## CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

## ENGROSSED SENATE BILL 5623

68th Legislature 2023 Regular Session

Passed by the Senate February 22, 2023	CERTIFICATE
Yeas 33 Nays 16	I, Sarah Bannister, Secretary of the Senate of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is <b>ENGROSSED SENATE</b> - <b>BILL 5623</b> as passed by the Senate
President of the Senate	and the House of Representatives on the dates hereon set forth.
Passed by the House March 23, 2023 Yeas 89 Nays 9	
	Secretary
Speaker of the House of Representatives	
Approved	FILED
	Secretary of State
Governor of the State of Washington	State of Washington
Governor or the State or washington	

## ENGROSSED SENATE BILL 5623

Passed Legislature - 2023 Regular Session

State of Washington 68th Legislature 2023 Regular Session

By Senators Dhingra, Conway, Hasegawa, Kuderer, Liias, Lovelett, Nobles, Pedersen, Stanford, and C. Wilson

Read first time 01/30/23. Referred to Committee on Law & Justice.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to modifying an element of the offense of hate 2 crime and classifying a hate crime as crimes against persons; and
- 3 amending RCW 9A.36.080 and 9.94A.411.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 **Sec. 1.** RCW 9A.36.080 and 2019 c 271 s 2 are each amended to 6 read as follows:
- 7 (1) A person is guilty of a hate crime offense if he or she 8 maliciously and intentionally commits one of the following acts 9 because of his or her perception of the victim's race, color,
- 10 religion, ancestry, national origin, gender, sexual orientation,
- 11 gender expression or identity, or mental, physical, or sensory
- 12 disability:
- 13 (a) ((<del>Causes physical injury to</del>)) <u>Assaults</u> the victim or another 14 person;
- 15 (b) Causes physical damage to or destruction of the property of 16 the victim or another person; or
- 17 (c) Threatens a specific person or group of persons and places 18 that person, or members of the specific group of persons, in
- 19 reasonable fear of harm to person or property. The fear must be a
- 20 fear that a reasonable person would have under all the circumstances.
- 21 For purposes of this section, a "reasonable person" is a reasonable

p. 1 ESB 5623.PL

person who is a member of the victim's race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, gender, or sexual orientation, or who has the same gender expression or identity, or the same mental, physical, or sensory disability as the victim. Words alone do not constitute a hate crime offense unless the context or circumstances surrounding the words indicate the words are a threat. Threatening words do not constitute a hate crime offense if it is apparent to the victim that the person does not have the ability to carry out the threat. 

- (2) In any prosecution for a hate crime offense, unless evidence exists which explains to the trier of fact's satisfaction that the person did not intend to threaten the victim or victims, the trier of fact may infer that the person intended to threaten a specific victim or group of victims because of the person's perception of the victim's or victims' race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, gender, sexual orientation, gender expression or identity, or mental, physical, or sensory disability if the person commits one of the following acts:
- (a) Burns a cross on property of a victim who is or whom the actor perceives to be of African American heritage;
- (b) Defaces property of a victim who is or whom the actor perceives to be of Jewish heritage by defacing the property with a ((swastika)) Nazi emblem, symbol, or hakenkreuz;
- (c) Defaces religious real property with words, symbols, or items that are derogatory to persons of the faith associated with the property;
- (d) Places a vandalized or defaced religious item or scripture on the property of a victim who is or whom the actor perceives to be of the faith with which that item or scripture is associated;
- (e) Damages, destroys, or defaces religious garb or other faith-based attire belonging to the victim or attempts to or successfully removes religious garb or other faith-based attire from the victim's person without the victim's authorization; or
- (f) Places a noose on the property of a victim who is or whom the actor perceives to be of a racial or ethnic minority group.

