SENATE BILL 5575

State of	f Washington	69th Legislature	2025 Regular Session

By Senators Dhingra, Cortes, and Nobles

Read first time 01/29/25. Referred to Committee on Law & Justice.

AN ACT Relating to limitations in parenting plans; amending RCW 2 26.09.191, 11.130.215, 26.09.187, 26.09.194, 26.09.260, 26.09.520, 3 and 26.12.177; reenacting and amending RCW 26.51.020; and adding a 4 new section to chapter 26.09 RCW.

5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

6 Sec. 1. RCW 26.09.191 and 2021 c 215 s 134 are each amended to 7 read as follows:

8 (1) ((The permanent parenting plan shall not require mutual decision-making or designation of a dispute resolution process other 9 10 than court action)) PURPOSE. Parents are responsible for protecting 11 and preserving the health and well-being of their minor children. When a parent acts contrary to the health and well-being of the 12 parent's child, or engages in conduct that creates an unreasonable 13 risk of harm to a child, the court may, and in some situations must, 14 15 impose limitations intended to protect the child from harm as 16 described in this section and section 2 of this act.

17 <u>(2) GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS.</u>

18 (a) In entering a permanent parenting plan, the court shall not 19 draw any presumptions from the provisions of the temporary parenting 20 plan. 1 (b) The weight given to the existence of a protection order 2 issued under chapter 7.105 RCW or former chapter 26.50 RCW as to 3 domestic violence is within the discretion of the court.

4 <u>(c) In determining whether any of the conduct described in this</u> 5 section or section 2 of this act has occurred, the court shall apply 6 the rules of evidence and civil procedure except where the parties 7 have opted for an informal family law trial pursuant to state or 8 local court rules.

9 <u>(3) DEFINITIONS. The definitions in this subsection apply</u> 10 <u>throughout this section and section 2 of this act unless the context</u> 11 <u>clearly requires otherwise.</u>

12 (a) "Abusive use of conflict" refers to a party engaging in ongoing and deliberate actions to misuse conflict. This includes, but 13 is not limited to: (i) Repeated bad faith violations of court orders 14 regarding the child or the protection of the child or other parent; 15 (ii) credible threats of physical, emotional, or financial harm to 16 17 the other parent or to family, friends, or professionals providing support to the child or other parent; (iii) intentional use of the 18 child in conflict; or (iv) abusive litigation as defined in RCW 19 26.51.020. Litigation that is aggressive or improper but does not 20 meet the definition of abusive litigation shall not constitute a 21 basis for finding abusive use of conflict under this section. 22 Protective actions as defined in this section shall not constitute a 23 basis for a finding of abusive use of conflict. 24

25

(b) "Child" shall also mean "children."

26 (c) "Knowingly" means knows or reasonably should know.

27 <u>(d) "Parenting functions" has the same meaning as in RCW</u> 28 <u>26.09.004.</u>

(e) "Protective actions" are actions taken by a parent in good 29 faith for the purpose of protecting themselves or the parent's child 30 31 from the risk of harm posed by the other parent. "Protective actions" can include, but are not limited to: (i) Reports or complaints 32 regarding physical, sexual, or mental abuse of a child or child 33 neglect to an individual or entity connected to the provision of care 34 or safety of the child such as law enforcement, medical 35 professionals, therapists, schools, day cares, or child protective 36 services; (ii) seeking court orders changing residential time; or 37 (iii) petitions for protection or restraining orders. 38

39 (f) "Sex offense against a child" means any of the following 40 offenses involving a child victim: (i) Any sex offense as defined in RCW 9.94A.030; (ii) any offense with a finding of sexual motivation; (iii) any offense in violation of chapter 9A.44 RCW other than RCW 9A.44.132; (iv) any offense involving the sexual abuse of a minor, including any offense under chapter 9.68A RCW; or (v) any federal or out-of-state offense comparable to any offense under (f)(i) through (iv) of this subsection.

7 (g) "Social worker" means a person with a master's degree or 8 further advanced degree from a social work educational program 9 accredited and approved as provided in RCW 18.320.010.

(h) "Willful abandonment" has occurred when the child's parent 10 has expressed, either by statement or conduct, an intent to forego, 11 for an extended period, parental rights or responsibilities despite 12 an ability to exercise such rights and responsibilities. "Willful 13 abandonment" does not include a parent who has been unable to see the 14 child due to circumstances that include, but are not limited to: 15 16 Incarceration, deportation, inpatient treatment, medical emergency, fleeing to an emergency shelter or domestic violence shelter, or 17 withholding of the child by the other parent. 18

19 (4) RESIDENTIAL TIME LIMITATIONS.

