SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1048

State of Washington 68th Legislature 2023 Regular Session

By House State Government & Tribal Relations (originally sponsored by Representatives Mena, Simmons, Goodman, Berry, Ramel, Peterson, Pollet, Doglio, Macri, Morgan, Wylie, Gregerson, Bergquist, Street, Cortes, Santos, Ormsby, and Farivar)

READ FIRST TIME 01/24/23.

AN ACT Relating to enhancing the Washington voting rights act; amending RCW 29A.92.010, 29A.92.030, 29A.92.040, 29A.92.060, 29A.92.090, 29A.92.110, 29A.92.070, 29A.92.080, 29A.92.130, and 36.32.020; adding new sections to chapter 29A.92 RCW; and providing an effective date.

6 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

7 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 1. A new section is added to chapter 29A.92 8 RCW to read as follows:

9 In further recognition of the protections for the right to vote 10 provided by the Constitution of the state of Washington, statutes, 11 rules and regulations, and local laws, town charters, and ordinances 12 related to the right to vote shall be construed liberally in favor 13 of:

14

(1) Protecting the right to cast an effective ballot;

(2) Ensuring that eligible voters are not impaired in registeringto vote or voting including having their votes counted; and

17 (3) Ensuring that voters of race, color, and language minority 18 groups have equitable access to fully participate in the electoral 19 process in registering to vote and voting free from improper dilution 20 or abridgement of voting power. 1 Sec. 2. RCW 29A.92.010 and 2018 c 113 s 103 are each amended to 2 read as follows:

3 The definitions in this section apply throughout this chapter 4 unless the context clearly requires otherwise. In applying these 5 definitions and other terms in this chapter, courts may rely on 6 relevant federal case law for guidance.

7 (1) "At large election" means any of the following methods of 8 electing members of the governing body of a political subdivision:

9 (a) One in which the voters of the entire jurisdiction elect the 10 members to the governing body;

11 (b) One in which the candidates are required to reside within 12 given areas of the jurisdiction and the voters of the entire 13 jurisdiction elect the members to the governing body; or

14 (c) One that combines the criteria in (a) and (b) of this 15 subsection or one that combines at large with district-based 16 elections.

17 (2) <u>"Cohesive" means that members of a group tend to prefer the</u> 18 <u>same candidates or other electoral choices.</u>

19 <u>(3)</u> "District-based elections" means a method of electing members 20 to the governing body of a political subdivision in which the 21 candidate must reside within an election district that is a divisible 22 part of the political subdivision and is elected only by voters 23 residing within that election district.

(((3))) <u>(4)</u> "Polarized voting" means voting in which there is a difference((, as defined in case law regarding enforcement of the federal voting rights act, 52 U.S.C. 10301 et seq.,)) in the choice of candidates or other electoral choices that are preferred by voters in a protected class <u>or a coalition of protected classes</u>, and in the choice of candidates and electoral choices that are preferred by voters in the rest of the electorate.

31 (((4))) <u>(5)</u> "Political subdivision" means any county, city, town, 32 school district, fire protection district, port district, or public 33 utility district, but does not include the state.

34 (((5))) <u>(6)</u> "Protected class" means a class of voters who are 35 members of a race, color, or language minority group <u>in the state of</u> 36 <u>Washington</u>, as this class is referenced and defined in the federal 37 voting rights act, 52 U.S.C. 10301 et seq.

38 Sec. 3. RCW 29A.92.030 and 2019 c 64 s 7 are each amended to 39 read as follows: 1 (1) A political subdivision is in violation of this chapter when 2 it is shown that:

3 (a) Elections in the political subdivision exhibit polarized4 voting; and

5 (b) Members of a protected class or classes do not have an equal 6 opportunity to elect candidates of their choice as a result of the 7 dilution or abridgment of the rights of members of that protected 8 class or classes.

9 (2) ((The fact that members of a protected class are not 10 geographically compact or concentrated to constitute a majority in a 11 proposed or existing district-based election district shall not 12 preclude a finding of a violation under this chapter, but may be a 13 factor in determining a remedy. The equal opportunity to elect shall 14 be assessed pragmatically, based on local election conditions, and 15 may include crossover districts.