This subsection only applies to the creation of a reasonable inference for evidentiary purposes. This subsection does not restrict the state's ability to prosecute a person under subsection (1) of this section when the facts of a particular case do not fall within (a) through (f) of this subsection.

p. 2 ESB 5623.PL

- 1 (3) It is not a defense that the accused was mistaken that the 2 victim was a member of a certain race, color, religion, ancestry, 3 national origin, gender, or sexual orientation, had a particular 4 gender expression or identity, or had a mental, physical, or sensory 5 disability.
  - (4) Evidence of expressions or associations of the accused may not be introduced as substantive evidence at trial unless the evidence specifically relates to the crime charged. Nothing in this chapter shall affect the rules of evidence governing impeachment of a witness.
  - (5) Every person who commits another crime during the commission of a crime under this section may be punished and prosecuted for the other crime separately.
    - (6) For the purposes of this section:

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

1617

18 19

20

25

26

29

- (a) "Gender expression or identity" means having or being perceived as having a gender identity, self-image, appearance, behavior, or expression, whether or not that gender identity, self-image, appearance, behavior, or expression is different from that traditionally associated with the sex assigned to that person at birth.
- 21 (b) "Sexual orientation" means heterosexuality, homosexuality, or 22 bisexuality.
- 23 (c) "Threat" means to communicate, directly or indirectly, the 24 intent to:
  - (i) Cause bodily injury immediately or in the future to the person threatened or to any other person; or
- (ii) Cause physical damage immediately or in the future to the property of a person threatened or that of any other person.
  - (7) Commission of a hate crime offense is a class C felony.
- 30 (8) The penalties provided in this section for hate crime 31 offenses do not preclude the victims from seeking any other remedies 32 otherwise available under law.
- 33 (9) Nothing in this section confers or expands any civil rights 34 or protections to any group or class identified under this section, 35 beyond those rights or protections that exist under the federal or 36 state Constitution or the civil laws of the state of Washington.
- 37 **Sec. 2.** RCW 9.94A.411 and 2021 c 215 s 98 are each amended to 38 read as follows:
- 39 (1) Decision not to prosecute.

STANDARD: A prosecuting attorney may decline to prosecute, even though technically sufficient evidence to prosecute exists, in situations where prosecution would serve no public purpose, would defeat the underlying purpose of the law in question or would result in decreased respect for the law.

GUIDELINE/COMMENTARY:

7 Examples

6

10 11

12

13

16

26

27

2829

8 The following are examples of reasons not to prosecute which 9 could satisfy the standard.

- (a) Contrary to Legislative Intent It may be proper to decline to charge where the application of criminal sanctions would be clearly contrary to the intent of the legislature in enacting the particular statute.
- 14 (b) Antiquated Statute It may be proper to decline to charge 15 where the statute in question is antiquated in that:
  - (i) It has not been enforced for many years; and
- 17 (ii) Most members of society act as if it were no longer in existence; and
- 19 (iii) It serves no deterrent or protective purpose in today's 20 society; and
- 21 (iv) The statute has not been recently reconsidered by the 22 legislature.
- This reason is not to be construed as the basis for declining cases because the law in question is unpopular or because it is difficult to enforce.
  - (c) De Minimis Violation It may be proper to decline to charge where the violation of law is only technical or insubstantial and where no public interest or deterrent purpose would be served by prosecution.
- 30 (d) Confinement on Other Charges It may be proper to decline to 31 charge because the accused has been sentenced on another charge to a 32 lengthy period of confinement; and
- 33 (i) Conviction of the new offense would not merit any additional direct or collateral punishment;
- 35 (ii) The new offense is either a misdemeanor or a felony which is 36 not particularly aggravated; and
- 37 (iii) Conviction of the new offense would not serve any 38 significant deterrent purpose.

p. 4 ESB 5623.PL

- 1 (e) Pending Conviction on Another Charge It may be proper to 2 decline to charge because the accused is facing a pending prosecution 3 in the same or another county; and
  - (i) Conviction of the new offense would not merit any additional direct or collateral punishment;
    - (ii) Conviction in the pending prosecution is imminent;

