H-1542.1

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 1909

State of Washington 69th Legislature 2025 Regular Session

By House Civil Rights & Judiciary (originally sponsored by Representatives Taylor, Goodman, Hill, Bernbaum, Farivar, Simmons, Peterson, Macri, Ortiz-Self, Walen, Ramel, Ryu, Thomas, Salahuddin, Duerr, Reed, Zahn, Entenman, Fey, Wylie, Richards, Cortes, Berry, Tharinger, Parshley, Berg, Santos, Ormsby, Pollet, and Davis)

READ FIRST TIME 02/20/25.

AN ACT Relating to establishing the court unification task force; adding a new chapter to Title 2 RCW; creating a new section; and providing an expiration date.

4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

5 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 1. (1) The legislature finds that:

(a) Washingtonians seeking legal help encounter barriers
navigating disparate local court rules or incur increased costs for
legal practitioners to navigate these systems;

9 (b) Specific groups of Washingtonians, such as those living in 10 rural areas or in poverty, may be inequitably impacted by courts' 11 disparate adoption of technology to create remote filing, record 12 sharing, processes, procedures, and hearings;

(c) Disparate local rules and adoption of technology make it difficult for lawyers, including those engaging in pro bono and legal aid work, to practice in multiple jurisdictions, exacerbating Washington's "legal deserts";

17 (d) Washingtonians seeking legal help may encounter inequitable 18 outcomes due to disparate funding levels and standards from 19 jurisdiction to jurisdiction;

(e) City and county leaders have expressed significant and urgentconcerns with their ability to fund and implement the Washington

SHB 1909

state bar association's new standards for indigent defense, which derive from a constitutional mandate; and

3 (f) Disparate funding between the state's court systems can cause 4 inequitable and inconsistent means for jurisdictions to implement and 5 uphold best legal practices and standards.

6 (2) The legislature further finds that potential solutions to 7 these issues warrant further study, including data collection to 8 better understand the impact to the public and the legal profession 9 of disparate local rules, technology, and funding between 10 Washington's court systems.

11 (3) Therefore, the legislature intends to convene and 12 meaningfully engage stakeholders across Washington state, especially 13 those in rural areas, and to study the inefficiencies and inequities 14 resulting from disparate local court rules, funding, and technology.

15 <u>NEW SECTION</u>. Sec. 2. The court unification task force is 16 established to conduct a comprehensive analysis of the current 17 Washington state court system, including the review of the 18 inefficiencies and inequities resulting from disparate local court rules, technology adoption, and funding levels, and identify areas 19 where a more unified or centralized approach to court operations may 20 improve efficiency and uniformity in the delivery of court and 21 judicial services, as well as consistency in outcomes and ease of 22 access for those who use the court system, including victims, 23 24 defendants, litigants, and counsel.

25 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 3. (1) The court unification task force must 26 include the following voting members:

(a) Two legislative members, one from each of the two largest
caucuses of the house of representatives, appointed by the speaker of
the house of representatives. Of the members appointed under this
subsection (1) (a), one member must be the current chair of the civil
rights and judiciary committee of the house of representatives;

32 (b) Two legislative members, one from each of the two largest 33 caucuses of the senate, appointed by the president of the senate. Of 34 the members appointed under this subsection (1)(b), one must be the 35 current chair of the law and justice committee of the senate;

36 (c) One person representing the governor's office, appointed by 37 the governor;

(d) One person representing the attorney general's office,
 appointed by the attorney general;

3 (e) Three persons representing cities, appointed by the 4 association of Washington cities as follows: (i) One person 5 representing a municipality with a population of 500,000 or more 6 inhabitants; (ii) one person representing a municipality with a 7 population of 100,000 or more inhabitants, but fewer than 500,000 8 inhabitants; and (iii) one person representing a municipality with a 9 population of fewer than 100,000 inhabitants;

10 (f) One person who is an elected county councilmember 11 representing counties, appointed by the Washington state association 12 of counties;

13 (g) One person representing court clerks, appointed by the 14 Washington state association of county clerks;

