule established by subsection (2)(a)(iii) of this  
13 section and shall not be required to complete master program  
14 amendments until the applicable dates established by subsection  
15 (4)(b) of this section. Any jurisdiction listed in subsection  
16 (2)(a)(i) of this section that has a new or amended master program  
17 approved by the department on or after March 1, 2002, but before July  
18 27, 2003, shall not be required to complete master program amendments  
19 until the applicable date provided by subsection (4)(b) of this  
20 section.

21 (b) Following approval by the department of a new or amended  
22 master program, local governments choosing to develop or amend master  
23 programs on or before December 1, 2009, shall be deemed to have  
24 complied with the schedule established by subsection (2)(a)(iii)  
25 through (vi) of this section and shall not be required to complete  
26 master program amendments until the applicable dates established by  
27 subsection (4)(b) of this section.

28 (4)(a) Following the updates required by subsection (2) of this  
29 section, local governments shall conduct a review of their master  
30 programs at least once every eight years as required by (b) of this  
31 subsection. Following the review required by this subsection (4),  
32 local governments shall, if necessary, revise their master programs.  
33 The purpose of the review is:

34 (i) To assure that the master program complies with applicable  
35 law and guidelines in effect at the time of the review; and

36 (ii) To assure consistency of the master program with the local  
37 government's comprehensive plan and development regulations adopted  
38 under chapter 36.70A RCW, if applicable, and other local  
39 requirements.

1 (b) Counties and cities shall take action to review and, if  
2 necessary, revise their master programs as required by (a) of this  
3 subsection as follows:

4 (i) On or before June 30, 2019, and every (~~eight~~) 10 years  
5 thereafter, for King, Pierce, and Snohomish counties and the cities  
6 within those counties;

7 (ii) On or before June 30, 2020, and every (~~eight~~) 10 years  
8 thereafter, for Clallam, Clark, Island, Jefferson, Kitsap, Mason, San  
9 Juan, Skagit, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the cities within  
10 those counties;

11 (iii) On or before June 30, 2021, and every (~~eight~~) 10 years  
12 thereafter, for Benton, Chelan, Cowlitz, Douglas, (~~Grant,~~)  
13 Kittitas, Lewis, Skamania, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the  
14 cities within those counties; and

15 (iv) On or before June 30, 2022, and every (~~eight~~) 10 years  
16 thereafter, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Franklin, Garfield,  
17 Grant, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend  
18 Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman counties and  
19 the cities within those counties.

20 (5) In meeting the update requirements of subsection (2) of this  
21 section, local governments are encouraged to begin the process of  
22 developing or amending their master programs early and are eligible  
23 for grants from the department as provided by RCW 90.58.250, subject  
24 to available funding. Except for those local governments listed in  
25 subsection (2)(a)(i) and (ii) of this section, the deadline for  
26 completion of the new or amended master programs shall be two years  
27 after the date the grant is approved by the department. Subsequent  
28 master program review dates shall not be altered by the provisions of  
29 this subsection.

30 (6) In meeting the update requirements of subsection (2) of this  
31 section, the following shall apply:

32 (a) Grants to local governments for developing and amending  
33 master programs pursuant to the schedule established by this section  
34 shall be provided at least two years before the adoption dates  
35 specified in subsection (2) of this section. To the extent possible,  
36 the department shall allocate grants within the amount appropriated  
37 for such purposes to provide reasonable and adequate funding to local  
38 governments that have indicated their intent to develop or amend  
39 master programs during the biennium according to the schedule  
40 established by subsection (2) of this section. Any local government



1 that applies for but does not receive funding to comply with the  
2 provisions of subsection (2) of this section may delay the  
3 development or amendment of its master program until the following  
4 biennium.

5 (b) Local governments with delayed compliance dates as provided  
6 in (a) of this subsection shall be the first priority for funding in  
7 subsequent biennia, and the development or amendment compliance  
8 deadline for those local governments shall be two years after the  
9 date of grant approval.

10 (c) Failure of the local government to apply in a timely manner  
11 for a master program development or amendment grant in accordance  
12 with the requirements of the department shall not be considered a  
13 delay resulting from the provisions of (a) of this subsection.