4

5

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

- 7 (iii) The new offense is either a misdemeanor or a felony which 8 is not particularly aggravated; and
- 9 (iv) Conviction of the new offense would not serve any 10 significant deterrent purpose.
  - (f) High Disproportionate Cost of Prosecution It may be proper to decline to charge where the cost of locating or transporting, or the burden on, prosecution witnesses is highly disproportionate to the importance of prosecuting the offense in question. This reason should be limited to minor cases and should not be relied upon in serious cases.
  - (g) Improper Motives of Complainant It may be proper to decline charges because the motives of the complainant are improper and prosecution would serve no public purpose, would defeat the underlying purpose of the law in question or would result in decreased respect for the law.
  - (h) Immunity It may be proper to decline to charge where immunity is to be given to an accused in order to prosecute another where the accused's information or testimony will reasonably lead to the conviction of others who are responsible for more serious criminal conduct or who represent a greater danger to the public interest.
  - (i) Victim Request It may be proper to decline to charge because the victim requests that no criminal charges be filed and the case involves the following crimes or situations:
- 31 (i) Assault cases where the victim has suffered little or no 32 injury;
- 33 (ii) Crimes against property, not involving violence, where no 34 major loss was suffered;
- 35 (iii) Where doing so would not jeopardize the safety of society.
- 36 Care should be taken to insure that the victim's request is 37 freely made and is not the product of threats or pressure by the 38 accused.
- The presence of these factors may also justify the decision to dismiss a prosecution which has been commenced.

- 1 Notification
- The prosecutor is encouraged to notify the victim, when practical, and the law enforcement personnel, of the decision not to prosecute.
- 5 (2) Decision to prosecute.
- 6 (a) STANDARD:

7 Crimes against persons will be filed if sufficient admissible evidence exists, which, when considered with the most plausible, 8 reasonably foreseeable defense that could be raised under the 9 evidence, would justify conviction by a reasonable and objective fact 10 finder. With regard to offenses prohibited by RCW 9A.44.040, 11 12 9A.44.050, 9A.44.073, 9A.44.076, 9A.44.079, 9A.44.083, 9A.44.086, 9A.44.089, and 9A.64.020 the prosecutor should avoid prefiling 13 14 agreements or diversions intended to place the accused in a program 15 of treatment or counseling, so that treatment, if determined to be 16 beneficial, can be provided pursuant to RCW 9.94A.670.

17 Crimes against property/other crimes will be filed if the 18 admissible evidence is of such convincing force as to make it 19 probable that a reasonable and objective fact finder would convict 20 after hearing all the admissible evidence and the most plausible 21 defense that could be raised.

22 See table below for the crimes within these categories.

CATEGORIZATION OF CRIMES FOR PROSECUTING STANDARDS

## 24 CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS

23

- 25 Aggravated Murder (RCW 10.95.020)
- 1st Degree Murder (RCW 9A.32.030)
- 27 2nd Degree Murder (RCW 9A.32.050)
- 1st Degree Manslaughter (RCW 9A.32.060)
- 29 2nd Degree Manslaughter (RCW 9A.32.070)
- 30 1st Degree Kidnapping (RCW 9A.40.020)
- 2nd Degree Kidnapping (RCW 9A.40.030)
- 32 1st Degree Assault (RCW 9A.36.011)
- 2nd Degree Assault (RCW 9A.36.021)
- 34 3rd Degree Assault (RCW 9A.36.031)
- 35 4th Degree Assault (if a violation of RCW 9A.36.041(3))

