CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1294

67th Legislature 2021 Regular Session

Passed by the House February 24, 2021 Yeas 96 Nays 0

Speaker of the House of Representatives

Passed by the Senate April 3, 2021 Yeas 46 Nays 0

CERTIFICATE

I, Bernard Dean, Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1294** as passed by the House of Representatives and the Senate on the dates hereon set forth.

Chief Clerk

President of the Senate

Approved

FILED

Secretary of State State of Washington

Governor of the State of Washington

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1294

Passed Legislature - 2021 Regular Session

State of Washington 67th Legislature 2021 Regular Session

By House Civil Rights & Judiciary (originally sponsored by Representatives Goodman, Davis, Macri, and Ormsby)

READ FIRST TIME 02/02/21.

AN ACT Relating to misdemeanant supervision services by limited jurisdiction courts; amending RCW 4.24.760, 39.34.180, and 70.48.090; and reenacting and amending RCW 10.64.120.

4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

5 **Sec. 1.** RCW 4.24.760 and 2007 c 174 s 2 are each amended to read 6 as follows:

7 (1) A limited jurisdiction court that provides misdemeanant 8 supervision services is not liable for civil damages based on the 9 inadequate supervision or monitoring of a misdemeanor defendant or 10 probationer unless the inadequate supervision or monitoring 11 constitutes gross negligence.

12

(2) For the purposes of this section:

(a) "Limited jurisdiction court" means a district court or a municipal court, and anyone acting or operating at the direction of such court, including but not limited to its officers, employees, agents, contractors, ((and)) volunteers, and others acting pursuant to an interlocal agreement.

18 (b) "Misdemeanant supervision services" means preconviction or 19 postconviction misdemeanor probation or supervision services, or the 20 monitoring of a misdemeanor defendant's compliance with a 21 preconviction or postconviction order of the court, including but not

p. 1

limited to community corrections programs, probation supervision,
pretrial supervision, or pretrial release services, including such
services conducted pursuant to an interlocal agreement.

4 (3) This section does not create any duty and shall not be 5 construed to create a duty where none exists. Nothing in this section 6 shall be construed to affect judicial immunity.

7 Sec. 2. RCW 39.34.180 and 2001 c 68 s 4 are each amended to read 8 as follows:

9 (1) Each county, city, and town is responsible for the prosecution, adjudication, sentencing, and 10 incarceration of 11 misdemeanor and gross misdemeanor offenses committed by adults in their respective jurisdictions, and referred from their respective 12 law enforcement agencies, whether filed under state law or city 13 ordinance, and must carry out these responsibilities through the use 14 15 of their own courts, staff, and facilities, or by entering into 16 contracts or interlocal agreements under this chapter to provide these services. Nothing in this section is intended to alter the 17 statutory responsibilities of each county for the prosecution, 18 adjudication, sentencing, and incarceration for not more than one 19 20 year of felony offenders, nor shall this section apply to any offense 21 initially filed by the prosecuting attorney as a felony offense or an 22 attempt to commit a felony offense. The court of any county, city, or town that wishes to offer probation supervision services may enter 23 24 into interlocal agreements under subsection (6) of this section to provide those services. 25

(2) The following principles must be followed in negotiating interlocal agreements or contracts: Cities and counties must consider (a) anticipated costs of services; and (b) anticipated and potential revenues to fund the services, including fines and fees, criminal justice funding, and state-authorized sales tax funding levied for criminal justice purposes.

32 (3) If an agreement as to the levels of compensation within an interlocal agreement or contract for gross misdemeanor and 33 misdemeanor services cannot be reached between a city and county, 34 then either party may invoke binding arbitration on the compensation 35 issued by notice to the other party. In the case of establishing 36 initial compensation, the notice shall request arbitration within 37 38 thirty days. In the case of nonrenewal of an existing contract or interlocal agreement, the notice must be given one hundred twenty 39

SHB 1294.PL

p. 2

days prior to the expiration of the existing contract or agreement and the existing contract or agreement remains in effect until a new agreement is reached or until an arbitration award on the matter of fees is made. The city and county each select one arbitrator, and the initial two arbitrators pick a third arbitrator. This subsection does <u>not apply to the extent that the interlocal agreement is for</u> probation supervision services.

8 (4) A city or county that wishes to terminate an agreement for 9 the provision of court services must provide written notice of the 10 intent to terminate the agreement in accordance with RCW 3.50.810 and 11 35.20.010. This subsection does not apply to the extent that the 12 interlocal agreement is for probation supervision services.

13 (5) For cities or towns that have not adopted, in whole or in 14 part, criminal code or ordinance provisions related to misdemeanor 15 and gross misdemeanor crimes as defined by state law, this section 16 shall have no application until July 1, 1998.