14 (7) In meeting the update requirements of subsection (2) of this  
15 section, all local governments subject to the requirements of this  
16 chapter that have not developed or amended master programs on or  
17 after March 1, 2002, shall, no later than December 1, 2014, develop  
18 or amend their master programs to comply with guidelines adopted by  
19 the department after January 1, 2003.

20 (8) In meeting the update requirements of subsection (2) of this  
21 section, local governments may be provided an additional year beyond  
22 the deadlines in this section to complete their master program or  
23 amendment. The department shall grant the request if it determines  
24 that the local government is likely to adopt or amend its master  
25 program within the additional year.

26 **Sec. 5.** RCW 90.58.080 and 2020 c 113 s 2 are each amended to  
27 read as follows:

28 (1) Local governments shall develop or amend a master program for  
29 regulation of uses of the shorelines of the state consistent with the  
30 required elements of the guidelines adopted by the department in  
31 accordance with the schedule established by this section.

32 (2)(a) Subject to the provisions of subsections (5) and (6) of  
33 this section, each local government subject to this chapter shall  
34 develop or amend its master program for the regulation of uses of  
35 shorelines within its jurisdiction according to the following  
36 schedule:

37 (i) On or before December 1, 2005, for the city of Port Townsend,  
38 the city of Bellingham, the city of Everett, Snohomish county, and  
39 Whatcom county;

1 (ii) On or before December 1, 2009, for King county and the  
2 cities within King county greater in population than ten thousand;

3 (iii) Except as provided by (a)(i) and (ii) of this subsection,  
4 on or before December 1, 2011, for Clallam, Clark, Jefferson, King,  
5 Kitsap, Pierce, Snohomish, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the  
6 cities within those counties;

7 (iv) On or before December 1, 2012, for Cowlitz, Island, Lewis,  
8 Mason, San Juan, Skagit, and Skamania counties and the cities within  
9 those counties;

10 (v) On or before December 1, 2013, for Benton, Chelan, Douglas,  
11 Grant, Kittitas, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the cities within  
12 those counties; and

13 (vi) On or before December 1, 2014, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia,  
14 Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln,  
15 Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and  
16 Whitman counties and the cities within those counties.

17 (b) Nothing in this subsection (2) shall preclude a local  
18 government from developing or amending its master program prior to  
19 the dates established by this subsection (2).

20 (3)(a) Following approval by the department of a new or amended  
21 master program, local governments required to develop or amend master  
22 programs on or before December 1, 2009, as provided by subsection  
23 (2)(a)(i) and (ii) of this section, shall be deemed to have complied  
24 with the schedule established by subsection (2)(a)(iii) of this  
25 section and shall not be required to complete master program  
26 amendments until the applicable dates established by subsection  
27 (4)(b) of this section. Any jurisdiction listed in subsection  
28 (2)(a)(i) of this section that has a new or amended master program  
29 approved by the department on or after March 1, 2002, but before July  
30 27, 2003, shall not be required to complete master program amendments  
31 until the applicable date provided by subsection (4)(b) of this  
32 section.

33 (b) Following approval by the department of a new or amended  
34 master program, local governments choosing to develop or amend master  
35 programs on or before December 1, 2009, shall be deemed to have  
36 complied with the schedule established by subsection (2)(a)(iii)  
37 through (vi) of this section and shall not be required to complete  
38 master program amendments until the applicable dates established by  
39 subsection (4)(b) of this section.

1 (4) (a) Following the updates required by subsection (2) of this  
2 section, local governments shall conduct a review of their master  
3 programs at least once every eight years as required by (b) of this  
4 subsection. Following the review required by this subsection (4),  
5 local governments shall, if necessary, revise their master programs.  
6 The purpose of the review is:

7 (i) To assure that the master program complies with applicable  
8 law and guidelines in effect at the time of the review; and

9 (ii) To assure consistency of the master program with the local  
10 government's comprehensive plan and development regulations adopted  
11 under chapter 36.70A RCW, if applicable, and other local  
12 requirements.