p. 6

- 36 1st Degree Assault of a Child (RCW 9A.36.120)
- 2nd Degree Assault of a Child (RCW 9A.36.130)
- 38 3rd Degree Assault of a Child (RCW 9A.36.140)
- 39 1st Degree Rape (RCW 9A.44.040)

```
1
        2nd Degree Rape (RCW 9A.44.050)
2
         3rd Degree Rape (RCW 9A.44.060)
3
        1st Degree Rape of a Child (RCW 9A.44.073)
        2nd Degree Rape of a Child (RCW 9A.44.076)
4
         3rd Degree Rape of a Child (RCW 9A.44.079)
5
6
         1st Degree Robbery (RCW 9A.56.200)
7
        2nd Degree Robbery (RCW 9A.56.210)
        1st Degree Arson (RCW 9A.48.020)
8
9
        1st Degree Burglary (RCW 9A.52.020)
        1st Degree Identity Theft (RCW 9.35.020(2))
10
11
         2nd Degree Identity Theft (RCW 9.35.020(3))
12
         1st Degree Extortion (RCW 9A.56.120)
        2nd Degree Extortion (RCW 9A.56.130)
13
14
        1st Degree Criminal Mistreatment (RCW 9A.42.020)
15
        2nd Degree Criminal Mistreatment (RCW 9A.42.030)
16
         1st Degree Theft from a Vulnerable Adult (RCW 9A.56.400(1))
17
        2nd Degree Theft from a Vulnerable Adult (RCW 9A.56.400(2))
18
        Hate Crime (RCW 9A.36.080)
        Indecent Liberties (RCW 9A.44.100)
19
20
        Incest (RCW 9A.64.020)
21
        Vehicular Homicide (RCW 46.61.520)
22
        Vehicular Assault (RCW 46.61.522)
23
        1st Degree Child Molestation (RCW 9A.44.083)
        2nd Degree Child Molestation (RCW 9A.44.086)
24
25
         3rd Degree Child Molestation (RCW 9A.44.089)
26
         1st Degree Promoting Prostitution (RCW 9A.88.070)
27
        Intimidating a Juror (RCW 9A.72.130)
        Communication with a Minor (RCW 9.68A.090)
28
29
        Intimidating a Witness (RCW 9A.72.110)
        Intimidating a Public Servant (RCW 9A.76.180)
30
31
        Bomb Threat (if against person) (RCW 9.61.160)
32
        Unlawful Imprisonment (RCW 9A.40.040)
        Promoting a Suicide Attempt (RCW 9A.36.060)
33
34
        Criminal Mischief (if against person) (RCW 9A.84.010)
35
        Stalking (RCW 9A.46.110)
36
        Custodial Assault (RCW 9A.36.100)
        Domestic Violence Court Order Violation
37
                                                          (RCW 7.105.450,
    10.99.040, 10.99.050, 26.09.300, 26.10.220, 26.26B.050, or 26.52.070,
38
39
    or any of the former RCW 26.50.110 and 74.34.145)
40
        Counterfeiting (if a violation of RCW 9.16.035(4))
```

p. 7 ESB 5623.PL

```
1
        Felony Driving a Motor Vehicle While Under the Influence of
    Intoxicating Liquor or Any Drug (RCW 46.61.502(6))
2
3
         Felony Physical Control of a Motor Vehicle While Under the
    Influence of Intoxicating Liquor or Any Drug (RCW 46.61.504(6))
4
        CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY/OTHER CRIMES
5
6
         2nd Degree Arson (RCW 9A.48.030)
7
         1st Degree Escape (RCW 9A.76.110)
         2nd Degree Escape (RCW 9A.76.120)
8
9
        2nd Degree Burglary (RCW 9A.52.030)
10
         1st Degree Theft (RCW 9A.56.030)
11
        2nd Degree Theft (RCW 9A.56.040)
12
        1st Degree Perjury (RCW 9A.72.020)
13
        2nd Degree Perjury (RCW 9A.72.030)
14
        1st Degree Introducing Contraband (RCW 9A.76.140)
15
         2nd Degree Introducing Contraband (RCW 9A.76.150)
16
        1st Degree Possession of Stolen Property (RCW 9A.56.150)
17
        2nd Degree Possession of Stolen Property (RCW 9A.56.160)
18
        Bribery (RCW 9A.68.010)
19
        Bribing a Witness (RCW 9A.72.090)
        Bribe received by a Witness (RCW 9A.72.100)
20
21
        Bomb Threat (if against property) (RCW 9.61.160)
22
        1st Degree Malicious Mischief (RCW 9A.48.070)
23
        2nd Degree Malicious Mischief (RCW 9A.48.080)
24
         1st Degree Reckless Burning (RCW 9A.48.040)
25
        Taking a Motor Vehicle without Authorization (RCW 9A.56.070 and
26
    9A.56.075)
27
        Forgery (RCW 9A.60.020)
28
         2nd Degree Promoting Prostitution (RCW 9A.88.080)
29
        Tampering with a Witness (RCW 9A.72.120)
30
         Trading in Public Office (RCW 9A.68.040)
31
         Trading in Special Influence (RCW 9A.68.050)
32
        Receiving/Granting Unlawful Compensation (RCW 9A.68.030)
33
        Bigamy (RCW 9A.64.010)
34
        Eluding a Pursuing Police Vehicle (RCW 46.61.024)
35
        Willful Failure to Return from Furlough
36
        Escape from Community Custody
        Criminal Mischief (if against property) (RCW 9A.84.010)
37
38
        1st Degree Theft of Livestock (RCW 9A.56.080)
39
        2nd Degree Theft of Livestock (RCW 9A.56.083)
```

