

SENATE BILL REPORT

E2SHB 1903

As Passed Senate - Amended, March 6, 2026

Title: An act relating to establishing a statewide low-income energy assistance program.

Brief Description: Establishing a statewide low-income energy assistance program.

Sponsors: House Committee on Appropriations (originally sponsored by Representatives Mena, Berry, Doglio, Parshley, Simmons, Santos, Taylor, Scott, Ramel, Farivar, Hill, Pollet and Duerr).

Brief History: Passed House: 2/14/26, 60-33.

Committee Activity: Environment, Energy & Technology: 2/18/26, 2/24/26 [DPA-WM, DNP, w/oRec].

Ways & Means: 2/27/26, 3/02/26 [DPA (ENET), DNP, w/oRec].

Floor Activity: Passed Senate - Amended: 3/6/26, 35-13.

Brief Summary of Bill (As Amended by Senate)

- Establishes a Statewide Low-Income Energy Assistance Program (Statewide Program) within the Department of Commerce to be phased in by providing funds to participating utilities to reduce the monthly energy bills of low-income customers, subject to appropriation.
- Prohibits an electric utility from reducing the level of low-income energy assistance, discounts, or affordability benefits it provided under the Clean Energy Transformation Act as a result of participating in the Statewide Program.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT, ENERGY & TECHNOLOGY

Majority Report: Do pass as amended and be referred to Committee on Ways & Means.
Signed by Senators Shewmake, Chair; Hunt, Vice Chair; Slatter, Vice Chair; Dhingra,

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

Lias, Lovelett and Wellman.

Minority Report: Do not pass.
Signed by Senator Harris.

Minority Report: That it be referred without recommendation.
Signed by Senator Short.

Staff: Kimberly Cushing (786-7421)

SENATE COMMITTEE ON WAYS & MEANS

Majority Report: Do pass as amended by Committee on Environment, Energy & Technology.

Signed by Senators Robinson, Chair; Stanford, Vice Chair, Operating; Trudeau, Vice Chair, Capital; Frame, Vice Chair, Finance; Cleveland, Conway, Dhingra, Hansen, Hasegawa, Kauffman, Pedersen, Riccelli, Saldaña, Wellman and Wilson, C..

Minority Report: Do not pass.

Signed by Senators Gildon, Ranking Member, Operating; Torres, Assistant Ranking Member, Operating; Schoesler, Ranking Member, Capital; Dozier, Assistant Ranking Member, Capital; Boehnke, Braun, Wagoner and Warnick.

Minority Report: That it be referred without recommendation.
Signed by Senator Muzzall.

Staff: Jed Herman (786-7346)

Background: Low-Income Energy Assistance Under Clean Energy Transformation Act. Under the Clean Energy Transformation Act (CETA), electric utilities must mitigate energy burden and consider the adequacy of energy assistance programs for low-income households. Energy assistance is a program undertaken by a utility to reduce the household energy burden of its customers. Energy burden means the share of annual household income used to pay annual home energy bills.

The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is required to submit a biennial report to the Legislature that includes:

- a statewide overview of energy assistance programs, energy costs, and the need for energy assistance;
- a breakdown of current spending on energy assistance for low-income households;
- an evaluation of the best ways to provide energy assistance, including customer rates, discounts for low-income households, system benefits charges, and public and private funding; and
- a review of methods to prioritize energy assistance on low-income households with higher energy costs.

Statewide Monthly Low-Income Energy Assistance Program Design Report. The Legislature, in a 2023 budget proviso, directed Commerce to recommend a design for a statewide energy assistance program to address the energy burden and provide access to energy assistance for low-income households. Commerce published the report in November 2024.

Commerce's recommended program design elements include:

- a streamlined way to determine income eligibility;
- a monthly income-tiered bill discount and a graduated benefit level that decreases as income increases;
- multiple enrollment options, including auto-enrollment;
- ongoing program evaluations to track access, delivery, and program integrity; and
- a statewide advisory group.

Summary of Amended Bill: Statewide Low-Income Energy Assistance Program. The Statewide Low-Income Energy Assistance Program (Statewide Program) is established within Commerce. The Statewide Program purpose is to reduce energy burden for low-income households in Washington. The Statewide Program is supplemental to low-income energy assistance provided by electric utilities under CETA and low-income discount rates or grants required for investor-owned utilities. Commerce must begin providing energy assistance through the Statewide Program no later than 14 months after funding is appropriated. Commerce, in consultation with the Utilities and Transportation Commission (UTC), must write rules to implement the Statewide Program. Regulatory jurisdiction over consumer-owned utilities is not altered.

