HOUSE BILL 2237

State of Washington 68th Legislature 2024 Regular Session

By Representatives Taylor and Walen

Read first time 01/09/24. Referred to Committee on Civil Rights & Judiciary.

1 AN ACT Relating to limitations in parenting plans; amending RCW 2 26.09.191; and adding a new section to chapter 26.09 RCW.

3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

4 Sec. 1. RCW 26.09.191 and 2021 c 215 s 134 are each amended to 5 read as follows:

6 (1)((The permanent parenting plan shall not require mutual 7 decision-making or designation of a dispute resolution process other 8 than court action)) PURPOSE. Parents are responsible for protecting and preserving the health and well-being of their minor children. 9 10 When a parent acts contrary to the health and well-being of the 11 parent's child, or engages in conduct that creates an unreasonable risk of harm to a child, the court may, and in some situations must, 12 impose limitations intended to protect the child from harm as 13 described in this section and section 2 of this act. 14

15 (2) GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS.

16 <u>(a) In entering a permanent parenting plan, the court shall not</u> 17 <u>draw any presumptions from the provisions of the temporary parenting</u> 18 <u>plan.</u>

19 <u>(b) The weight given to the existence of a protection order</u> 20 <u>issued under chapter 7.105 RCW or former chapter 26.50 RCW as to</u> 21 domestic violence is within the discretion of the court. 1 (c) In determining whether any of the conduct described in this 2 section or section 2 of this act has occurred, the court shall apply 3 the rules of evidence and civil procedure except where the parties 4 have opted for an informal family law trial pursuant to state or 5 local court rules.

6 <u>(3) DEFINITIONS. The definitions in this subsection apply</u> 7 <u>throughout this section and section 2 of this act unless the context</u> 8 <u>clearly requires otherwise.</u>

(a) "Abusive use of conflict" refers to a party engaging in 9 ongoing and deliberate actions to misuse conflict. This includes, but 10 is not limited to: (i) Repeated bad faith violations of court orders 11 12 regarding the child or the protection of the child or other parent; (ii) credible threats of physical, emotional, or financial harm to 13 the other parent or to family, friends, or professionals providing 14 support to the child or other parent; (iii) intentional use of the 15 child in conflict; or (iv) abusive litigation as defined in RCW 16 26.51.020. Litigation that is aggressive or improper but does not 17 meet the definition of abusive litigation shall not constitute a 18 basis for finding abusive use of conflict under this section. 19 Protective actions as defined in this section shall not constitute a 20 21 basis for a finding of abusive use of conflict.

(b) "Child" shall also mean "children."

22

23 (c) "Knowingly" means knows or reasonably should know.

24 <u>(d) "Parenting functions" has the same meaning as in RCW</u> 25 <u>26.09.004.</u>

26 (e) "Protective actions" are actions taken by a parent in good 27 faith for the purpose of protecting themselves or the parent's child 28 from the risk of harm posed by the other parent. "Protective actions" can include, but are not limited to: (i) Reports or complaints 29 regarding physical, sexual, or mental abuse of a child or child 30 neglect to an individual or entity connected to the provision of care 31 or safety of the child such as law enforcement, medical 32 professionals, therapists, schools, day cares, or child protective 33 services; (ii) seeking court orders changing residential time; or 34 (iii) petitions for protection or restraining orders. 35

36 <u>(f) "Social worker" means a person with a master's degree or</u> 37 <u>further advanced degree from a social work educational program</u> 38 <u>accredited and approved as provided in RCW 18.320.010.</u>

39 (g) "Willful abandonment" has occurred when the child's parent 40 has expressed, either by statement or conduct, an intent to forego,

