SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1750

State of Washington 69th Legislature 2025 Regular Session

By House State Government & Tribal Relations (originally sponsored by Representatives Hill, Gregerson, Simmons, Street, Fosse, Obras, Reed, Ormsby, Farivar, Mena, Parshley, Nance, Scott, Pollet, Macri, Ryu, and Ramel)

READ FIRST TIME 02/13/25.

AN ACT Relating to creating guidelines for voter suppression and 1 2 vote dilution claims under the Washington voting rights act; amending 3 29A.92.005, 29A.92.010, 29A.92.030, 29A.92.040, 29A.92.050, RCW 29A.92.070, 29A.92.080, 29A.92.100, 4 29A.92.060, 29A.92.090, 29A.92.110, 29A.92.115, 29A.92.120, 29A.92.130, and 5 29A.92.700; adding a new section to chapter 29A.92 RCW; and repealing RCW 6 7 29A.92.020.

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

9 Sec. 1. RCW 29A.92.005 and 2019 c 64 s 6 are each amended to 10 read as follows:

11 The legislature finds that electoral systems that deny race, 12 color, or language minority groups an equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice or impair their ability to otherwise 13 participate in any state of the political process are inconsistent 14 with the right to free and equal elections as provided by Article I, 15 16 section 19 and Article VI, section 1 of the Washington state 17 Constitution as well as protections found in the Fourteenth and Fifteenth amendments to the United States Constitution. The well-18 established principle of "one person, one vote" and the prohibition 19 20 on vote dilution have been consistently upheld in federal and state 21 courts for more than fifty years.

1 The legislature finds that there is a history in Washington, as in the United States overall, of discrimination based on race, color, 2 3 and language minority status, including in access to the political process. As a result of this history and persistent discrimination 4 and socioeconomic inequities that bear on the right to vote, members 5 6 of race, color, and language minority groups continue to face disparate burdens in exercising the franchise and participating 7 effectively in the political process. 8

The legislature also finds that local government subdivisions are 9 often prohibited from addressing these challenges because 10 of 11 Washington laws that narrowly prescribe the methods by which they may 12 elect members of their legislative bodies. The legislature finds that in some cases, this has resulted in an improper dilution of voting 13 14 power for these minority groups. The legislature intends to modify existing prohibitions in state laws so that these jurisdictions may 15 16 voluntarily adopt changes on their own, in collaboration with 17 affected community members, to remedy potential electoral issues so 18 that minority groups have an equal opportunity to elect candidates of 19 their choice or influence the outcome of an election.

In light of these findings, the legislature intends for this chapter to encourage participation in the franchise by all eligible voters and to provide voters in this state with a means to challenge all forms of racial discrimination in voting, including vote dilution and suppression.

The legislature intends for this chapter to be consistent with federal protections that may provide a similar remedy for minority groups. Remedies shall also be available where the drawing of crossover and coalition districts is able to address both vote dilution and racial polarization.

The legislature also intends for this chapter to be consistent with legal precedent from *Mt. Spokane Skiing Corp. v. Spokane Co.* (86 Wn. App. 165, 1997) that found that noncharter counties need not adhere to a single uniform county system of government, but that each county have the same "authority available" in order to be deemed uniform.

36 Sec. 2. RCW 29A.92.010 and 2023 c 56 s 2 are each amended to 37 read as follows:

38 The definitions in this section apply throughout this chapter 39 unless the context clearly requires otherwise. In applying these

definitions and other terms in this chapter, courts may rely on
 relevant federal case law for guidance.

3 (1) "At large election" means any of the following methods of 4 electing members of the governing body of a political subdivision:

5 (a) One in which the voters of the entire jurisdiction elect the 6 members to the governing body;

7 (b) One in which the candidates are required to reside within 8 given areas of the jurisdiction and the voters of the entire 9 jurisdiction elect the members to the governing body; or

10 (c) One that combines the criteria in (a) and (b) of this 11 subsection or one that combines at large with district-based 12 elections.

