SENATE BILL REPORT SB 6192

As of January 23, 2024

Title: An act relating to additional work and change orders on public and private construction projects.

Brief Description: Addressing additional work and change orders on public and private construction projects.

Sponsors: Senators King, Stanford, Mullet and Nobles.

Brief History:

Committee Activity: Labor & Commerce: 1/23/24.

Brief Summary of Bill

- Adds private construction projects to the statute requiring issuance of a change order no later than 30 days after satisfactory completion of any additional work on public works projects.
- Adds subcontractors and suppliers to the change order statute.
- Provides that a contractor or subcontractor that requests a change order from the project owner is not liable for any interest on unpaid amounts for additional work if the project owner has not issued the requested change order.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON LABOR & COMMERCE

Staff: Jarrett Sacks (786-7448)

Background: In general, a change order is an amendment to a construction contract that changes the scope of work, usually by changing the work required or adjusting the amount of time required to complete the work. On public works projects, for additional work beyond the scope of the contract, the state or municipality must issue a change order within

Senate Bill Report - 1 - SB 6192

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

30 days of satisfactory completion of all or a portion of the additional work. If a change order is not issued within 30 days, the state or municipality must pay interest at a rate of 1 percent per month.

Summary of Bill: The change order requirements for public works projects are applied to private construction. Subcontractors and suppliers are also added, so that for any additional work by a contractor, subcontractor, or supplier not in dispute, a change order must be issued within 30 days.

The contractor or subcontractor must request a change order within 30 days of satisfactory completion of any additional work and a request by subcontractor or supplier. If a contractor or subcontractor has requested a change order within 30 days of a request from a subcontractor or supplier, the contractor or subcontractor is not liable for any interest on the unpaid dollar amount for any additional work completed and not in dispute if a change order has not been issued.

An aggrieved party may bring a civil action for violations of the change order requirements in a court of competent jurisdiction for appropriate relief including interest and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Requested on January 19, 2024.

Creates Committee/Commission/Task Force that includes Legislative members: No.

Effective Date: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Staff Summary of Public Testimony: PRO: The bill makes sure change orders are approved in private construction. These provisions already apply to public works projects. There is currently no good way for subcontractors to collect interest. Subcontractors have to wait months to get payment on change orders. Contracts will require subcontractors to accept change orders but provide no process for how they will get paid for the extra work. There is no recourse for a subcontractor if the general contractor refuses to request a change order from the owner.

CON: Project owners only have a contract with the general contractor but the bill creates an obligation between the project owner and subcontractors and suppliers that they do not have a contract with. The bill should make sure the funds are flowing properly from the owner to the general contractor to the subcontractors and suppliers.

OTHER: Cities do not have a contractual relationship with subcontractors and any amendment should clarify that. There are some suggested changes to the civil action part of the bill and some other technical issues should be addressed.

Persons Testifying: PRO: Senator Curtis King, Prime Sponsor; Shane Webley, Johansen Construction Company; John Gehlsen; Jerry VanderWood, AGC of Washington.

CON: Scott Hazlegrove, WA Association of Sewer & Water Districts.

OTHER: Brandy DeLange, Association of Washington Cities; Chris Herman, Washington Public Ports Association; William Frare, Washington State Department of Enterprise Services.

Persons Signed In To Testify But Not Testifying: No one.

Senate Bill Report - 3 - SB 6192