Commerce must establish details for low-income customers to enroll in the Statewide Program via rule making. Rule making must consider:

- low-income households eligibility;
- application processes for in-person, online, and over-the-phone enrollment;
- partnerships with utilities that volunteer as co-administrators, community action councils, and other community providers of low-income social services;
- allowance for self-attestation;
- Commerce may verify that applicants and participants meet the income qualifications and make work with utilities and community action councils to conduct this verification;
- no risk to eligibility based on immigration status; and
- auto-enrollment of known eligible households.

Commerce may enter into agreements with participating utilities to serve as Statewide Program co-administrators to enhance customer engagement, facilitate enrollment of eligible customers, and share administrative duties. A coadministrator is a participating utility that has voluntarily entered into an agreement with Commerce to perform administration associated with implementing the Statewide Program.

Commerce, in consultation with the Advisory Group, must phase in the Statewide Program across participating utilities, prioritizing low-income households in energy assistance need. Commerce must provide tiered discounts or dollar amounts to provide the most energy assistance to the households with the greatest need. Energy assistance need is the amount of assistance necessary to achieve an energy burden equal to 6 percent for utility customers.

To administer the Statewide Program, Commerce must provide funds to participating utilities. Commerce must consult with the UTC as it applies to investor-owned utilities. The participating utilities must pass on the funds to their low-income residential customers and show the energy assistance on the customer's monthly bill. Following standard contractual procedures, a participating utility may seek reimbursement from Commerce equal to the amount provided.

Commerce and the each participating utility's ' obligation to provide energy assistance is based on available funding appropriated for the Statewide Program. Under the Statewide Program, participating utilities may not be required to provide assistance to low-income customers beyond the funding appropriated. The Statewide Program may not be funded through a utility surcharge or collection of any utility funding. The Legislature intends to provide sustained funding to meet low-income household needs from Climate Commitment Act auction revenues or other dollars. A participating utility may not reduce the level of low-income energy assistance it provided as a result of participating in the Statewide Program.

Statewide Low-Income Energy Assistance Program Advisory Group. Commerce, in consultation with the UTC, must establish a Statewide Program advisory group composed of a diverse group of stakeholders that includes members from low-income households, and at least one member each from: a community organization, community action council, investor-owned utility, municipal utility, public utility district, electric cooperative, and natural gas utility. The advisory group must help inform program development, design and implementation, and advise on the program evaluation.

Low-Income Energy Assistance Under Clean Energy Transformation Act. The Legislature intends to demonstrate progress toward addressing the disproportionate impacts of home energy bills on low-income households and reduce energy assistance need. An electric utility must ensure that each low-income household has access to an energy assistance program. An electric utility must ensure that the cumulative annual level of low-income energy assistance that it provides to its customers is not reduced as a result of participation in the Statewide Program. The cumulative assistance may not include a responsibility for nonutility sources of funds.

Commerce's biennial report to the Legislature must include the amount of money used to mitigate rate impacts to low-income customers and a description of any other benefits provided to ratepayers from the sale of allowances as required under Washington's Cap and

Invest Program.

Biennial electric utility reporting requirements are modified to include a description, rather than an assessment, of the programs and mechanisms used by the utility to reduce energy burden, outreach strategies, and a cumulative assessment of program participation and are limited to electric utilities with more than 25,000 retail customers. Reporting requirements are further modified to:

- remove the requirement to report on the effectiveness of utility programs to reduce energy burden over the short-term and sustained period; and
- include a cumulative assessment of program participation rates and funding levels compared to what is necessary to meet energy assistance need, rather than requiring certain percentage of needs to be met.

Miscellaneous. The act expires June 30, 2035. The act is not severable and if any provision of the act is invalid, the entire act is null and void.

Appropriation: The bill contains a section or sections to limit implementation to the availability of amounts appropriated for that specific purpose.

Fiscal Note: Available.

Creates Committee/Commission/Task Force that includes Legislative members: No.

Effective Date: Ninety days after adjournment of session in which bill is passed.

Staff Summary of Public Testimony on Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill (Environment, Energy & Technology): *The committee recommended a different version of the bill than what was heard.* PRO: This is an affordability bill. This is about the state coming alongside utilities who are already doing a great job and providing long-term utility assistance to fill a need. We are falling short about \$270 million for folks that are energy burdened and in most cases also low income. The state is going to phase in the program as we find sustainable funding sources. The statewide program is designed to build on existing utility affordability commitments, not replace them. Utilities remain active partners while new assistance is layered in where need is greatest. This approach protects long-term affordability while allowing the state to scale up support strategically. For many communities, energy affordability is not theoretical. Need is already outpacing assistance and delaying action will only widen that gap. With rising costs of utilities, low-income individuals and families are disproportionately impacted by utility bills.