1 for an extended period, parental rights or responsibilities despite an ability to exercise such rights and responsibilities. "Willful 2 3 abandonment" does not include a parent who has been unable to see the child due to incarceration, deportation, inpatient treatment, medical 4 emergency, fleeing to an emergency shelter or domestic violence 5 6 shelter, or withholding of the child by the other parent. 7 (4) RESIDENTIAL TIME LIMITATIONS. (a) PARENTAL CONDUCT REQUIRING LIMITS ON A PARENT'S RESIDENTIAL 8 TIME. A parent's residential time with the parent's child shall be 9 10 limited if it is found that a parent has engaged in any of the 11 following conduct: 12 ((((a))) (i) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended 13 period of time ((or substantial refusal to perform parenting 14 functions; (b) physical, sexual,)); 15 16 (ii) Physical abuse or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; 17 ((or (c) a)) (iii) <u>A</u> history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 7.105.010 ((or)), an assault ((or sexual assault)) 18 19 that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm ((or that 20 results in a pregnancy. 21 (2) (a) The)), or any sexual assault; or (iv) Sexual abuse of a child. Required limitations and 22 23 considerations for a parent who has been convicted of a sexual offense against a child or found to have sexually abused a child in 24 25 the current case or a prior case are addressed in section 2 of this 26 act. 27 (b) PARENT RESIDING WITH A PERSON WHOSE CONDUCT REQUIRES 28 RESIDENTIAL TIME LIMITATIONS. A parent's residential time with the child shall be limited if it is found that the parent knowingly 29 resides with a person who has engaged in any of the following 30 31 conduct: (((i) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended 32 period of time or substantial refusal to perform parenting functions; 33 (ii) physical, sexual,)) (i) Physical abuse or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; 34 ((((iii) a)) (ii) A history of acts of domestic violence as 35 36 defined in RCW 7.105.010 ((or)), an assault ((or sexual assault)) that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm ((or that 37 38 results in a pregnancy; or (iv) the parent has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense under: 39

1	(A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between
2	the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under
3	(d) of this subsection;
4	(B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between
5	the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under
6	(d) of this subsection;
7	(C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between
8	the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under
9	(d) of this subsection;
10	(D) RCW 9A.44.089;
11	(E) RCW 9A.44.093;
12	(F) RCW 9A.44.096;
13	(G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age
14	between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists
15	under (d) of this subsection;
16	(H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
17	(I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed
18	in (a) (iv) (A) through (H) of this subsection;
19	(J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an
20	offense analogous to the offenses listed in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of
21	this subsection.
22	This subsection (2)(a) shall not apply when (c) or (d) of this
23	subsection applies.
24	(b) The parent's residential time with the child shall be limited
25	if it is found that the parent resides with a person who has engaged
26	in any of the following conduct: (i) Physical, sexual, or a pattern
27	of emotional abuse of a child; (ii) a history of acts of domestic
28	violence as defined in RCW 7.105.010 or an assault or sexual assault
29	that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm or that
30	results in a pregnancy; or (iii) the person has been convicted as an
31	adult or as a juvenile has been adjudicated of a sex offense under:
32	(A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between
33	the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under
34	(e) of this subsection;
35	(B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between
36	the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under
37	(e) of this subsection;
38	(C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between
39	the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under
40	(e) of this subsection;
	р. 4 HB 2237

1 (D) RCW 9A.44.089;

2 (E) RCW 9A.44.093;

3 (F) RCW 9A.44.096;

4 (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age
5 between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists
6 under (e) of this subsection;

7 (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;

8 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed
9 in (b) (iii) (A) through (H) of this subsection;

10 (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an 11 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) 12 of this subsection.

13 This subsection (2) (b) shall not apply when (c) or (e) of this 14 subsection applies.

15 (c) If a parent has been found to be a sexual predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other 16 17 jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter. If a parent 18 19 resides with an adult or a juvenile who has been found to be a sexual predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any 20 other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact 21 with the parent's child except contact that occurs outside that 22 23 person's presence.

(d) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection poses a present danger to a child. Unless the parent rebuts this presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter:

30 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted 31 was at least five years older than the other person;

32 (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;

33 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at 34 least eight years older than the victim;

35 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at 36 least eight years older than the victim;

37 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;

38 (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at 39 least eight years older than the victim;

40 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;

1 (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses
2 listed in (d) (i) through (vii) of this subsection;

3 (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an
4 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of
5 this subsection.