16 (3)) In determining whether there is polarized voting under this 17 chapter, the court shall analyze election results including, but not limited to, elections of the governing body of the political 18 subdivision, ballot measure elections, elections in which at least 19 one candidate is a member of a protected class, and other electoral 20 21 choices that affect the rights and privileges of members of a 22 protected class. The court is not required to consider explanations, including partisanship, for why polarized voting under this chapter 23 24 exists in the political subdivision to determine whether polarized voting under this chapter exists in the political subdivision. 25 Elections conducted prior to the filing of an action pursuant to this 26 27 chapter are more probative to establish the existence of ((racially)) 28 polarized voting than elections conducted after the filing of an 29 action.

30 (((4))) <u>(3)</u> The election of candidates who are members of a 31 protected class and who were elected prior to the filing of an action 32 pursuant to this chapter shall not preclude a finding of polarized 33 voting that results in an unequal opportunity for a protected class 34 to elect candidates of their choice.

35 <u>(4) The equal opportunity to elect shall be assessed</u> 36 pragmatically, based on local election conditions, and may include 37 crossover districts. No single factor is dispositive or necessary to 38 establish a violation of this section.

39 (5) <u>The fact that members of a protected class are not</u> 40 <u>geographically compact or concentrated to constitute a majority in a</u>

p. 3

proposed or existing district-based election district shall not preclude a finding of a violation under this chapter, but may be a factor in determining a remedy.

4 <u>(6)</u> Proof of intent on the part of the voters or elected 5 officials to discriminate against a protected class is not required 6 for a cause of action to be sustained.

7 ((-(-6))) (7) Other factors such as the history of discrimination, the use of electoral devices or other voting practices or procedures 8 that may enhance the dilutive effects of at large elections, denial 9 of access to those processes determining which groups of candidates 10 11 will receive financial or other support in a given election, the 12 extent to which members of a protected class bear the effects of past discrimination in areas such as education, employment, and health, 13 which hinder their ability to participate effectively in the 14 political process, and the use of overt or subtle racial appeals in 15 16 political campaigns are probative, but not necessary factors, to 17 establish a violation of this chapter.

18 (8) A class of people protected by this section may include a 19 coalition of members of different racial, color, or language minority 20 groups. A coalition of members of different protected classes is not 21 required to demonstrate that each individual racial, color, or 22 language minority group which comprises the coalition is cohesive, 23 only that the coalition as a whole is cohesive.

24 Sec. 4. RCW 29A.92.040 and 2018 c 113 s 201 are each amended to 25 read as follows:

(1) A political subdivision that conducts an election pursuant to state, county, or local law, is authorized to change its electoral system, including, but not limited to, implementing a district-based election system, <u>or increasing the number of elected officials on a</u> <u>county commission as authorized by section 12 of this act</u>, to remedy a potential violation of RCW 29A.92.020.

32 (2) If a political subdivision invokes its authority under this
33 section to implement a district-based election system, the districts
34 shall be drawn in a manner consistent with RCW 29A.92.050.

35 Sec. 5. RCW 29A.92.060 and 2019 c 64 s 9 are each amended to 36 read as follows:

37 (1) A voter who resides in the political subdivision, an
38 organization whose roster of members and volunteers includes a voter

who resides in the political subdivision, or a tribe located at least in part in the political subdivision who intends to challenge a political subdivision's electoral system under this chapter shall first notify the political subdivision. The political subdivision shall promptly make such notice public.

The notice provided shall identify and provide contact 6 (2) 7 information for the person or persons who intend to file an action, and shall identify the protected class or classes whose members do 8 not have an equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice or 9 an equal opportunity to influence the outcome of an election because 10 11 of alleged vote dilution and polarized voting. The notice shall also 12 include a type of remedy the person believes may address the alleged violation of RCW 29A.92.030. 13