13 (2) "Cohesive" means that members of a group tend to prefer the 14 same candidates or other electoral choices.

(3) "District-based elections" means a method of electing members to the governing body of a political subdivision in which the candidate must reside within an election district that is a divisible part of the political subdivision and is elected only by voters residing within that election district.

(4) "Election policy or practice" means any voting qualification, prerequisite to voting, or method of election, and any law, ordinance, resolution, charter or code provision, regulation, rule, policy, practice, procedure, standard, or action with respect to voting or the administration of elections, including the time or date of elections.

26 <u>(5) "Electoral system" means the method of electing the governing</u> 27 <u>body of a political subdivision or any election policy or practice</u> 28 <u>administered by the political subdivision.</u>

29 (6) "Polarized voting" means voting in which there is a 30 difference in the choice of candidates or other electoral choices 31 that are preferred by voters in a protected class or a coalition of 32 protected classes, and in the choice of candidates and electoral 33 choices that are preferred by voters in the rest of the electorate.

34 (((5))) <u>(7)</u> "Political subdivision" means any county, city, town, 35 school district, fire protection district, port district, or public 36 utility district, but does not include the state.

37 (((6))) <u>(8)</u> "Protected class" means a class of voters who are 38 members of a race, color, or language minority group in the state of 39 Washington, as this class is referenced and defined in the federal 40 voting rights act, 52 U.S.C. 10301 et seq. <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 29A.92
 RCW to read as follows:

(1) Except as provided in subsection (2) of this section, no 3 political subdivision or government entity responsible for election 4 administration may abridge the rights of voters who are members of a 5 6 protected class or classes by implementing, imposing, or enforcing 7 any election policy or practice, or taking any action or failing to take any action, that results in, is likely to result in, or is 8 intended to result in a material disparate burden on the ability or 9 opportunity of members of a protected class to vote or participate in 10 11 any stage of the political process.

12 (2) It is not a violation of subsection (1) of this section if 13 the political subdivision or government entity responsible for 14 election administration demonstrates by clear and convincing evidence 15 that:

(a) The election policy or practice is necessary to significantlyfurther a compelling, particularized interest; and

(b) There is no alternative election policy or practice that would result in less of a disparate burden on members of a protected class.

(3) Proof of intent to discriminate against a protected class by a political subdivision or a government entity responsible for election administration is not required for a cause of action to be sustained under this section.

(4) The following are circumstances not relevant, and may not be considered, to demonstrate a violation of subsection (1) of this section:

(a) The total number or share of protected class members notmaterially burdened by the election policy or practice;

30 (b) The degree to which the election policy or practice has a 31 long pedigree or was in widespread use at an earlier date;

32 (c) The use of an identical or similar election policy or 33 practice in other jurisdictions;

34 (d) The availability of other forms of voting unimpacted by the 35 election policy or practice; and

36 (e) Defenses that the election policy or practice is necessary to 37 address criminal activity, including voter fraud, or to bolster voter 38 confidence in election integrity that are not supported by 39 substantial evidence.

1 (5) A class of people protected by this section may include a 2 coalition of members of different racial, color, or language minority 3 groups.

4 Sec. 4. RCW 29A.92.030 and 2023 c 56 s 3 are each amended to 5 read as follows:

6 (1) <u>No method of electing the governing body of a political</u> 7 <u>subdivision may be imposed or applied in a manner that impairs the</u> 8 <u>ability of members of a protected class or classes to have an equal</u> 9 <u>opportunity to elect candidates of their choice as a result of the</u> 10 <u>dilution of the votes of the members of a protected class or classes.</u>

11 (2) A political subdivision is in violation of ((this chapter)) 12 subsection (1) of this section when ((it is shown that)):

13 (a) Elections in the political subdivision exhibit polarized 14 voting; and

(b) Members of a protected class or classes do not have an equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice as a result of the dilution ((or abridgment of the rights)) of the votes of the members of that protected class or classes.