6 (e) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who resides with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted, or as a juvenile 7 has been adjudicated, of the sex offenses listed in (e)(i) through 8 (ix) of this subsection places a child at risk of abuse or harm when 9 10 that parent exercises residential time in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person. Unless the parent rebuts the 11 presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with 12 the parent's child except for contact that occurs outside of the 13 convicted or adjudicated person's presence: 14

15 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted 16 was at least five years older than the other person;

17 (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;

18 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at 19 least eight years older than the victim;

20 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at 21 least eight years older than the victim;

22 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;

23 (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at 24 least eight years older than the victim;

25 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;

26 (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses
27 listed in (e) (i) through (vii) of this subsection;

28 (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an 29 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of 30 this subsection.

31 (f) The presumption established in (d) of this subsection may be 32 rebutted only after a written finding that the child was not 33 conceived and subsequently born as a result of a sexual assault 34 committed by the parent requesting residential time and that:

(i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, and (B) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment 1 provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk

2 to the child; or

(ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by 3 the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child 4 and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the 5 child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of 6 sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the 7 child and the offending parent is in the child's best interest, and 8 (C) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for 9 10 sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes 11 such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child. 12

13 (g) The presumption established in (e) of this subsection may be 14 rebutted only after a written finding that the child was not 15 conceived and subsequently born as a result of a sexual assault 16 committed by the parent requesting residential time and that:

(i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed 17 by the person who is residing with the parent requesting residential 18 time, (A) contact between the child and the parent residing with the 19 20 convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and that parent is able to protect the child in the presence of the convicted or 21 adjudicated person, and (B) the convicted or adjudicated person has 22 successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in 23 24 and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, 25 and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and 26 poses minimal risk to the child; or

27 (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by 28 the person who is residing with the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the parent in the presence of 29 30 the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for 31 victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact 32 between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or 33 adjudicated person in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated 34 35 person is in the child's best interest, and (C) the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex 36 offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if 37 38 any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes contact between the parent and child in the presence of the convicted 39

1 or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the

2 child.

(h) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of 3 rebutting the presumption under (f) of this subsection, the court may 4 allow a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense 5 listed in (d) (i) through (ix) of this subsection to have residential 6 7 time with the child supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential 8 time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between 9 10 the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the 11 evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the 12 13 supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of 14 15 protecting the child.

16 (i) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of 17 rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who has been adjudicated as a 18 juvenile of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this 19 20 subsection to have residential time with the child in the presence of the person adjudicated as a juvenile, supervised by a neutral and 21 22 independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor 23 24 for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of 25 protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval 26 of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the 27 28 supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or 29 capable of protecting the child.

30 (j) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of 31 rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who, as an adult, has been 32 33 convicted of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have residential time with the child in the presence of 34 35 the convicted person supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential 36 time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between 37 38 the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting 39 40 the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the

1 supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor
2 has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of
3 protecting the child.

4 (k) A court shall not order unsupervised contact between the 5 offending parent and a child of the offending parent who was sexually 6 abused by that parent. A court may order unsupervised contact between 7 the offending parent and a child who was not sexually abused by the parent after the presumption under (d) of this subsection has been 8 rebutted and supervised residential time has occurred for at least 9 10 two years with no further arrests or convictions of sex offenses 11 involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW and (i) the sex offense of the offending parent was not 12 13 committed against a child of the offending parent, and (ii) the court 14 finds that unsupervised contact between the child and the offending 15 parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after 16 consideration of the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker with expertise in treating child 17 sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one period of 18 19 residential time between the parent and the child, and after 20 consideration of evidence of the offending parent's compliance with community supervision requirements, if any. If the offending parent 21 22 was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the parent shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation 23 conducted by a certified sex offender treatment provider or a 24 certified affiliate sex offender treatment provider indicating that 25 26 the offender has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the 27 court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child.

