

# HOUSE BILL REPORT

## SSB 6115

---

---

**As Reported by House Committee On:**  
Transportation

**Title:** An act relating to speed safety camera systems.

**Brief Description:** Concerning speed safety camera systems.

**Sponsors:** Senate Committee on Transportation (originally sponsored by Senators King, Liias, Lovick, Nobles, Saldaña, Shewmake and Wilson, C.; by request of Department of Transportation).

**Brief History:**

**Committee Activity:**

Transportation: 2/22/24, 2/26/24 [DPA].

**Brief Summary of Substitute Bill**  
**(As Amended by Committee)**

- Sets the penalty for speed safety camera (safety camera) violations to \$0 for a first violation and \$248 for subsequent violations.
- Requires that a final order be entered that finds a person committed an infraction captured by a safety camera if the person fails to respond to a notice of infraction.
- Authorizes the Office of Administrative Hearings to grant a payment plan if it determines that a person is not able to pay the monetary obligation for a safety camera-enforced infraction.
- Permits courts and government agencies with jurisdiction over safety camera-enforced infractions to forward outstanding violations to the Department of Licensing for vehicle registration holds.

---

### HOUSE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

---

*This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.*

**Majority Report:** Do pass as amended. Signed by 28 members: Representatives Fey, Chair; Donaghy, Vice Chair; Paul, Vice Chair; Timmons, Vice Chair; Barkis, Ranking Minority Member; Hutchins, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Low, Assistant Ranking Minority Member; Berry, Bronoske, Chapman, Cortes, Dent, Doglio, Duerr, Entenman, Goehner, Griffey, Hackney, Klicker, Mena, Nance, Orcutt, Ramel, Ramos, Schmidt, Volz, Walsh and Wylie.

**Staff:** Jennifer Harris (786-7143).

**Background:**

Speed Safety Camera Systems.

Through June 30, 2030, a law enforcement officer has the authority to issue a traffic infraction when it is detected through the use of a speed safety camera system. A notice of infraction may only be issued if a speed safety camera (safety camera) captures a speed violation in a state highway work zone when workers are present.

The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) is responsible for the operation and administration of safety cameras in state highway work zones, which includes the procurement and administration of contracts for the implementation of safety cameras and the mailing of notices of infraction. By July 1, 2024, the WSDOT, in consultation with the Washington State Patrol (WSP), the Department of Licensing (DOL), the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH), the Washington Traffic Safety Commission (WTSC), and civil rights organizations, is required to adopt rules for the operation and administration of safety cameras.

The WSP is responsible for all actions related to the enforcement and adjudication of speed violations, which includes notice of infraction verification and issuance and determining the emergency vehicles that are exempt from the issuance of notices of infraction for infractions detected by safety cameras. By July 1, 2024, the WSP, in consultation with the WSDOT, the DOL, the OAH, the WTSC, and civil rights organizations, is required to adopt rules for the enforcement and adjudication of speed violations detected by safety cameras.

A notice of infraction must be mailed to the registered owner of the vehicle within 30 days of the violation, or to the renter of a vehicle within 30 days of establishing the renter's name and address. The registered owner of a vehicle is held responsible for the infraction unless he or she states under oath in a written statement to the court, or in testimony before the court, that the vehicle was stolen or was in the care, custody, or control of some other person at the time of the infraction.

A person who receives a notice of infraction based on evidence detected by a safety camera must remit payment in the amount of the penalty within 30 days of receiving the notice of infraction, unless the person disputes the violation. A notice of infraction that has not been

paid within 30 days or that has been disputed is referred to the OAH (which presides over administrative law cases) for adjudication by an administrative law judge consistent with administrative law procedures.

Locations where safety cameras are used must be clearly marked before activation of the safety camera by placing signs in locations that indicate to a driver that they are entering a state highway work zone where posted speed limits are monitored by a safety camera. Radar speed feedback signs must be placed along a roadway to provide information on vehicle speed in advance of safety cameras where feasible and where they can assist drivers.

Safety cameras may only take photos or electronic images of the vehicle and vehicle license plate while a speed violation is occurring. The photo or electronic image must not reveal the face of the driver or of passengers in the vehicle. The WSDOT is required to consider installing safety cameras in a manner that minimizes the impact of the camera flash on drivers. All photographs, electronic images, or other personally identifying data may only be used: (1) by the WSP and the WSDOT for infraction-related duties; and (2) in administrative appeal proceedings for infraction-related proceedings.

Speed violations detected through the use of safety cameras are not part of the registered owner's driving record.

Revenue generated from safety camera-detected infractions must be deposited into the Highway Safety Fund, to be used: (1) for the operation and administrative costs related to the use of safety cameras; and (2) with the remainder distributed for the purpose of traffic safety, including, but not limited to, driver training education and local driving under the influence emphasis patrols.

The WSP and the WSDOT, in collaboration with the WTSC, are required to report to the Transportation Committees of the Legislature by July 1, 2025, and then biennially, on the data and efficacy of safety cameras in state highway work zones. A final report is due on July 1, 2029, which must include a recommendation on whether to continue safety camera use beyond June 30, 2030.