(((-2))) (3) In determining whether there is polarized voting 19 20 under this chapter, the court shall analyze election results 21 including, but not limited to, elections of the governing body of the 22 political subdivision, ballot measure elections, elections in which at least one candidate is a member of a protected class, and other 23 24 electoral choices that affect the rights and privileges of members of 25 a protected class. The court is not required to consider explanations, including partisanship, for why polarized voting under 26 27 this chapter exists in the political subdivision to determine whether 28 polarized voting under this chapter exists in the political subdivision. Elections conducted prior to the filing of an action 29 30 to this chapter are more probative to establish the pursuant 31 existence of polarized voting than elections conducted after the 32 filing of an action.

33 (((3))) (4) The election of candidates who are members of a 34 protected class and who were elected prior to the filing of an action 35 pursuant to this chapter shall not preclude a finding of polarized 36 voting that results in an unequal opportunity for a protected class 37 to elect candidates of their choice.

38 (((++))) (5) The equal opportunity to elect shall be assessed 39 pragmatically, based on local election conditions, and may include

SHB 1750

crossover districts. No single factor is dispositive or necessary to
 establish a violation of this section.

3 (((5))) <u>(6)</u> The fact that members of a protected class are not 4 geographically compact or concentrated to constitute a majority in a 5 proposed or existing district-based election district shall not 6 preclude a finding of a violation under this chapter, but may be a 7 factor in determining a remedy.

8 (((6))) <u>(7)</u> Proof of intent on the part of the voters or elected 9 officials to discriminate against a protected class is not required 10 for a cause of action to be sustained.

11 (((-7))) (8) Other factors such as the history of discrimination, the use of electoral devices or other voting practices or procedures 12 that may enhance the dilutive effects of at large elections, denial 13 of access to those processes determining which groups of candidates 14 will receive financial or other support in a given election, the 15 16 extent to which members of a protected class bear the effects of past 17 discrimination in areas such as education, employment, and health, 18 which hinder their ability to participate effectively in the 19 political process, and the use of overt or subtle racial appeals in 20 political campaigns are probative, but not necessary factors, to 21 establish a violation of this chapter.

(((+8))) (9) A class of people protected by this section may include a coalition of members of different racial, color, or language minority groups. A coalition of members of different protected classes is not required to demonstrate that each individual racial, color, or language minority group which comprises the coalition is cohesive, only that the coalition as a whole is cohesive.

29 Sec. 5. RCW 29A.92.040 and 2023 c 56 s 4 are each amended to 30 read as follows:

(1) A political subdivision that conducts an election pursuant to state, county, or local law, is authorized to change its electoral system, including, but not limited to, implementing a district-based election system, or increasing the number of elected officials on a county commission as authorized by RCW 29A.92.115, to remedy a potential violation of ((RCW 29A.92.020)) this chapter.

37 (2) If a political subdivision invokes its authority under this
 38 section to implement a district-based election system, the districts
 39 shall be drawn in a manner consistent with RCW 29A.92.050.

1 Sec. 6. RCW 29A.92.050 and 2021 c 173 s 4 are each amended to 2 read as follows:

3 (1)(a) Prior to the adoption of its proposed plan, the political 4 subdivision must provide public notice to residents of the 5 subdivision about the proposed remedy to a potential violation of 6 ((RCW 29A.92.020)) this chapter. If a significant segment of the 7 residents of the subdivision have limited English proficiency and 8 speaks a language other than English, the political subdivision must:

9 (i) Provide accurate written and verbal notice of the proposed 10 remedy in languages that diverse residents of the political 11 subdivision can understand, as indicated by demographic data; and

12 (ii) Air radio or television public service announcements 13 describing the proposed remedy broadcast in the languages that 14 diverse residents of the political subdivision can understand, as 15 indicated by demographic data.

16 (b) The political subdivision shall hold at least one public 17 hearing on the proposed plan at least one week before adoption.