28 (1) A court may order unsupervised contact between the parent and 29 a child which may occur in the presence of a juvenile adjudicated of 30 a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection who 31 resides with the parent after the presumption under (e) of this 32 subsection has been rebutted and supervised residential time has 33 occurred for at least two years during which time the adjudicated juvenile has had no further arrests, adjudications, or convictions of 34 35 sex offenses involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW, and (i) the court finds that 36 unsupervised contact between the child and the parent that may occur 37 38 in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a 39 40 state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker

with expertise in treatment of child sexual abuse victims who has 1 supervised at least one period of residential time between the parent 2 and the child in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile, and after 3 consideration of evidence of the adjudicated juvenile's compliance 4 5 with community supervision or parole requirements, if any. If the 6 adjudicated juvenile was not ordered by a court to participate in 7 treatment for sex offenders, then the adjudicated juvenile shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted by a certified sex 8 offender treatment provider or a certified affiliate sex offender 9 10 treatment provider indicating that the adjudicated juvenile has the 11 lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur 12 13 in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile who is residing with the 14 parent.

15 (m) (i) The limitations imposed by the court under (a) or (b) of 16 this subsection shall be reasonably calculated to protect the child from the physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could 17 18 result if the child has contact with the parent requesting residential time. The limitations shall also be reasonably calculated 19 to provide for the safety of the parent who may be at risk of 20 21 physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could result if the 22 parent has contact with the parent requesting residential time. The limitations the court may impose include, but are not limited to: 23 24 Supervised contact between the child and the parent or completion of 25 relevant counseling or treatment. If the court expressly finds based 26 on the evidence that limitations on the residential time with the 27 child will not adequately protect the child from the harm or abuse 28 that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting 29 residential time, the court shall restrain the parent requesting 30 residential time from all contact with the child.

31 (ii) The court shall not enter an order under (a) of this 32 subsection allowing a parent to have contact with a child if the 33 parent has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action 34 35 to have sexually abused the child, except upon recommendation by an evaluator or therapist for the child that the child is ready for 36 contact with the parent and will not be harmed by the contact. The 37 38 court shall not enter an order allowing a parent to have contact with 39 the child in the offender's presence if the parent resides with a 40 person who has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil

1 action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action 2 to have sexually abused a child, unless the court finds that the 3 parent accepts that the person engaged in the harmful conduct and the 4 parent is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm 5 from the person.

6 (iii) The court shall not enter an order under (a) of this 7 subsection allowing a parent to have contact with a child if the 8 parent has been found by clear and convincing evidence pursuant to 9 RCW 26.26A.465 to have committed sexual assault, as defined in RCW 10 26.26A.465, against the child's parent, and that the child was born 11 within three hundred twenty days of the sexual assault.

(iv) If the court limits residential time under (a) or (b) of 12 13 this subsection to require supervised contact between the child and the parent, the court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact 14 15 between a child and a parent who has engaged in physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of the child unless the court finds 16 based upon the evidence that the supervisor accepts that the harmful 17 conduct occurred and is willing to and capable of protecting the 18 child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the 19 supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor 20 21 has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing to or capable 22 of protecting the child.

23 (n) If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that 24 contact between the parent and the child will not cause physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm to the child and that the 25 probability that the parent's or other person's harmful or abusive 26 conduct will recur is so remote that it would not be in the child's 27 best interests to apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and 28 29 (iv) of this subsection, or if the court expressly finds that the 30 parent's conduct did not have an impact on the child, then the court 31 need not apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m) (i) and (iv) of 32 this subsection. The weight given to the existence of a protection order issued under chapter 7.105 RCW or former chapter 26.50 RCW as 33 to domestic violence is within the discretion of the court. This 34 35 subsection shall not apply when (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), (l), and (m) (ii) of this subsection apply. 36

37 (3)), or any sexual assault; or

38 (iii) Sexual abuse of a child. Required limitations and 39 considerations on a parent who resides with someone convicted of a 40 sexual offense against a child or found to have sexually abused a