#### Speeding in an Emergency or Work Zone.

A person may not drive a vehicle in an emergency or work zone at a speed greater than the posted speed limit. Traffic infraction penalties, inclusive of fines and assessments, range from \$158 to \$793, depending on the speed limit in the emergency or work zone and on the speed at which the vehicle is traveling.

#### Vehicle Registration Renewal Holds.

Courts and government agencies that have jurisdiction over standing, stopping, and parking infractions, as well as over automated traffic safety camera and automated school bus safety

camera infractions, may forward outstanding infractions to the DOL. The DOL must record the infractions on the matching vehicle records and send notice approximately 120 days in advance of the current vehicle registration expiration date to the registered owner of the vehicle.

Until the registered owner presents proof of payment for each infraction and pays a \$15 surcharge, the DOL, county auditor or other agent, or subagent may not renew a vehicle registration unless there has been a change in the registered ownership of the vehicle.

---

**Summary of Amended Bill:**

The WSDOT is responsible for developing and maintaining a public-facing website for the purpose of educating the traveling public about the use of safety cameras in state highway work zones.

A notice of infraction represents a determination that an infraction has been committed, and the determination is considered final unless contested. A person who receives a notice of infraction has 30 days to remit payment, contest the determination by following the instructions on the notice of infraction, or admit to the infraction and request a hearing to explain mitigating circumstances. If a person fails to respond to a notice of infraction, a final order must be entered that finds the person committed the infraction and assesses the infraction's monetary penalty.

If a person contests the determination that the infraction occurred or requests a mitigation hearing, the notice of infraction must be referred to the OAH. At a hearing to contest an infraction, the agency issuing the infraction has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the infraction was committed.

The imposition of a penalty for a safety camera violation is not considered a conviction for purposes of the Uniform Commercial Driver's License Act.

The penalty for safety camera violations is \$0 for a first violation and \$248 for subsequent violations. The monetary penalty collected for safety camera-enforced infractions is exempted from additional assessments and fees applicable to traffic infractions. These violations must be processed in the same manner as parking infractions.

During the 30-day period after the first speed safety camera system is put in place, the WSDOT is required to conduct a public awareness campaign to inform the public of the use of speed safety camera systems in state highway work zones.

A person may request a payment plan at any time. The agency issuing the infraction is required to provide information about how to submit proof of inability to pay and how to obtain a payment plan, as well as to communicate that failure to pay or enter into a payment

plan may result in a collection action or in nonrenewal of the vehicle registration. The OAH may authorize a payment plan if it determines that a person is not able to pay the monetary obligation and may modify the payment plan at any time.

Courts and government agencies with jurisdiction over safety camera-enforced infractions may forward outstanding violations to the DOL for vehicle registration holds.

**Amended Bill Compared to Substitute Bill:**

The amended bill requires the WSDOT to conduct a public awareness campaign to inform the public of the use of speed safety camera systems in state highway work zones during the 30-day period after the first speed safety camera system is put in place, and requires speed safety camera systems to be processed in the same manner as parking infractions generally.

---

**Appropriation:** None.

**Fiscal Note:** Available.

**Effective Date of Amended Bill:** The bill takes effect 90 days after adjournment of the session in which the bill is passed.

**Staff Summary of Public Testimony:**

(In support) In this version of the bill, a first infraction is \$0. This is the warning provided. After a first infraction, the penalty is \$248. This amount makes the point that this is a serious infraction. Payment plans are available for those who would find it challenging to pay this amount all at once.

Implementing work zone cameras is vital to saving the lives of workers. A Safe System Approach creates safer roadways, and work zone cameras are a necessary tool. While law enforcement officers are trying to enforce speeding laws in work zones, they have limited resources. People working in construction zones deserve to be safe. These cameras have been successful when used in other areas of the country.

(Opposed) Safety camera-enforced infractions are expensive. Safety cameras are profit machines that are hard to remove. There is insufficient evidence that safety will increase due to the placement of safety cameras.

Infraction penalties can represent a significant financial burden for people with limited resources. They can lead to a cycle of debt, increased auto insurance costs, the loss of a vehicle, and difficulty getting to work. The punishment is more punitive for those of lower income than for those with higher incomes.

These cameras can malfunction and incorrectly measure someone's speed. They can also result in infractions being issued when a person drives only slightly over the speed limit. There is no way to confirm the identity of the person driving when an infraction is detected by a camera.

Constantly being surveilled erodes the public's privacy. Databases hold a great deal of personal information and can be the target for hackers, which can result in identity theft. They are a major threat to privacy and security.

(Other) The best enforcement mechanism to deter speeding is law enforcement officers, but there are not nearly enough of them. Cameras are the best alternative to law enforcement officers enforcing speeding laws in work zones. However, the information contained in these systems should be available when requested in a warrant for a criminal investigation or prosecution, or for use in criminal court proceedings.

**Persons Testifying:** (In support) Senator Curtis King, prime sponsor; Deion Glover, Washington State Patrol; and Travis Snell, Washington State Department of Transportation.

(Opposed) Laurie Layne; Stanislav Khozyainov; Tyler Jones; Konrad Schulz; Olivia Schuster; Viraj Chaudhary; and Sophia Claiborne.

(Other) James McMahan, Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs.

**Persons Signed In To Testify But Not Testifying:** Stuart Barry.