14 Sec. 6. RCW 29A.92.090 and 2019 c 64 s 12 are each amended to 15 read as follows:

16 (1) After exhaustion of the time period in RCW 29A.92.080, any voter who resides in a political subdivision, organization whose 17 18 roster of members and volunteers includes a voter who resides in the political subdivision, or tribe located at least in part in the 19 20 political subdivision where a violation of RCW 29A.92.020 is alleged 21 may file an action in the superior court of the county in which the 22 political subdivision is located. If the action is against a county, the action may be filed in the superior court of such county, or in 23 24 the superior court of either of the two nearest judicial districts as 25 determined pursuant to RCW 36.01.050(2). An action filed pursuant to this chapter does not need to be filed as a class action. 26

(2) ((Members)) <u>A coalition of members</u> of different protected classes may file an action jointly pursuant to this chapter if they demonstrate that the combined voting preferences of the multiple protected classes are polarized against the rest of the electorate. <u>A</u> <u>coalition of members of different protected classes is not required</u> <u>to demonstrate that each individual racial, color, or language</u> <u>minority group which comprises the coalition is cohesive.</u>

34 Sec. 7. RCW 29A.92.110 and 2019 c 454 s 2 are each amended to 35 read as follows:

36 (1) ((The)) After finding a violation of RCW 29A.92.020 or upon 37 stipulation of the parties, the court may order appropriate remedies 38 including, but not limited to, the imposition of a district-based

1 election system or expansion of the number of elected county commissioners if authorized by section 12 of this act. ((The court 2 may order the affected jurisdiction to draw or redraw district 3 boundaries or appoint an individual or panel to draw or redraw 4 district lines. The proposed districts must be approved by the court 5 6 prior to their implementation.)) In tailoring a remedy, the court shall consider proposed remedies by the parties and may not give 7 deference to a proposed remedy only because it is proposed by the 8 political subdivision. The court may not approve a remedy that 9 violates this chapter. 10

11 (2) If the court orders a district-based remedy, the court must 12 approve proposed district boundaries prior to their implementation. 13 The court must determine that the proposed district boundaries will 14 not violate this chapter.

15 (3) Implementation of a district-based remedy is not precluded by 16 the fact that members of a protected class do not constitute a 17 numerical majority within a proposed district-based election 18 district. If, in tailoring a remedy, the court orders the implementation of a district-based election district where the 19 members of the protected class are not a numerical majority, the 20 21 court shall do so in a manner that provides the protected class an equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice. The court may 22 23 also approve a district-based election system that provides the protected class the opportunity to join in a coalition of two or more 24 25 protected classes to elect candidates of their choice if there is 26 demonstrated political cohesion among the protected classes.

27 (((3))) <u>(4)</u> In tailoring a remedy after a finding of a violation 28 of RCW 29A.92.020 <u>or upon stipulation of the parties</u>:

29 (a) If the court's order providing a remedy or approving proposed 30 districts, whichever is later, is issued during the period of time 31 between the first Tuesday after the first Monday of November and on or before January 15th of the following year, the court shall order 32 new elections, conducted pursuant to the remedy, to occur at the next 33 succeeding general election. If a special filing period is required, 34 filings for that office shall be reopened for a period of three 35 36 business days, such three-day period to be fixed by the filing officer. 37

38 (b) If the court's order providing a remedy or approving proposed 39 districts, whichever is later, is issued during the period of time 40 between January 16th and on or before the first Monday of November, 1 the next election will occur as scheduled and organized under the 2 current electoral system, but the court shall order new elections to 3 occur pursuant to the remedy at the general election the following 4 calendar year.

5 (c) The remedy may provide for the political subdivision to hold 6 elections for the members of its governing body at the same time as 7 regularly scheduled elections for statewide or federal offices. All 8 positions on the governing body must stand for election at the next 9 election for the governing body, scheduled pursuant to this 10 subsection (((3))) (4). The governing body may subsequently choose to 11 stagger the terms of its positions.

12 (((4))) (5) Within thirty days of the conclusion of any action 13 filed under RCW 29A.92.100, the political subdivision must publish on 14 the subdivision's website, the outcome and summary of the action, as 15 well as the legal costs incurred by the subdivision. If the political 16 subdivision does not have its own website, then it may publish on the 17 county website.

