7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6316

State of Washington 68th Legislature 2024 Regular Session

By Senate Transportation (originally sponsored by Senators Pedersen and King)

READ FIRST TIME 02/23/24.

- AN ACT Relating to the state route number 520 corridor; amending RCW 47.56.870; adding a new section to chapter 47.01 RCW; creating a
- 3 new section; and providing an effective date.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 **Sec. 1.** RCW 47.56.870 and 2010 c 248 s 2 are each amended to 6 read as follows:
 - (1) The initial imposition of tolls on the state route number 520 corridor is authorized, the state route number 520 corridor is designated an eligible toll facility, and toll revenue generated in the corridor must only be expended as allowed under RCW 47.56.820.
 - (2) The state route number 520 corridor consists of that portion of state route number 520 between the junctions of Interstate 5 and state route number 202. ((The toll imposed by this section shall be charged only for travel on the floating bridge portion of the state route number 520 corridor.))
- (3) (a) In setting the toll rates for the corridor pursuant to RCW 47.56.850, the tolling authority shall set a variable schedule of toll rates to maintain travel time, speed, and reliability on the corridor and generate the necessary revenue as required under (b) of this subsection.

p. 1 SSB 6316

(b) The tolling authority shall initially set the variable schedule of toll rates, which the tolling authority may adjust at least annually to reflect inflation as measured by the consumer price index or as necessary to meet the redemption of bonds and interest payments on the bonds, to generate revenue sufficient to provide for:

- (i) The issuance of general obligation bonds, authorized in RCW 47.10.879, first payable from toll revenue and then excise taxes on motor vehicle and special fuels pledged for the payment of those bonds in the amount necessary to fund the state route number 520 bridge replacement and HOV program, subject to subsection (4) of this section; and
- 12 (ii) Costs associated with the project designated in subsection 13 (4) of this section that are eligible under RCW 47.56.820.
 - (4)(a) The proceeds of the bonds designated in subsection (3)(b)(i) of this section must be used only to fund the state route number 520 bridge replacement and HOV program; however, ((two hundred million dollars)) \$200,000,000 of bond proceeds, in excess of the proceeds necessary to complete the floating bridge segment and necessary landings, must be used only to fund the state route number 520, Interstate 5 to Medina bridge replacement and HOV project segment of the program, as identified in applicable environmental impact statements, and may be used to fund effective connections for high occupancy vehicles and transit for state route number 520, but only to the extent those connections benefit or improve the operation of state route number 520.
 - (b) The program must include the following elements within the cost constraints identified in section 1, chapter 472, Laws of 2009, consistent with the legislature's intent that cost savings applicable to the program stay within the program and that the bridge open to vehicular traffic in 2014:
 - (i) A project design, consistent with RCW 47.01.408, that includes high occupancy vehicle lanes with a minimum carpool occupancy requirement of three-plus persons on state route number 520;
 - (ii) High occupancy vehicle lane performance standards for the state route number 520 corridor established by the department. The department shall report to the transportation committees of the legislature when average transit speeds in the two lanes that are for high occupancy vehicle travel fall below ((forty-five)) 45 miles per hour at least ten percent of the time during peak hours;

p. 2 SSB 6316

1 (iii) A work group convened by the mayor and city council of the city of Seattle to include sound transit, King county metro, the 2 Seattle department of transportation, the department, the University 3 of Washington, and other persons or organizations as designated by 4 the mayor or city council to study and make recommendations of 5 6 alternative connections for transit, including bus routes and high capacity transit, to the university link light rail line. The work 7 group must consider such techniques as grade separation, additional 8 stations, and pedestrian lids to effect these connections. 9 recommendations must be alternatives to the transit connections 10 identified in the supplemental draft environmental impact statement 11 12 for the state route number 520 bridge replacement and HOV program released in January 2010, and must meet the requirements under RCW 13 47.01.408, including accommodating effective connections for transit. 14 The recommendations must be within the scope of the supplemental 15 16 draft environmental impact statement. For the purposes of this 17 section, "effective connections for transit" means a connection that connects transit stops, including high capacity transit stops, that 18 19 serve the state route number 520/Montlake interchange vicinity to the university link light rail line, with a connection distance of less 20 21 than ((one thousand two hundred)) 1,200 feet between the stops and 22 the light rail station. The city of Seattle shall submit the 23 recommendations by October 1, 2010, to the governor and the transportation committees of the legislature. However, if the city of 24 25 Seattle does not convene the work group required under this subsection before July 1, 2010, or does not submit recommendations to 26 the governor and the transportation committees of the legislature by 27 October 1, 2010, the department must convene the work group required 28 29 under this subsection and meet all the requirements of subsection that are described as requirements of the city of Seattle 30 31 by November 30, 2010;