1 child in the current case or a prior case are addressed in section 2 2 of this act. 3 (c) PARENTAL CONDUCT THAT MAY RESULT IN LIMITATIONS ON A PARENT'S <u>RESIDENTIAL TIME.</u> A parent's involvement or conduct may have an 4 adverse effect on the child's best interests, and the court may 5 6 preclude or limit any provisions of the parenting plan, if any of the following factors exist: 7 ((((a))) (i) A parent's neglect or substantial nonperformance of 8 9 parenting functions; 10 (((b))) <u>(ii)</u> A long-term emotional or physical impairment ((which)) that interferes with the parent's performance of parenting 11 12 functions ((as defined in RCW 26.09.004)); (((c))) <u>(iii)</u> A long-term impairment resulting from drug, 13 alcohol, or other substance abuse that interferes 14 with the 15 performance of parenting functions; 16 ((((d))) (iv) The absence or substantial impairment of emotional 17 ties between the parent and the child; (((e) The)) <u>(v) A parent has engaged in the</u> abusive use of 18 19 conflict ((by the parent)) which creates the danger of serious damage to the child's psychological development((. Abusive use of conflict 20 21 includes, but is not limited to, abusive litigation as defined in RCW 26.51.020. If the court finds a parent has engaged in abusive 22 23 litigation, the court may impose any restrictions or remedies set forth in chapter 26.51 RCW in addition to including a finding in the 24 25 parenting plan. Litigation that is aggressive or improper but that does not meet the definition of abusive litigation shall not 26 27 constitute a basis for a finding under this section. A report made in 28 good faith to law enforcement, a medical professional, or child protective services of sexual, physical, or mental abuse of a child 29 30 shall not constitute a basis for a finding of abusive use of 31 conflict; 32 (f))); 33 (vi) A parent has withheld from the other parent access to the child for a protracted period without good cause. Withholding does 34 not include protective actions taken by a parent in good faith for 35

- 36 the legitimate and lawful purpose of protecting themselves or the 37 parent's child from the risk of harm posed by the other parent; or
- 38 (((g))) <u>(vii)</u> Such other factors or conduct as the court 39 expressly finds adverse to the best interests of the child.

1 (((4) In cases involving allegations of limiting factors under 2 subsection (2)(a)(ii) and (iii) of this section, both parties shall 3 be screened to determine the appropriateness of a comprehensive 4 assessment regarding the impact of the limiting factor on the child 5 and the parties.

6 (5) In entering a permanent parenting plan, the court shall not 7 draw any presumptions from the provisions of the temporary parenting 8 plan.

9 (6) In determining whether any of the conduct described in this 10 section has occurred, the court shall apply the civil rules of 11 evidence, proof, and procedure.

12

(7) For the purposes of this section:

13 (a) "A parent's child" means that parent's natural child, adopted 14 child, or stepchild; and

15 (b) "Social worker" means a person with a master's or further 16 advanced degree from a social work educational program accredited and 17 approved as provided in RCW 18.320.010.))

(d) LIMITATIONS A COURT MAY IMPOSE ON A PARENT'S RESIDENTIAL 18 TIME. The limitations that may be imposed by the court under this 19 section shall be reasonably calculated to protect a child from the 20 21 physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could result if a child has contact with the parent requesting residential time. The 22 23 limitations shall also be reasonably calculated to provide for the 24 safety of the parent who may be at risk of physical, sexual, or 25 emotional abuse or harm that could result if the parent has contact with the other parent. The limitations the court may impose include, 26 27 but are not limited to:

28 (i) SUPERVISED VISITATION. A court may, in its discretion, order
29 supervised contact between a child and the parent.