p. 8 ESB 5623.PL

- 1 ALL OTHER UNCLASSIFIED FELONIES
- 2 Selection of Charges/Degree of Charge
- 3 (i) The prosecutor should file charges which adequately describe 4 the nature of defendant's conduct. Other offenses may be charged only 5 if they are necessary to ensure that the charges:
- 6 (A) Will significantly enhance the strength of the state's case 7 at trial; or
  - (B) Will result in restitution to all victims.
- 9 (ii) The prosecutor should not overcharge to obtain a guilty 10 plea. Overcharging includes:
  - (A) Charging a higher degree;
  - (B) Charging additional counts.

This standard is intended to direct prosecutors to charge those crimes which demonstrate the nature and seriousness of a defendant's criminal conduct, but to decline to charge crimes which are not necessary to such an indication. Crimes which do not merge as a matter of law, but which arise from the same course of conduct, do not all have to be charged.

- (b) GUIDELINES/COMMENTARY:
- (i) Police Investigation

8

1112

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

29

A prosecuting attorney is dependent upon law enforcement agencies to conduct the necessary factual investigation which must precede the decision to prosecute. The prosecuting attorney shall ensure that a thorough factual investigation has been conducted before a decision to prosecute is made. In ordinary circumstances the investigation should include the following:

- 27 (A) The interviewing of all material witnesses, together with the obtaining of written statements whenever possible;
  - (B) The completion of necessary laboratory tests; and
- 30 (C) The obtaining, in accordance with constitutional requirements, of the suspect's version of the events.
- If the initial investigation is incomplete, a prosecuting attorney should insist upon further investigation before a decision to prosecute is made, and specify what the investigation needs to include.
- 36 (ii) Exceptions
- In certain situations, a prosecuting attorney may authorize filing of a criminal complaint before the investigation is complete if:

- 1 (A) Probable cause exists to believe the suspect is guilty; and
- 2 (B) The suspect presents a danger to the community or is likely 3 to flee if not apprehended; or
  - (C) The arrest of the suspect is necessary to complete the investigation of the crime.

In the event that the exception to the standard is applied, the prosecuting attorney shall obtain a commitment from the law enforcement agency involved to complete the investigation in a timely manner. If the subsequent investigation does not produce sufficient evidence to meet the normal charging standard, the complaint should be dismissed.

(iii) Investigation Techniques

The prosecutor should be fully advised of the investigatory techniques that were used in the case investigation including:

- (A) Polygraph testing;
- 16 (B) Hypnosis;

4

5

7

8

10 11

12

15

17

23

2425

26

2728

29

- (C) Electronic surveillance;
- 18 (D) Use of informants.
- 19 (iv) Prefiling Discussions with Defendant

Discussions with the defendant or his/her representative regarding the selection or disposition of charges may occur prior to the filing of charges, and potential agreements can be reached.

(v) Prefiling Discussions with Victim(s)

Discussions with the victim(s) or victims' representatives regarding the selection or disposition of charges may occur before the filing of charges. The discussions may be considered by the prosecutor in charging and disposition decisions, and should be considered before reaching any agreement with the defendant regarding these decisions.

--- END ---