13 (b) Counties and cities shall take action to review and, if  
14 necessary, revise their master programs as required by (a) of this  
15 subsection as follows:

16 (i) On or before June 30, 2028, and every (~~eight~~) 10 years  
17 thereafter, for King, Kitsap, Pierce, and Snohomish counties and the  
18 cities within those counties;

19 (ii) On or before June 30, 2029, and every (~~eight~~) 10 years  
20 thereafter, for Clallam, Clark, Island, Jefferson, Lewis, Mason, San  
21 Juan, Skagit, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the cities within  
22 those counties;

23 (iii) On or before June 30, 2030, and every (~~eight~~) 10 years  
24 thereafter, for Benton, Chelan, Cowlitz, Douglas, Franklin, Kittitas,  
25 Skamania, Spokane, Walla Walla, and Yakima counties and the cities  
26 within those counties; and

27 (iv) On or before June 30, 2031, and every (~~eight~~) 10 years  
28 thereafter, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Garfield, Grant,  
29 Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille,  
30 Stevens, Wahkiakum, and Whitman counties and the cities within those  
31 counties.

32 (5) In meeting the review requirements of subsection (4) of this  
33 section, local governments are encouraged to begin the process of  
34 developing or amending their master programs early and are eligible  
35 for grants from the department as provided by RCW 90.58.250, subject  
36 to available funding. Except for those local governments listed in  
37 subsection (2) (a) (i) and (ii) of this section, the deadline for  
38 completion of the new or amended master programs shall be two years  
39 after the date the grant is approved by the department. Subsequent

1 master program review dates shall not be altered by the provisions of  
2 this subsection.

3 (6) In meeting the review requirements of subsection (4) of this  
4 section, the following shall apply:

5 (a) Grants to local governments for reviewing master programs  
6 pursuant to the schedule established by this section shall be  
7 provided at least two years before the adoption dates specified in  
8 subsection (4) of this section. To the extent possible, the  
9 department shall allocate grants within the amount appropriated for  
10 such purposes to provide reasonable and adequate funding to local  
11 governments that have indicated their intent to develop or amend  
12 master programs during the biennium according to the schedule  
13 established by subsection (4) of this section. Any local government  
14 that applies for but does not receive funding to comply with the  
15 provisions of subsection (4) of this section may delay the  
16 development or amendment of its master program until the following  
17 biennium.

18 (b) Local governments with delayed compliance dates as provided  
19 in (a) of this subsection shall be the first priority for funding in  
20 subsequent biennia, and the periodic review compliance deadline for  
21 those local governments shall be two years after the date of grant  
22 approval.

23 (c) Failure of the local government to apply in a timely manner  
24 for a master program development or amendment grant in accordance  
25 with the requirements of the department shall not be considered a  
26 delay resulting from the provisions of (a) of this subsection.

27 (7) In meeting the update requirements of subsection (2) of this  
28 section, all local governments subject to the requirements of this  
29 chapter that have not developed or amended master programs on or  
30 after March 1, 2002, shall, no later than December 1, 2014, develop  
31 or amend their master programs to comply with guidelines adopted by  
32 the department after January 1, 2003.

33 (8) In meeting the review requirements of subsection (4) of this  
34 section, local governments may be provided an additional year beyond  
35 the deadlines in this section to complete their master program or  
36 amendment. The department shall grant the request if it determines  
37 that the local government is likely to adopt or amend its master  
38 program within the additional year.

1        NEW SECTION.    **Sec. 6.**    Section 4 of this act expires July 1,  
2 2025.

3        NEW SECTION.    **Sec. 7.**    Section 5 of this act takes effect July 1,  
4 2025."

**SB 5042 - S AMD 341**  
By Senator Salomon

**NOT CONSIDERED 04/26/2021**

5        On page 1, beginning on line 1 of the title, after "Relating to"  
6 strike all material through "act;" on line 2 and insert "amending  
7 timelines for certain actions taken under the growth management act;  
8 amending RCW 90.58.080 and 90.58.080; reenacting and amending RCW  
9 36.70A.130;"

10        On page 1, line 3 of the title, after "RCW;" strike "and"

11        On page 1, line 3 of the title, after "section" insert ";  
12 providing an effective date; and providing an expiration date"

EFFECT: Changes the GMA and shoreline management planning cycles from 8 to 10 years. Amends the title to read "amending timelines for certain actions taken under the growth management act."

--- END ---