18 (c) For purposes of this section, "significant segment of the 19 community" means five percent or more of residents, or five hundred 20 or more residents, whichever is fewer, residing in the political 21 subdivision.

(2) (a) If the political subdivision invokes its authority under RCW 29A.92.040 to remedy a potential violation of RCW 29A.92.030 and the plan is adopted during the period of time between the first Tuesday after the first Monday of November and on or before January 15th of the following year, the political subdivision shall order new elections to occur at the next succeeding general election.

(b) If the political subdivision invokes its authority under RCW 29 29A.92.040 to remedy a potential violation of RCW 29A.92.030 and the 30 plan is adopted during the period of time between January 16th and on 31 or before the first Monday of November, the next election will occur 32 as scheduled and organized under the current electoral system, but 33 the political subdivision shall order new elections to occur pursuant 34 to the remedy at the general election the following calendar year.

35 (3) If a political subdivision implements a district-based 36 election system under RCW 29A.92.040(2), the plan shall be consistent 37 with the following criteria:

(a) Each district shall be as reasonably equal in population as
 possible to each and every other such district comprising the
 political subdivision.

1

(b) Each district shall be reasonably compact.

2 (c) Each district shall consist of geographically contiguous3 area.

4 (d) To the extent feasible, the district boundaries shall 5 coincide with existing recognized natural boundaries and shall, to 6 the extent possible, preserve existing communities of related and 7 mutual interest.

8 (e) District boundaries may not be drawn or maintained in a 9 manner that creates or perpetuates the dilution of the votes of the 10 members of a protected class or classes.

(f) All positions on the governing body must stand for election at the next election for the governing body, scheduled pursuant to subsection (2) of this section. The governing body may subsequently choose to stagger the terms of its positions.

15 (4) Within forty-five days after receipt of federal decennial 16 census information applicable to a specific local area, the 17 commission established in RCW 44.05.030 shall forward the census 18 information to each political subdivision.

19 (5) No later than November 15th of each year ending in one, the 20 governing body of the political subdivision that had previously 21 invoked its authority under RCW 29A.92.040 to implement a district-22 based election system, or that was previously charged with 23 redistricting under RCW 29A.92.110, shall prepare a plan for 24 redistricting its districts, pursuant to RCW 29A.76.010, and in a 25 manner consistent with this chapter.

26 Sec. 7. RCW 29A.92.060 and 2023 c 56 s 5 are each amended to 27 read as follows:

(1) A voter who resides in the political subdivision, an organization whose roster of members and volunteers includes a voter who resides in the political subdivision, or a tribe located at least in part in the political subdivision who intends to challenge a political subdivision's electoral system under this chapter shall first notify the political subdivision. The political subdivision shall promptly make such notice public.

35 (2) The notice provided shall identify and provide contact 36 information for the person or persons who intend to file an action, 37 and shall identify the <u>alleged violation or violations of this</u> 38 <u>chapter and the</u> protected class or classes whose members ((do not 39 have an equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice or an equal opportunity to influence the outcome of an election because of alleged vote dilution and polarized voting)) are affected. The notice shall also include a type of remedy the person believes may address the alleged violation <u>or violations</u> of ((RCW 29A.92.030)) <u>this</u> <u>chapter</u>.

6 Sec. 8. RCW 29A.92.070 and 2023 c 56 s 8 are each amended to 7 read as follows:

(1) The political subdivision shall work in good faith with the 8 person, organization, or tribe providing the notice to implement a 9 remedy that resolves the potential violation or violations identified 10 in the notice and provides the protected class or classes identified 11 in the notice an equal opportunity to elect candidates of their 12 13 choice. Such work in good faith to implement a remedy may include, but is not limited to consideration of: (a) Relevant electoral data; 14 15 (b) relevant demographic data, including the most recent census data 16 available; and (c) any other information that would be relevant to 17 implementing a remedy.