We want to ensure this funding is allocated equitably into the hands of people who really need it. The provision that allows some utilities to receive grants while others would receive reimbursements could inadvertently set up a system where more money is spent than is available. We do not want the Legislature to get into the habit of pushing one-time energy assistance, we want this to have sustained investment for those households that are most in

need. The present situation is an administrative and financial nightmare, especially for the small nonprofit public utilities who must individually add staff to set up programs, manage signups, verification and funding of dollars. The bill makes it easier and less socially stigmatizing for low-income families to access assistance need.

CON: We support the comprehensive solutions to provide energy assistance. However, nothing in the proposal addresses the reasons why so many are energy burdened in our state, and nothing addresses increasing cost of energy bills. We should work to address affordability, not just to find more money to fund those who are energy burdened.

OTHER: Utilities are concerned that if the program gets set up, and the state eliminates funding they will be asked to backfill by raising rates. Many low-income assistance requirements in CETA are burdensome, and technical changes would make the program work more effectively. It's not necessarily clear to us how this program mixes with our existing work approved by the UTC. Poverty is poverty and regardless of where you live in the state and should have equal access to the funds that are described in this program. We really need to see a long term funding source identified for the program to be sustainable. Areas of highest energy burden are undefined. We understand that there's limited state funding and that state funding is not always consistent, so having a proper proportionate formula that is agreed upon by the sector is very important, This new program can integrate with other programs efficiently in a streamlined fashion to deliver help in a variety of ways, particularly developing this program based on previous analysis from the statewide monthly low income energy assistance program report. Rural electric cooperatives are very, very small. We do not have IT, we don't have marketing, and we don't have regulatory people to do reports.

Persons Testifying (Environment, Energy & Technology): PRO: Representative Sharlett Mena, Prime Sponsor; John Rothlin, Avista Corp; Guillermo Rogel, Front and Centered; Jeff DeLuca, Washington State Community Action Partnership; Kirsten York, Multi-Service Center; Linda Garcia, Washington State Community Action Partnership; Dan Fagerlie, President, Ferry PUD #1 Board of Commissioners.

CON: Brandon Houskeeper, Alliance of Western Energy Consumers.

OTHER: Nicolas Garcia, WPUDA; Maggie Douglas, Puget Sound Energy; Dave Pringle, Dept. of Commerce; Adán Espino, Franklin PUD; Sheri D Nelson, WA Rural Electric Cooperative Assn.

Persons Signed In To Testify But Not Testifying (Environment, Energy & Technology): No one.

Staff Summary of Public Testimony on Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill as Amended by Environment, Energy & Technology (Ways & Means): PRO: Rising energy costs are straining households. Families are having to decide between paying the power bill or buying groceries. When energy bills spike, all else becomes unstable. The bill

gives the state a framework to help energy burdened households by increasing access where it's needed most by creating a predictable way to reduce energy burden, not just one-time relief. This can be funded out of the CCA and provides a down payment on a systemic approach that will make a real impact. One of our concerns has been that the Legislature might get into the habit of one-time assistance to low-income customers. This bill provides a more sustained and structured investment in assistance. This bill also now includes natural gas utilities, which was previously omitted. This program would be in addition to the current utility programs; it would not supplant existing programs. It provides another useful tool for alleviating energy burdens for homes that are in need. We are working on some amendments to the bill but are encouraged by the recent progress.

CON: This is the wrong approach. One of the largest utility companies has had to increase rates because of power costs and policies adopted by this Legislature and they anticipate additional rate increases next year. The state has seen an increase in the number of citizens that are energy burdened and nothing in this proposal addresses why. We need to have a conversation about why energy prices are going up and why they are unaffordable. We encourage rejecting this bill and working on affordability.

Persons Testifying (Ways & Means): PRO: Linda Garcia, Washington State Community Action Partnership; Jeff DeLuca, Washington State Community Action Partnership; Guillermo Rogel, Front and Centered; Charlie Brown, Cascade Natural Gas and NW Natural; John Rothlin, Avista; Adan Espino Jr, Franklin Public Utility District; Maggie Douglas, Puget Sound Energy; Scott Richards, WA Public Utility Districts Association (WPUDA).

CON: Brandon Houskeeper, Alliance of Western Energy Consumers.

Persons Signed In To Testify But Not Testifying (Ways & Means): No one.