15 (h) 12 members from the judicial branch, appointed by the chief 16 justice in consultation with the board of judicial administration, 17 supreme court, court of appeals, superior court judges association, 18 association of Washington superior court administrators, Washington 19 association of juvenile court administrators, district and municipal court judges association, district and municipal court management 20 21 association, misdemeanant probation association, and access to justice board. Of the members appointed under this subsection (1)(h): 22 (i) Two members must be appointed to represent the superior court 23 judges association, with one member representing western Washington 24 25 and the other member representing eastern Washington; and (ii) two 26 members must be appointed to represent the district and municipal court judges association, with one member representing western 27 28 Washington and the other member representing eastern Washington;

29 (i) One person appointed by and representing the tribal state 30 court consortium;

31 (j) One person appointed by and representing the office of public 32 defense;

33 (k) One person appointed by and representing the office of civil 34 legal aid;

35 (1) One person appointed by and representing the administrative 36 office of the courts;

37 (m) One person appointed by and representing the Washington state 38 bar association;

39 (n) One person appointed by and representing the Washington 40 association of prosecuting attorneys;

(o) One person appointed by and representing the Washington state
 paralegal association;

3 (p) One person employed by and representing a community-based 4 nonprofit organization that provides advocacy and support services, 5 but not including legal representation, to court-involved 6 individuals;

7 (q) One person appointed by and representing the Washington 8 association of sheriffs and police chiefs;

9 (r) One person appointed by and representing an organization that 10 represents survivors of gender-based violence;

(s) Two people who have lived experience as a litigant in a civil court matter and who are appointed by the office of civil legal aid. Of the members appointed under this subsection (1)(s): (i) One person must be from one of the five largest counties; and (ii) the other person must be someone who was unrepresented in their civil legal matter; and

17 (t) One formerly incarcerated person appointed by the office of 18 public defense.

19 (2) The task force shall have two cochairs. One cochair must be 20 the chair of the civil rights and judiciary committee of the house of 21 representatives and the other cochair must be the chief justice of 22 the supreme court. The cochairs shall set the agenda and provide 23 leadership and direction for the task force.

(3) Members of the task force shall be reimbursed for travel 24 25 expenses. Legislative members of the task force shall be reimbursed for travel expenses in accordance with RCW 44.04.120. Reimbursement 26 for nonlegislative members is subject to chapter 43.03 RCW. To ensure 27 that the task force has diverse and inclusive representation of those 28 29 affected by its work, task force members, including work group members, whose participation in the task force may be hampered by 30 31 financial hardship may be compensated as provided in RCW 43.03.220.

32 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 4. The court unification task force has the 33 following powers:

(1) (a) The task force may form issue-specific subcommittees to focus on issues relevant to the work of the task force including, but not limited to: Information technology in courts; court capacity and funding; small and rural courts; facilities and personnel; court provided services; and the impact of legislative changes on court resources and processes.

(b) The task force shall define the scope of activity and subject
 matter focus required of each subcommittee.

3 (c) A subcommittee may set its own agenda and terminate upon 4 completion of the subcommittee's work. Subcommittee meetings may 5 occur in person or in a virtual or hybrid format.

6 (2) The voting membership of each subcommittee is composed of a 7 subset of members appointed to the task force, as determined by 8 majority vote of the task force. A subcommittee may invite persons 9 with relevant expertise to participate in the subcommittee's work as 10 nonvoting members on an ongoing, recurring, or one-time basis.

(3) Consistent with funds appropriated specifically for this purpose, the task force may hire staff or contract for professional assistance.

14 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 5. (1) The court unification task force shall 15 hold its first meeting by October 1, 2025, and shall complete its 16 work by December 31, 2028. The task force shall hold no fewer than 17 two meetings of the full membership of the task force each calendar 18 year.

(2) By June 30, 2026, the task force shall submit a preliminary
 report to the interbranch advisory committee created in chapter 2.76
 RCW and to the relevant committees of the legislature.

(3) By June 30, 2027, the task force shall submit its final report to the interbranch advisory committee created in chapter 2.76 RCW and to the relevant committees of the legislature. The final report must propose specific solutions for the efficient use of resources, including harmonizing any conflicting court policies, practices, and procedures, and include a long-term strategic plan to implement the proposed solutions.

(4) After the task force submits its final report and before June
30, 2028, the task force shall hold at least one meeting of the full
membership of the task force.

32 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 6. This chapter expires December 31, 2028.

33 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 7. Sections 2 through 6 of this act 34 constitute a new chapter in Title 2 RCW.

--- END ---