(2) If, in response to a potential violation of RCW 29A.92.030, 18 the political subdivision adopts a remedy that takes the notice into 19 account, or adopts the notice's proposed remedy, the political 20 21 subdivision shall seek a court order acknowledging that the political 22 subdivision's remedy complies with RCW ((29A.92.020)) 29A.92.030 and was prompted by a plausible violation. The person who submitted the 23 24 notice may support or oppose such an order, and may obtain public 25 records to do so. The political subdivision must provide all political, census, and demographic data and any analysis of that data 26 27 used to develop the remedy in its filings seeking the court order and 28 with any documents made public. All facts and reasonable inferences shall be viewed in the light most favorable to those opposing the 29 30 political subdivision's proposed remedy at this stage. There shall be 31 a rebuttable presumption that the court will decline to approve the political subdivision's proposed remedy at this stage. 32

33 (3) If the court concludes that the political subdivision's 34 remedy complies with ((RCW 29A.92.020, an)) this chapter:

35 <u>(a) An</u> action under ((this chapter)) <u>RCW 29A.92.030</u> may not be 36 brought against that political subdivision for four years by any 37 party so long as the political subdivision does not enact a change to 38 or deviation from the remedy during this four-year period that would 39 otherwise give rise to an action under this chapter; and

1 (b) An action under section 3 of this act that is based on the 2 same election policy or practice for which the court has concluded 3 that the political subdivision's remedy complies with this chapter 4 may not be brought against that political subdivision for four years 5 by any party so long as the political subdivision does not enact a 6 change to or deviation from the remedy during this four-year period 7 that would otherwise give rise to an action under this chapter.

8 (4) In agreeing to adopt the person's, organization's, or tribe's 9 proposed remedy <u>to any violation of this chapter</u>, the political 10 subdivision may do so by stipulation, which shall become a public 11 document.

(5) (a) If the court issues an order under subsection (2) of this section <u>as to RCW 29A.92.030 or the political subdivision implements</u> <u>a remedy in response to a potential violation of section 3 of this</u> <u>act</u>, the person, organization, or tribe who sent the notice may make a demand to the political subdivision for reimbursement of the costs incurred in conducting the research necessary to send the notice. A demand made under this subsection must:

19

(i) Be in writing;

20 (ii) Be received by the political subdivision within 30 days of 21 the adoption of the new electoral system; and

(iii) Include financial documentation, such as a detailed invoice for demographic services, that supports the demand. The political subdivision may request additional documentation if the documentation provided is insufficient for the political subdivision to corroborate the claimed costs.

(b) The political subdivision shall, within 60 days of receiving the demand, reimburse the reasonable costs of the person, organization, or tribe who sent the notice, not to exceed \$50,000.

30 Sec. 9. RCW 29A.92.080 and 2023 c 56 s 9 are each amended to 31 read as follows:

32 (1)Any voter who resides in the political subdivision, organization whose roster of members and volunteers includes a voter 33 who resides in the political subdivision, or tribe located at least 34 35 in part in the political subdivision may file an action under this chapter if, 90 days after a political subdivision receives notice of 36 37 a challenge to its electoral system under RCW 29A.92.060, the 38 political subdivision has not obtained a court order stating that it has adopted a remedy in compliance with RCW ((29A.92.020)) 29A.92.030 39

1 or otherwise has not adopted a remedy in compliance with section 3 of 2 this act.

3 (2) If, in response to a potential violation of RCW 29A.92.030, a political subdivision has received two or more notices containing 4 materially different proposed remedies, the political subdivision 5 6 shall work in good faith with the persons to implement a remedy that provides the protected class or classes identified in the notices an 7 equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice. If the 8 political subdivision adopts one of the remedies offered, or a 9 different remedy that takes multiple notices into account, the 10 political subdivision shall seek a court order acknowledging that the 11 12 political subdivision's remedy is reasonably necessary to avoid a violation of RCW ((29A.92.020)) 29A.92.030. 13 The persons, organizations, or tribes who submitted the notice may support or 14 oppose such an order, and may obtain public records to do so. The 15 16 political subdivision must provide all political, census, and 17 demographic data and any analysis of that data used to develop the remedy in its filings seeking the court order and with any documents 18 made public. All facts and reasonable inferences shall be viewed in 19 light most favorable to those opposing the 20 the political 21 subdivision's proposed remedy at this stage. There shall be a 22 rebuttable presumption that the court will decline to approve the 23 political subdivision's proposed remedy at this stage.