30 (A) If the court requires supervised visitation, there is a 31 presumption that the supervision shall be provided by a professional supervisor. For all supervision, the court shall include clear 32 written guidelines and prohibitions to be followed by the supervised 33 34 party. No visits shall take place until the supervised parent and 35 supervisor, or designated representative of a professional supervision program, have signed an acknowledgment confirming that 36 they have read the court orders and the guidelines and prohibitions 37 regarding visitation and agree to follow them. The court shall only 38 39 permit supervision by an individual or program that is committed to 40 protecting the child from any physical or emotional abuse or harm and 1 <u>is willing and capable of intervening in behaviors inconsistent with</u> 2 the court orders and guidelines.

3 (B) A parent may seek an emergency ex parte order temporarily suspending residential time until review by the court if: (A) The 4 supervised parent repeatedly violates the court order or quidelines; 5 6 (B) the supervised parent threatens the supervisor or child with 7 physical harm, commits an act of domestic violence, or materially violates <u>any treatment condition associated with any restrictions</u> 8 under this section (a missed counseling appointment does not 9 constitute a violation); (C) the supervisor is unable or unwilling to 10 protect the child and/or the protected parent; or (D) the supervisor 11 12 is no longer willing to provide service to the supervised parent. The court suspending residential time shall set a review hearing to take 13 14 place within 14 days of entering the ex parte order.

15 <u>(ii) EVALUATION OR TREATMENT. The court may order a parent to</u> 16 <u>undergo evaluations for such issues as domestic violence</u> 17 <u>perpetration, substance use disorder, mental health, or anger</u> 18 <u>management, with collateral input provided from the other parent. Any</u> 19 <u>evaluation report that does not include collateral input must provide</u> 20 <u>details as to why and the attempts made to obtain collateral input.</u>

21 <u>(A) The court may also order that a parent complete treatment for</u> 22 <u>any of these issues if the need for treatment is supported by the</u> 23 <u>evidence and the evidence supports a finding that the issue</u> 24 <u>interferes with parenting functions.</u>

25 <u>(B) A parent's residential time and decision-making authority may</u>
26 <u>be conditioned on the parent's completion of an evaluation or</u>
27 <u>treatment ordered by the court.</u>

(iii) NO CONTACT. If, based on the evidence, the court expressly finds that limitations on the residential time with a child will not adequately protect a child from the harm or abuse that could result if a child has contact with the parent requesting residential time, the court shall restrain the parent requesting residential time from all contact with a child.

34 (5) LIMITATIONS ON DECISION MAKING AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION. Except 35 for circumstances provided in subsection (6) (b) of this section, the 36 court shall order sole decision making and no dispute resolution 37 other than court action if it is found that a parent has engaged in 38 any of the following conduct: 39 (a) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended period;

40 (b) Physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child;

1 (c) A history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW
2 7.105.010; or

3 <u>(d) An assault that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of</u> 4 <u>such harm or any sexual assault.</u>

5

(6) DETERMINATION NOT TO IMPOSE LIMITATIONS.

6 (a) If the parents agree or the court makes express written 7 findings based on clear and convincing evidence that contact between the parent and the child will not cause physical, sexual, or 8 emotional abuse or harm to the child and that the probability that 9 the parent's or other person's harmful or abusive conduct will recur 10 is so remote that it would not be in the child's best interests to 11 12 apply limitations to residential time under subsection (4) of this section, then the court need not apply the limitations of subsection 13 (4) of this section. This subsection shall not apply to findings of 14 sexual abuse which are governed by section 2 of this act. 15

16 (b) If the parents agree or the court makes express written 17 findings based on clear and convincing evidence that it would be contrary to the child's best interests to order sole decision making 18 19 or preclude dispute resolution under subsection (5) of this section, the court need not apply those limitations. Where there has been a 20 finding of domestic violence, there is a rebuttable presumption that 21 there will be sole decision making. The court shall not require face-22 23 to-face mediation, arbitration, or interventions, including therapeutic interventions, that require the parties to share the same 24 25 physical or virtual space if there has been a finding of domestic 26 violence.

