

SENATE BILL REPORT

ESHB 1622

As of April 2, 2025

Title: An act relating to allowing bargaining over matters related to the use of artificial intelligence.

Brief Description: Allowing bargaining over matters related to the use of artificial intelligence.

Sponsors: House Committee on Appropriations (originally sponsored by Representatives Parshley, Doglio, Fosse, Ramel, Ortiz-Self, Bergquist, Couture, Timmons, Obras, Reed, Bronoske, Bernbaum, Low, Nance, Schmidt, Simmons, Cortes, Stonier, Farivar, Scott, Peterson, Macri, Paul, Mena, Tharinger, Stearns, Berry, Donaghy, Gregerson, Taylor, Goodman, Hill, Kloba, Fitzgibbon, Salahuddin, Caldier, Thai, Fey, Davis, Shavers, Santos, Hunt, Griffey, Richards, Duerr, Zahn and Thomas).

Brief History: Passed House: 3/8/25, 58-38.

Committee Activity: Labor & Commerce: 3/28/25, 3/31/25, 4/01/25 [DPA-WM, DNP].
Ways & Means: 4/05/25.

Brief Summary of Amended Bill

- Requires certain public employers to bargain over the decision to adopt, or modify current uses of, artificial intelligence technology if the adoption or modification affects employee wages or performance evaluations.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON LABOR & COMMERCE

Majority Report: Do pass as amended and be referred to Committee on Ways & Means.
Signed by Senators Saldaña, Chair; Conway, Vice Chair; Alvarado, Ramos and Stanford.

Minority Report: Do not pass.
Signed by Senators King, Ranking Member; Braun, MacEwen and Schoesler.

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

Staff: Jarrett Sacks (786-7448)

SENATE COMMITTEE ON WAYS & MEANS

Staff: Amanda Cecil (786-7460)

Background: The Personnel System Reform Act (PSRA) provides for collective bargaining for classified employees of state agencies and institutions of higher education. Matters subject to bargaining under the PSRA include wages, hours, other terms of conditions of employment, and the negotiation of any question arising under a collective bargaining agreement. The PSRA prohibits bargaining over management rights, which, among other things, includes the functions and programs of the employer, use of technology, and the structure of the organization.

Employees of cities, counties, and other political subdivisions of the state, bargain their wages and working conditions under the Public Employee's Collective Bargaining Act (PECBA). Certain higher education and state employees also bargain under PECBA. For certain higher education employees under PECBA, the use of technology is considered a management right and is a prohibited subject of bargaining. For the rest of the employees and employers covered under PECBA, the use of technology is a permissive subject of bargaining, where the parties are neither required nor prohibited from bargaining the subject matter. Bargaining is required if the use of technology affects a mandatory subject of bargaining, such as wages, hours, or working conditions.

Summary of Amended Bill: Public employers covered by PECBA and the PSRA are required to bargain over the decision to adopt, or modify current uses of, artificial intelligence (AI) technology if the adoption or modification affects employees' wages or performance evaluations.

The requirement to bargain does not apply to the implementation or modification of AI technology if it is part of an update made by a third party to technology already in use and does not meaningfully impact employee's wages or performance evaluations. A third party is an individual or entity that provides services such as technology services, digital services, equipment, or software, but does not have an employment or a co-employment relationship with the employer.

AI means the use of machine learning and related technologies that use data to train statistical models for the purpose of enabling computer systems to perform tasks normally associated with human intelligence or perception, such as computer vision, speech or natural language processing, translation, decision making, and content generation.

Machine learning is the process by which AI is developed using data and algorithms to draw inferences to automatically adapt or improve its accuracy without explicit programming.

EFFECT OF LABOR & COMMERCE COMMITTEE AMENDMENT(S):

- Provides that the requirement to bargain over AI technology does not apply to certain third-party updates, rather than providing that the employer is not required to bargain over the third-party updates.
- Explicitly applies the bill to public utility districts.
- Makes a technical change to subsection enumeration.

Appropriation: The bill contains a null and void clause requiring specific funding be provided in an omnibus appropriation act.

Fiscal Note: Available.

Creates Committee/Commission/Task Force that includes Legislative members: No.

Effective Date: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Staff Summary of Public Testimony on Engrossed Substitute House Bill (March 28, 2025) (Labor & Commerce): *The committee recommended a different version of the bill than what was heard.* PRO: The bill allows for bargaining if AI impacts wages or performance evaluations and there is no impact if there is an update to current technology. Technology has changed a lot since the prohibition on bargaining over it was adopted. There are no guidelines for implementation of AI. Workers should have the right to bargain over AI if it replaces their job. The private sector is already using AI for performance evaluations.

Persons Testifying (March 28, 2025) (Labor & Commerce): PRO: Representative Lisa Parshley, Prime Sponsor; Cherika Carter, WA State Labor Council AFLCIO; Kati Durkin, Washington Federation of State Employees; Christine Reid, IBEW 77.

Persons Signed In To Testify But Not Testifying (March 28, 2025) (Labor & Commerce): N/A

Staff Summary of Public Testimony on Engrossed Substitute House Bill (March 31, 2025) (Labor & Commerce): *The committee recommended a different version of the bill than what was heard.* PRO: The bill is good for both business and consumers. Even though it is a management right, slowing down the implementation of AI is the right thing to do. It will improve morale and lead to more employee buy-in. The bill will lead to better informed decision making. Employees should have the ability to bargain over technology that will replace their job. We need to ensure it is a tool to improve, not replace, jobs. AI may produce unreliable results if workers are not consulted.

CON: The bill goes too far and impedes management rights. For interest arbitration employees, it will put the decision to adopt AI into the hands of an arbitrator. Employers

must already bargain the impact of these decisions. The bill does more than just change the timing and it is broader than just bargaining the impacts. The definition of AI is overly broad. This will delay and inhibit cost saving decisions. AI is a tool that can improve workplaces and help with efficiencies. The bill upsets the balance of labor and management rights.

OTHER: This is a fundamental shift in management rights. As technology advances, management should have the ability to decide how and when to use certain tools. The parameters of the bill are not clear and it would inhibit the procurement of large IT projects. There is already an AI accountability framework in state government. It is unclear whether the bill applies to AI performing evaluations, AI collected data being used in evaluations, or both. Employers would have to delay program updates to ensure compliance with the bill.

Persons Testifying (March 31, 2025) (Labor & Commerce): PRO: Jonathan Young, City of SeaTac; Davis Powell, SAG AFTRA; Joey Hicklin, WPEA.

CON: Candice Bock, Association of Washington Cities; Paul Jewell, Washington State Association of Counties; Rob Putaansuu, City of Port Orchard, Mayor; LaDon Linde, Yakima County Commissioner; Morgan Irwin, Association of Washington Business; Eric ffitch, Washington Public Ports Association; Bill Clarke, WA PUD Association.

OTHER: Sheri Sawyer, Office of Financial Management; Angela Kleis, Washington Technology Services (WaTech).

Persons Signed In To Testify But Not Testifying (March 31, 2025) (Labor & Commerce): No one.