18 Sec. 8. RCW 29A.92.070 and 2019 c 64 s 10 are each amended to 19 read as follows:

(1) The political subdivision shall work in good faith with the 20 person, organization, or tribe providing the notice to implement a 21 remedy that provides the protected class or classes identified in the 22 notice an equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice. Such 23 24 work in good faith to implement a remedy may include, but is not 25 limited to consideration of: (a) Relevant electoral data; (b) relevant demographic data, including the most recent census data 26 27 available; and (c) any other information that would be relevant to implementing a remedy. 28

(2) If the political subdivision adopts a remedy that takes the 29 30 notice into account, or adopts the notice's proposed remedy, the 31 political subdivision shall seek a court order acknowledging that the political subdivision's remedy complies with RCW 29A.92.020 and was 32 prompted by a plausible violation. The person who submitted the 33 notice may support or oppose such an order, and may obtain public 34 35 records to do so. The political subdivision must provide all political, census, and demographic data and any analysis of that data 36 used to develop the remedy in its filings seeking the court order and 37 with any documents made public. All facts and reasonable inferences 38 shall be viewed in the light most favorable to those opposing the 39

SHB 1048

p. 7

political subdivision's proposed remedy at this stage. There shall be a rebuttable presumption that the court will decline to approve the political subdivision's proposed remedy at this stage.

4 (3) If the court concludes that the political subdivision's 5 remedy complies with RCW 29A.92.020, an action under this chapter may 6 not be brought against that political subdivision for four years by 7 any party so long as the political subdivision does not enact a 8 change to or deviation from the remedy during this four-year period 9 that would otherwise give rise to an action under this chapter.

10 (4) In agreeing to adopt the person's, organization's, or tribe's 11 proposed remedy, the political subdivision may do so by stipulation, 12 which shall become a public document.

13 (5) (a) If the court issues an order under subsection (2) of this 14 section, the person, organization, or tribe who sent the notice may 15 make a demand to the political subdivision for reimbursement of the 16 costs incurred in conducting the research necessary to send the 17 notice. A demand made under this subsection must:

18 <u>(i) Be in writing;</u>

19 (ii) Be received by the political subdivision within 30 days of 20 the adoption of the new electoral system; and

(iii) Include financial documentation, such as a detailed invoice for demographic services, that supports the demand. The political subdivision may request additional documentation if the documentation provided is insufficient for the political subdivision to corroborate the claimed costs.

(b) The political subdivision shall, within 60 days of receiving
the demand, reimburse the reasonable costs of the person,
organization, or tribe who sent the notice, not to exceed \$50,000.

29 Sec. 9. RCW 29A.92.080 and 2019 c 64 s 11 are each amended to 30 read as follows:

31 Any voter who resides in the political subdivision, (1) organization whose roster of members and volunteers includes a voter 32 who resides in the political subdivision, or tribe located at least 33 in part in the political subdivision may file an action under this 34 35 chapter if, ((one hundred eighty)) <u>90</u> days after a political subdivision receives notice of a challenge to its electoral system 36 under RCW 29A.92.060, the political subdivision has not obtained a 37 38 court order stating that it has adopted a remedy in compliance with RCW 29A.92.020. ((However, if notice is received after July 1, 2021, 39

1 then the political subdivision shall have ninety days to obtain a

2 court order before an action may be filed.))

3 (2) If a political subdivision has received two or more notices containing materially different proposed remedies, the political 4 subdivision shall work in good faith with the persons to implement a 5 6 remedy that provides the protected class or classes identified in the notices an equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice. If 7 the political subdivision adopts one of the remedies offered, or a 8 different remedy that takes multiple notices into account, the 9 political subdivision shall seek a court order acknowledging that the 10 11 political subdivision's remedy is reasonably necessary to avoid a violation of RCW 29A.92.020. The persons, organizations, or tribes 12 who submitted the notice may support or oppose such an order, and may 13 obtain public records to do so. The political subdivision must 14 provide all political, census, and demographic data and any analysis 15 16 of that data used to develop the remedy in its filings seeking the 17 court order and with any documents made public. All facts and reasonable inferences shall be viewed in the light most favorable to 18 those opposing the political subdivision's proposed remedy at this 19 stage. There shall be a rebuttable presumption that the court will 20 21 decline to approve the political subdivision's proposed remedy at 22 this stage.