(iv) A work group convened by the department to include sound transit and King county metro to study and make recommendations regarding options for planning and financing high capacity transit through the state route number 520 corridor. The department shall submit the recommendations by January 1, 2011, to the governor and the transportation committees of the legislature;

32

33

34

3536

3738

39

40

(v) A plan to address mitigation as a result of the state route number 520 bridge replacement and HOV program at the Washington park arboretum. As part of its process, the department shall consult with

p. 3 SSB 6316

1 the governing board of the Washington park arboretum, the Seattle city council and mayor, and the University of Washington to identify 2 all mitigation required by state and federal law resulting from the 3 state route number 520 bridge replacement and HOV program's impact on 4 the arboretum, and to develop a project mitigation plan to address 5 6 these impacts. The department shall submit the mitigation plan by December 31, 2010, to the governor and the transportation committees 7 of the legislature. Wetland mitigation required by state and federal 8 law as a result of the state route number 520 bridge replacement and 9 HOV program's impacts on the arboretum must, to the greatest extent 10 11 practicable, include on-site wetland mitigation at the Washington 12 park arboretum, and must enhance the Washington park arboretum. This subsection (4)(b)(v) does not preclude any other mitigation planned 13 for the Washington park arboretum as a result of the state route 14 number 520 bridge replacement and HOV program; 15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

3839

40

(vi) A work group convened by the department to include the mayor of the city of Seattle, the Seattle city council, the Seattle department of transportation, and other persons or organizations as designated by the Seattle city council and mayor to study and make recommendations regarding design refinements to the preferred alternative selected by the department in the supplemental draft environmental impact statement process for the state route number 520 bridge replacement and HOV program. To accommodate a timely progression of the state route number 520 bridge replacement and HOV program, the design refinements recommended by the work group must be consistent with the current environmental documents prepared by the department for the supplemental draft environmental impact statement. The department shall submit the recommendations to the legislature and governor by December 31, 2010, and the recommendations must inform the final environmental impact statement prepared by the department; and

(vii) An account, created in section 5 of this act, into which civil penalties generated from the nonpayment of tolls on the state route number 520 corridor are deposited to be used to fund any project within the program, including mitigation. However, this subsection (4)(b)(vii) is contingent on the enactment by June 30, 2010, of either chapter 249, Laws of 2010 or chapter . . . (Substitute House Bill No. 2897), Laws of 2010, but if the enacted bill does not designate the department as the toll penalty adjudicating agency, this subsection (4)(b)(vii) is null and void.

p. 4 SSB 6316

(5) The department may carry out the improvements designated in subsection (4) of this section and administer the tolling program on the state route number 520 corridor.

- 4 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 2.** A new section is added to chapter 47.01 5 RCW to read as follows:
 - (1) (a) The department may apply for deferral of state and local sales and use taxes on the site preparation for, the construction of, the acquisition of any related machinery and equipment that will become a part of, and the rental of equipment for use in, the state route number 520 corridor improvements west end project.
 - (b) The application must be made to the department of revenue in a form and manner prescribed by the department of revenue. The application must contain information regarding estimated or actual costs, time schedules for completion and operation, and other information required by the department of revenue. The department of revenue shall approve the application within 60 days if it meets the requirements of this section.
 - (2) The department of revenue shall issue a sales and use tax deferral certificate for state and local sales and use taxes imposed or authorized under chapters 82.08, 82.12, and 82.14 RCW and RCW 81.104.170 on the project. No new deferral certificates may be issued once the project is operationally complete as described in subsection (3) of this section.
 - (3) If the department is granted a tax deferral under this section, the department must begin paying the deferred taxes in the 24th year after the date certified by the department of revenue as the date on which the project is operationally complete. The project, which completes corridor improvements between Interstate 5 and the west high rise, is operationally complete under this section when the department notifies the department of revenue in writing that all projects qualifying for a deferral under this section are operationally complete. The first payment is due on December 31st of the 24th calendar year after the certified date, with subsequent annual payments due on December 31st of the following nine years. Each payment shall equal 10 percent of the deferred tax.
 - (4) The department of revenue may authorize an accelerated repayment schedule upon request of the department granted a deferral under this section.

p. 5 SSB 6316

- (5) Interest shall not be charged on any taxes deferred under this section for the period of deferral, although all other penalties and interest applicable to delinquent excise taxes may be assessed and imposed for delinquent payments under this section. The debt for deferred taxes is not extinguished by insolvency or other failure of any private entity granted a deferral under this section.
- (6) Applications and any other information received by the department of revenue under this section are not confidential and are subject to disclosure. Chapter 82.32 RCW applies to the administration of this section.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. The provisions of RCW 82.32.805 and 82.32.808 do not apply to section 2 of this act.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. This act takes effect July 1, 2024.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

--- END ---

p. 6 SSB 6316