(3) If the court concludes that the political subdivision's
 remedy complies with ((RCW 29A.92.020, an)) this chapter:

26 (a) An action under ((this chapter)) <u>RCW 29A.92.030</u> may not be 27 brought against that political subdivision for four years by any 28 party so long as the political subdivision does not enact a change to 29 or deviation from the remedy during this four-year period that would 30 otherwise give rise to an action under this chapter; and

31 (b) An action under section 3 of this act that is based on the 32 same election policy or practice for which the court has concluded 33 that the political subdivision's remedy complies with this chapter 34 may not be brought against that political subdivision for four years 35 by any party so long as the political subdivision does not enact a 36 change to or deviation from the remedy during this four-year period 37 that would otherwise give rise to an action under this chapter.

(4) (a) If the court issues an order under subsection (2) of this
section <u>as to RCW 29A.92.030 or the political subdivision implements</u>
<u>a remedy in response to a potential violation of section 3 of this</u>

1 <u>act</u>, the persons, organizations, or tribes who sent notices may make 2 a demand to the political subdivision for reimbursement of the costs 3 incurred in conducting the research necessary to send the notices. A 4 demand made under this subsection must:

5 (i) Be in writing;

6 (ii) Be received by the political subdivision within 30 days of 7 the adoption of the new electoral system; and

8 (iii) Include financial documentation, such as a detailed invoice 9 for demographic services, that supports the demand. The political 10 subdivision may request additional documentation if the documentation 11 provided is insufficient for the political subdivision to corroborate 12 the claimed costs.

(b) The political subdivision shall, within 60 days of receiving the demand, reimburse the reasonable costs of the persons, organizations, or tribes who sent the notices, not to exceed \$50,000.

16 Sec. 10. RCW 29A.92.090 and 2023 c 56 s 6 are each amended to 17 read as follows:

18 (1) (a) After exhaustion of the time period in RCW 29A.92.080, any voter who resides in a political subdivision, organization whose 19 roster of members and volunteers includes a voter who resides in the 20 21 political subdivision, or tribe located at least in part in the 22 political subdivision where a violation of ((RCW 29A.92.020)) this chapter is alleged may file an action in the superior court of the 23 24 county in which the political subdivision is located. If the action 25 is against a county, the action may be filed in the superior court of such county, or in the superior court of either of the two nearest 26 27 judicial districts as determined pursuant to RCW 36.01.050(2). An 28 action filed pursuant to this chapter does not need to be filed as a class action. The notice and exhaustion of the time period in RCW 29 29A.92.080 is not required to file an action under this section in 30 31 circumstances described in (b) of this subsection.

32 (b) A party may file an action without providing notice and 33 exhausting the time period in RCW 29A.92.080 if:

34 (i) The party is seeking preliminary relief with respect to an 35 upcoming election in accordance with RCW 29A.92.100;

36 <u>(ii) The party is seeking to intervene in or join an existing</u>
37 <u>action; or</u>

(iii) Following the party's submission of notice, the political
 subdivision enacted a remedy that would not remedy the violation
 identified in the notice.

(2) ((A)) In an action under RCW 29A.92.030, a coalition of 4 members of different protected classes may file an action jointly 5 6 pursuant to this chapter if they demonstrate that the combined voting preferences of the multiple protected classes are polarized against 7 the rest of the electorate. A coalition of members of different 8 protected classes is not required to demonstrate that each individual 9 racial, color, or language minority group which comprises the 10 coalition is cohesive. 11

12 (3) Nothing in this section shall be interpreted to relieve a 13 party of the requirement to establish standing as provided in 14 Washington case law when commencing an action under this title.