(3) If the court concludes that the political subdivision's remedy complies with RCW 29A.92.020, an action under this chapter may not be brought against that political subdivision for four years by any party so long as the political subdivision does not enact a change to or deviation from the remedy during this four-year period that would otherwise give rise to an action under this chapter.

29 <u>(4) (a) If the court issues an order under subsection (2) of this</u> 30 <u>section, the persons, organizations, or tribes who sent notices may</u> 31 <u>make a demand to the political subdivision for reimbursement of the</u> 32 <u>costs incurred in conducting the research necessary to send the</u> 33 <u>notices. A demand made under this subsection must:</u>

34 <u>(i) Be in writing;</u>

35 (ii) Be received by the political subdivision within 30 days of 36 the adoption of the new electoral system; and

(iii) Include financial documentation, such as a detailed invoice
for demographic services, that supports the demand. The political
subdivision may request additional documentation if the documentation

1 provided is insufficient for the political subdivision to corroborate

2 the claimed costs.

3 (b) The political subdivision shall, within 60 days of receiving 4 the demand, reimburse the reasonable costs of the persons, 5 organizations, or tribes who sent the notices, not to exceed \$50,000.

6 Sec. 10. RCW 29A.92.130 and 2018 c 113 s 405 are each amended to 7 read as follows:

8 (1) In any action to enforce this chapter, the court may allow 9 the prevailing plaintiff or plaintiffs, other than the state or 10 political subdivision thereof, reasonable attorneys' fees, all 11 nonattorney fee costs as defined by RCW 4.84.010, and all reasonable 12 expert witness fees, including all such reasonable fees and costs 13 incurred before filing the action. ((No fees or costs may be awarded 14 if no action is filed.))

15 (2) (a) A prevailing plaintiff does not need to achieve relief or 16 favorable judgment if the plaintiff demonstrates that they succeeded 17 in altering the political subdivision's behavior to correct a claimed 18 harm.

19 (b) For purposes of this section, "altering the political 20 subdivision's behavior" includes, but is not limited to, adopting a 21 new method of electing a governing body, modifying district 22 boundaries, or amending a voting rule or qualification.

23 <u>(3)</u> Prevailing defendants may recover an award of fees or costs 24 pursuant to RCW 4.84.185.

25 Sec. 11. RCW 36.32.020 and 2018 c 113 s 204 are each amended to 26 read as follows:

The board of county commissioners of each county shall divide their county into three commissioner districts so that each district shall comprise as nearly as possible one-third of the population of the county: PROVIDED, That the territory comprised in any voting precincts of such districts shall remain compact, and shall not be divided by the lines of said districts.

However, the commissioners of any county composed entirely of islands and with a population of less than thirty-five thousand may divide their county into three commissioner districts without regard to population, except that if any single island is included in more than one district, the districts on such island shall comprise, as nearly as possible, equal populations. 1 The commissioners of any county may authorize a change to their electoral system pursuant to RCW 29A.92.040. Except where necessary 2 to comply with a court order issued pursuant to RCW 29A.92.110, and 3 except in the case of an intervening census, the lines of the 4 districts shall not be changed more often than once in four years and 5 6 only when a full board of commissioners is present. ((The)) Except 7 when authorized under section 12 of this act, the districts shall be designated as districts numbered one, two, and three. 8

9 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 12. A new section is added to chapter 29A.92 10 RCW to read as follows:

(1) A county may reasonably increase the number of elected commissioners to remedy a potential violation of RCW 29A.92.020 if the protected class or one of the protected classes subject to alleged vote dilution is Indian tribal status.

15 (2) After finding a violation of RCW 29A.92.020 or upon 16 stipulation of the parties, the court may order a reasonable increase 17 in the number of elected officials on a county commission if the 18 defendant political subdivision is a county and the protected class 19 or one of the protected classes subject to alleged vote dilution is 20 Indian tribal status.

21 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 13. If any provision of this act or its 22 application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the 23 remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other 24 persons or circumstances is not affected.

25 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 14. This act takes effect January 1, 2024.

--- END ---