27 (c) In determining whether there is clear and convincing evidence 28 supporting a determination not to impose limitations, the court shall 29 consider and make express written findings on all of the following 30 <u>factors:</u>

31 (i) Any current risk posed by the parent to the physical or 32 psychological well-being of the child or other parent;

33 (ii) Whether a parent has demonstrated that they can and will 34 prioritize the child's physical and psychological well-being;

35 <u>(iii) Whether a parent has adhered to and is likely to adhere to</u> 36 <u>court orders;</u>

37 (iv) Whether a parent has genuinely acknowledged past harm and is 38 committed to avoiding harm in the future; and

39 <u>(v) A parent's compliance with any previously court-ordered</u> 40 treatment. A parent's compliance with the requirements for 1 participation in a treatment program does not, by itself, constitute

2 <u>evidence that the parent has made the requisite changes.</u>

(7) WHEN LIMITATIONS APPLY TO BOTH PARENTS.

3

(a) When mandatory limitations in subsection (4)(a) or (b) of 4 this section apply to both parents, the court may make an exception 5 6 in applying mandatory limitations. The court shall make detailed 7 written findings regarding the comparative risk of harm to the child posed by each parent, and shall explain the limitations imposed on 8 each parent, including any decision not to impose restrictions on a 9 10 parent or to award decision making to a parent who is subject to 11 limitations.

12 (b) When mandatory limitations under subsection (4) (a) or (b) of this section apply to one parent and discretionary limitations under 13 subsection (4)(c) of this section apply to another parent, there is a 14 15 presumption that the mandatory limitations shall have priority in setting the limitations of the residential schedule, decision making, 16 and dispute resolution. If the court deviates from this presumption, 17 the court shall make detailed written findings as to the reasons for 18 19 the deviation.

20 (c) When discretionary limitations in subsection (4) (c) of this 21 section apply to both parents, the court shall make detailed written 22 findings regarding the comparative risk of harm to the child posed by 23 each parent, and shall explain the limitations imposed on each 24 parent, including any decision not to impose restrictions on a parent 25 or to award decision making to a parent who is subject to limitations 26 in subsection (4) (c) of this section.

27 (d) In making the determinations under (a), (b), or (c) of this 28 subsection, the court shall consider the best interests of the child and which parenting arrangement best maintains a child's emotional 29 30 growth, health and stability, and physical care. Further, the best interests of the child are ordinarily served when the existing 31 32 pattern of interaction between a parent and child is altered only to the extent necessitated by the changed relationship of the parents or 33 34 as required to protect the child from physical, mental, or emotional 35 harm.

36 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 26.09 37 RCW to read as follows:

38 This section governs limitations on residential provisions, 39 decision-making authority, and dispute resolution when a parent, or a person the parent resides with, has been convicted of a sexual
 offense against a child or found to have sexually abused a child.

(1) SEXUALLY VIOLENT PREDATORS. If a parent has been found to be 3 a sexually violent predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an 4 analogous statute of any other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain 5 6 the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed 7 under this chapter. If a parent resides with an adult or a juvenile who has been found to be a sexually violent predator under chapter 8 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other jurisdiction, 9 the court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's 10 11 child except contact that occurs outside the predator's presence.

12

(2) CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE BY PARENT.

(a) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense against any child in this or another jurisdiction poses a present danger to a child. Unless the parent rebuts this presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from all contact with the parent's child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter.

(b) The court shall not enter an order allowing a parent to have contact with the parent's child if the parent has been found by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency or family law action, including in the current case, to have sexually abused that child, except upon recommendation by an evaluator or therapist for the child that the child is ready for contact with the parent and will not be harmed by the contact.

26 (3) PARENT RESIDING WITH A PERSON FOUND TO HAVE SEXUALLY ABUSED A27 CHILD.

28 (a) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who knowingly 29 resides with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted of a sex offense against a child, or as a juvenile has been adjudicated of a 30 31 sex offense against a child at least eight years younger, in this or 32 another jurisdiction, places a child at risk of abuse or harm when that parent exercises residential time in the presence of the 33 convicted or adjudicated person. Unless the parent rebuts the 34 presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with 35 36 the parent's child except for contact that occurs outside of the 37 convicted or adjudicated person's presence.