17 (6) Municipal courts or district courts may enter into interlocal agreements for pretrial and/or post judgment probation supervision 18 19 services pursuant to ARLJ 11. Such agreements shall not affect the jurisdiction of the court that imposes probation supervision, need 20 not require the referral of all supervised cases by a jurisdiction, 21 and may limit the referral for probation supervision services to a 22 23 single case. An agreement for probation supervision services is not 24 valid unless approved by the presiding judge of each participating 25 court. The interlocal agreement may not require approval of the local executive and legislative bodies unless the interlocal agreement 26 27 requires the expenditure of additional funds by the jurisdiction. If 28 the jurisdiction providing probation supervision services is found liable for inadequate supervision, as provided in RCW 4.24.760(1), or 29 30 is impacted by increased costs pursuant to the interlocal agreement, the presiding judge of the jurisdiction imposing probation 31 32 supervision shall consult with the executive authority of the jurisdiction imposing probation supervision and determine whether to 33 terminate the interlocal agreement for probation supervision 34 services. All proceedings to grant, modify, or revoke probation must 35 be held in the court that imposes probation supervision. Jail costs 36 37 and the cost of other sanctions remain with the jurisdiction that imposes probation supervision. 38

39The administrative office of the courts, in cooperation with the40district and municipal court judges association and the Washington

1 association of prosecuting attorneys, shall develop a model

2 <u>interlocal agreement.</u>

3 Sec. 3. RCW 70.48.090 and 2007 c 13 s 1 are each amended to read 4 as follows:

5 (1) Contracts for jail services may be made between a county and a city, and among counties and cities. The contracts shall: Be in 6 writing, give one governing unit the responsibility for the operation 7 of the jails, specify the responsibilities of each governing unit 8 involved, and include the applicable charges for custody of the 9 10 prisoners as well as the basis for adjustments in the charges. The 11 contracts may be terminated only by ninety days written notice to the governing units involved and to the office. The notice shall state 12 the grounds for termination and the specific plans for accommodating 13 the affected jail population. 14

15 (2) A city or county may contract for jail services with an 16 adjacent county, or city in an adjacent county, in a neighboring 17 state. A person convicted in the courts of this state and sentenced 18 to a term of confinement in a city or county jail may be transported 19 to a jail in the adjacent county to be confined until: (a) The term 20 of confinement is completed; or (b) that person is returned to be 21 confined in a city or county jail in this state.

22 (3) The contract authorized in subsection (1) of this section shall be for a minimum term of ten years when state funds are 23 24 provided to construct or remodel a jail in one governing unit that will be used to house prisoners of other governing units. 25 The contract may not be terminated prior to the end of the term without 26 27 the office's approval. If the contract is terminated, or upon the expiration and nonrenewal of the contract, the governing unit whose 28 jail facility was built or remodeled to hold the prisoners of other 29 30 governing units shall pay to the state treasurer the amount set by 31 the corrections standards board or office when it authorized 32 disbursal of state funds for the remodeling or construction under RCW 70.48.120. This amount shall be deposited in the local jail 33 improvement and construction account and shall fairly represent the 34 construction costs incurred in order to house prisoners from other 35 governing units. The office may pay the funds to the governing units 36 which had previously contracted for jail services under rules which 37 the office may adopt. The acceptance of state funds for constructing 38 or remodeling consolidated jail facilities constitutes agreement to 39

1 the proportionate amounts set by the office. Notice of the 2 proportionate amounts shall be given to all governing units involved. 3 <u>This subsection shall not apply to interlocal agreements under RCW</u> 4 39.34.180(6).

(4) A city or county primarily responsible for the operation of a 5 6 jail or jails may create a department of corrections to be in charge of such jail and of all persons confined therein by law, subject to 7 the authority of the governing unit. If such department is created, 8 it shall have charge of jails and persons confined therein. If no 9 such department of corrections is created, the chief law enforcement 10 11 officer of the city or county primarily responsible for the operation 12 of said jail shall have charge of the jail and of all persons confined therein. 13

14 (5) A city or county may enter into an interlocal agreement for 15 the sharing of costs for sanctions imposed by a jurisdiction hosting 16 probation supervision services pursuant to an interlocal agreement 17 under RCW 39.34.180(6).

18 Sec. 4. RCW 10.64.120 and 2005 c 400 s 7 and 2005 c 282 s 22 are 19 each reenacted and amended to read as follows:

20 (1) Every judge of a court of limited jurisdiction shall have the 21 authority to levy upon a person a monthly assessment not to exceed 22 one hundred dollars for services provided whenever the person is referred by the court to the misdemeanant probation department for 23 24 evaluation or supervision services. The assessment may also be made by a judge in superior court when such misdemeanor or gross 25 misdemeanor cases are heard in the superior court. Nothing in this 26 27 subsection prevents contracting jurisdictions under RCW 39.34.180(6) from agreeing to the division of moneys received for probation 28 supervision services. 29

30 (2) For the purposes of this section the administrative office of 31 the courts shall define a probation department and adopt rules for the qualifications of probation officers based on occupational and 32 educational requirements developed by an oversight committee. This 33 oversight committee shall include a representative from the district 34 and municipal court judges' association, the misdemeanant corrections 35 association, the administrative office of 36 the courts, and associations of cities and counties. The oversight committee shall 37 38 consider qualifications that provide the training and education necessary to (a) conduct presentencing and postsentencing background 39

SHB 1294.PL

p. 5

1 investigations, including sentencing recommendations to the court 2 regarding jail terms, alternatives to incarceration, and conditions 3 of release; and (b) provide ongoing supervision and assessment of 4 offenders' needs and the risk they pose to the community.

5 (3) It shall be the responsibility of the probation services 6 office to implement local procedures approved by the court of limited 7 jurisdiction to ensure collection and payment of such fees into the 8 general fund of the city or county treasury.

9 (4) Revenues raised under this section shall be used to fund 10 programs for probation services and shall be in addition to those 11 funds provided in RCW 3.62.050.

12 (5) Assessments and fees levied upon a probationer under this 13 section must be suspended while the probationer is being supervised 14 by another state under RCW 9.94A.745, the interstate compact for 15 adult offender supervision.

--- END ---