15 Sec. 11. RCW 29A.92.100 and 2019 c 64 s 13 are each amended to 16 read as follows:

(1) In an action filed pursuant to this chapter, the trial court shall set a trial to be held no later than one year after the filing of a complaint, and shall set a discovery and motions calendar accordingly.

(2) For purposes of any applicable statute of limitations, a cause of action under this chapter arises every time there is an election for any members of the governing body of the political subdivision.

(3) The plaintiff's constitutional right to the secrecy of the plaintiff's vote is preserved and is not waived by the filing of an action pursuant to this chapter, and the filing is not subject to discovery or disclosure.

(4) <u>In an action filed pursuant to this chapter in which a</u> plaintiff seeks a temporary restraining order or a preliminary injunction with respect to an upcoming election, the court shall grant relief if it determines that:

33 (a) The plaintiff is more likely than not to succeed on the 34 merits; and

35 (b) It is possible to implement an appropriate remedy that would 36 resolve the alleged violation in the upcoming election.

37 <u>(5)</u> In seeking a temporary restraining order or a preliminary 38 injunction, a plaintiff shall not be required to post a bond or any 39 other security in order to secure such equitable relief.

SHB 1750

1 (((5))) <u>(6)</u> No notice may be submitted to any political 2 subdivision pursuant to this chapter before July 19, 2018.

3 Sec. 12. RCW 29A.92.110 and 2023 c 56 s 7 are each amended to 4 read as follows:

5 (1) After finding a violation of ((RCW 29A.92.020)) this chapter or upon stipulation of the parties, the court may order appropriate 6 remedies that are tailored to address the violation including, but 7 not limited to, the imposition of a district-based election system or 8 expansion of the number of elected county commissioners if authorized 9 by RCW 29A.92.115, or modification of the political subdivision's 10 11 election policies and practices. In tailoring a remedy, the court shall consider proposed remedies by the parties and may not give 12 deference to a proposed remedy only because it is proposed by the 13 political subdivision. The court may not approve a remedy that 14 violates this chapter. 15

16 (2) If the court orders a district-based remedy, the court must 17 approve proposed district boundaries prior to their implementation. 18 The court must determine that the proposed district boundaries will 19 not violate this chapter.

20 (3) Implementation of a district-based remedy is not precluded by 21 the fact that members of a protected class do not constitute a 22 numerical majority within a proposed district-based election district. If, in tailoring a remedy, 23 the court orders the 24 implementation of a district-based election district where the members of the protected class are not a numerical majority, the 25 court shall do so in a manner that provides the protected class an 26 27 equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice. The court may also approve a district-based election system that provides the 28 protected class the opportunity to join in a coalition of two or more 29 30 protected classes to elect candidates of their choice if there is 31 demonstrated political cohesion among the protected classes.

32 (4) In tailoring a remedy after a finding of a violation of RCW
 33 ((29A.92.020)) 29A.92.030 or upon stipulation of the parties:

(a) If the court's order providing a remedy or approving proposed
districts, whichever is later, is issued during the period of time
between the first Tuesday after the first Monday of November and on
or before January 15th of the following year, the court shall order
new elections, conducted pursuant to the remedy, to occur at the next
succeeding general election. If a special filing period is required,

p. 14

SHB 1750

1 filings for that office shall be reopened for a period of three 2 business days, such three-day period to be fixed by the filing 3 officer.

4 (b) If the court's order providing a remedy or approving proposed 5 districts, whichever is later, is issued during the period of time 6 between January 16th and on or before the first Monday of November, 7 the next election will occur as scheduled and organized under the 8 current electoral system, but the court shall order new elections to 9 occur pursuant to the remedy at the general election the following 10 calendar year.