38 (b) The court shall not enter an order allowing a parent to have 39 contact with the child in the offender's presence if the parent 40 resides with a person who has been found by a preponderance of the

p. 17

evidence in a dependency or family law action, including in the current case, to have sexually abused a child, unless the court finds that the parent accepts that the person engaged in the harmful conduct and the parent is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm from the person.

6

(4) REBUTTING THE PRESUMPTION OF NO CONTACT.

7 (a) OFFENDING PARENT. The presumption established in subsection
8 (2)(a) of this section may be rebutted only after a written finding
9 that:

(i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, and (B) the offending parent has provided documentation that they have successfully completed treatment for sex offenders or are engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court; or

17 (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child 18 and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the 19 child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of 20 sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the 21 22 child and the offending parent is in the child's best interest, and (C) the offending parent has provided documentation that they have 23 successfully completed treatment for sex offenders or are engaged in 24 25 and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court.

(b) PARENT RESIDES WITH OFFENDING PERSON. The presumption
established in subsection (3)(a) of this section may be rebutted only
after a written finding that:

(i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed 29 by the person who is residing with the parent requesting residential 30 31 time, (A) contact between the child and the parent residing with the 32 convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and that parent is able to protect the child in the presence of the convicted or 33 adjudicated person, and (B) the convicted or adjudicated person has 34 35 provided documentation that they have successfully completed 36 treatment for sex offenders or are engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court; or 37

(ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the parent in the presence of

p. 18

the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal 1 risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for 2 victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact 3 between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or 4 adjudicated person in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated 5 6 person is in the child's best interest, and (C) the convicted or 7 adjudicated person has provided documentation that they have successfully completed treatment for sex offenders or are engaged in 8 and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court. 9

10

(c) CONTACT IF PRESUMPTION REBUTTED.

(i) (A) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (a) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense against a child to have residential time with the child supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time.

(B) The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child;

(ii) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of 24 25 rebutting the presumption under (b) of this subsection, the court may 26 allow a parent residing with a person who has been convicted of a sex offense against a child or adjudicated of a juvenile sex offense with 27 28 a child at least eight years younger to have residential time with the child in the presence of that person, supervised by a neutral and 29 independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of 30 31 such residential time. The supervisor may be the parent if the court finds, based on the evidence, that the parent is willing and capable 32 33 of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor, including the parent, upon finding, based 34 on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child 35 36 or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child;

37 (iii) A court shall not order unsupervised contact between the 38 offending parent and a child of the offending parent who was sexually 39 abused by that parent;

1 (iv) A court may order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child who was not sexually abused by the parent after 2 the presumption under subsection (2)(a) of this section has been 3 rebutted pursuant to (a) of this subsection and supervised 4 residential time has occurred for at least two years with no further 5 6 arrests or convictions of sex offenses involving children and (A) the sex offense of the offending parent was not committed against a child 7 of the offending parent, and (B) the court finds that unsupervised 8 contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and 9 poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony 10 of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, or social 11 12 worker with expertise in treating child sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one period of residential time between the parent 13 and the child, and after consideration of evidence of the offending 14 parent's compliance with community supervision requirements, if any. 15 16 If the offending parent was not ordered by a court to participate in 17 treatment for sex offenders, then the parent shall obtain a 18 psychosexual evaluation conducted by a certified sex offender treatment provider or a certified affiliate sex offender treatment 19 provider indicating that the offender has the lowest likelihood of 20 21 risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between 22 the parent and a child.

(5) RESTRICTED DECISION MAKING AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION. 23 The 24 parenting plan shall not require mutual decision making or 25 designation of a dispute resolution process other than court action 26 if it is found that a parent has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense against any child in this or any other jurisdiction or has 27 28 been found to be a sexually violent predator under chapter 71.09 RCW 29 or under an analogous statute of any other jurisdiction.

--- END ---