11 (c) The remedy may provide for the political subdivision to hold 12 elections for the members of its governing body at the same time as 13 regularly scheduled elections for statewide or federal offices. All 14 positions on the governing body must stand for election at the next 15 election for the governing body, scheduled pursuant to this 16 subsection (4). The governing body may subsequently choose to stagger 17 the terms of its positions.

18 (5) Within thirty days of the conclusion of any action filed 19 under RCW 29A.92.100, the political subdivision must publish on the 20 subdivision's website, the outcome and summary of the action, as well 21 as the legal costs incurred by the subdivision. If the political 22 subdivision does not have its own website, then it may publish on the 23 county website.

24 Sec. 13. RCW 29A.92.115 and 2023 c 56 s 12 are each amended to 25 read as follows:

(1) A county may reasonably increase the number of elected
 commissioners to remedy a potential violation of RCW ((29A.92.020))
 <u>29A.92.030</u> if the protected class or one of the protected classes
 subject to alleged vote dilution is Indian tribal status.

30 (2) After finding a violation of RCW ((29A.92.020)) 29A.92.030 or 31 upon stipulation of the parties, the court may order a reasonable 32 increase in the number of elected officials on a county commission if 33 the defendant political subdivision is a county and the protected 34 class or one of the protected classes subject to alleged vote 35 dilution is Indian tribal status.

36 Sec. 14. RCW 29A.92.120 and 2019 c 64 s 14 are each amended to 37 read as follows:

1 (1) No action under this chapter may be brought by any person against a political subdivision that has adopted a remedy to its 2 electoral system after an action is filed that is approved by a court 3 pursuant to RCW 29A.92.070 or implemented a court-ordered remedy 4 pursuant to RCW 29A.92.110 for four years after adoption of the 5 6 remedy if the political subdivision does not enact a change to or 7 deviation from the remedy during this four-year period that would otherwise give rise to an action under this chapter. This subsection 8 applies to violations or potential violations under section 3 of this 9 act only if a subsequent action is based on the same election policy 10 or practice for which the court has concluded that the political 11 12 subdivision's remedy complies with this chapter.

13 (2) No action under this chapter may be brought by any person 14 against a political subdivision that has adopted a remedy to its 15 electoral system in the previous decade before June 7, 2018, as a 16 result of a claim under the federal voting rights act until after the 17 political subdivision completes redistricting pursuant to RCW 18 29A.76.010 for the 2020 decennial census.

19 Sec. 15. RCW 29A.92.130 and 2023 c 56 s 10 are each amended to 20 read as follows:

(1) In any action to enforce this chapter, the court may allow the prevailing plaintiff or plaintiffs, other than the state or political subdivision thereof, reasonable attorneys' fees, all nonattorney fee costs as defined by RCW 4.84.010, and all reasonable expert witness fees, including all such reasonable fees and costs incurred before filing the action.

(2) (a) A prevailing plaintiff does not need to achieve relief or favorable judgment if the plaintiff demonstrates that they succeeded in altering the political subdivision's behavior to correct a claimed harm.

31 (b) For purposes of this section, "altering the political 32 subdivision's behavior" includes, but is not limited to, adopting a 33 new method of electing a governing body, modifying district 34 boundaries, or ((amending a voting rule or qualification)) modifying 35 an election policy or practice.

36 (3) Prevailing defendants may recover an award of fees or costs 37 pursuant to RCW 4.84.185.

1 Sec. 16. RCW 29A.92.700 and 2018 c 113 s 501 are each amended to 2 read as follows:

The provisions of RCW 29A.92.005 through 29A.92.030, 29A.92.060 through 29A.92.130, <u>section 3 of this act</u>, and 29A.92.900 are not applicable to cities and towns with populations under one thousand or to school districts with K-12 full-time equivalent enrollments of less than two hundred fifty.

8 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 17. RCW 29A.92.020 (Method of election—Equal 9 opportunity for protected class) and 2018 c 113 s 104 are each 10 repealed.

--- END ---