20 <u>(a) PARENTAL CONDUCT REQUIRING LIMITS ON A PARENT'S RESIDENTIAL</u> 21 <u>TIME. A parent's residential time with the parent's child shall be</u> 22 <u>limited</u> if it is found that a parent has engaged in any of the 23 following conduct:

24 (((a))) <u>(i)</u> Willful abandonment that continues for an extended 25 period of time ((or substantial refusal to perform parenting 26 functions;

27 (b) physical, sexual,);

28 <u>(ii) Physical abuse</u> or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child;

((or (c) a)) <u>(iii) A</u> history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 7.105.010 ((or))_L an assault ((or sexual assault)) that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm ((or that results in a pregnancy.

33

(2) (a) The)), or any sexual assault; or

34 <u>(iv) Sexual abuse of a child. Required limitations and</u> 35 <u>considerations for a parent who has been convicted of a sex offense</u> 36 <u>against a child or found to have sexually abused a child in the</u> 37 <u>current case or a prior case are addressed in section 2 of this act.</u>

38 <u>(b) PARENT RESIDING WITH A PERSON WHOSE CONDUCT REQUIRES</u> 39 <u>RESIDENTIAL TIME LIMITATIONS. A</u> parent's residential time with the 40 child shall be limited if it is found that the parent <u>knowingly</u>

1 resides with a person who has engaged in any of the following conduct: (((i) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended 2 period of time or substantial refusal to perform parenting functions; 3 (ii) physical, sexual,)) 4 (i) Physical abuse or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; 5 6 (((((iii) a)) (ii) A history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 7.105.010 ((or)), an assault ((or sexual assault)) 7 that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm ((or that 8 results in a pregnancy; or (iv) the parent has been convicted as an 9 10 adult of a sex offense under: (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between 11 12 the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under 13 (d) of this subsection; (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between 14 15 the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under 16 (d) of this subsection; 17 (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under 18 19 (d) of this subsection; (D) RCW 9A.44.089; 20 21 (E) RCW 9A.44.093; 22 (F) RCW 9A.44.096; 23 (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age 24 between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists 25 under (d) of this subsection; 26 (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW; 27 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed 28 in (a) (iv) (A) through (H) of this subsection; (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an 29 30 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (a) (iv) (A) through (H) of 31 this subsection. 32 This subsection (2) (a) shall not apply when (c) or (d) of this 33 subsection applies. 34 (b) The parent's residential time with the child shall be limited if it is found that the parent resides with a person who has engaged 35 in any of the following conduct: (i) Physical, sexual, or a pattern 36 of emotional abuse of a child; (ii) a history of acts of domestic 37

- 38 violence as defined in RCW 7.105.010 or an assault or sexual assault
- 39 that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm or that

1 results in a pregnancy; or (iii) the person has been convicted as an adult or as a juvenile has been adjudicated of a sex offense under: 2 (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between 3 the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under 4 (e) of this subsection; 5 6 (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between 7 the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection; 8 (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between 9 the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under 10 (e) of this subsection; 11 12 (D) RCW 9A.44.089; 13 (E) RCW 9A.44.093; (F) RCW 9A.44.096; 14 15 (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age 16 between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists 17 under (e) of this subsection; 18 (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW; 19 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed in (b) (iii) (A) through (H) of this subsection; 20 21 (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an offense analogous to the offenses listed in (b) (iii) (A) through (H) 22 23 of this subsection. 24 This subsection (2) (b) shall not apply when (c) or (e) of this 25 subsection applies. 26 (c) If a parent has been found to be a sexual predator under 27 chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other 28 jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter. If a parent 29 30 resides with an adult or a juvenile who has been found to be a sexual 31 predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any 32 other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's child except contact that occurs outside that 33 34 person's presence. (d) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who has been 35 convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d) (i) through (ix) 36 37 of this subsection poses a present danger to a child. Unless the parent rebuts this presumption, the court shall restrain the parent 38 from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this 39 40 chapter:

1	(i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted
2	was at least five years older than the other person;
3	(ii) RCW 9A.44.073;
4	(iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at
5	least eight years older than the victim;
6	(iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at
7	least eight years older than the victim;
8	(v) RCW 9A.44.083;
9	(vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at
10	least eight years older than the victim;
11	(vii) RCW 9A.44.100;
12	(viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses
13	listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;
14	(ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an
15	offense analogous to the offenses listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of
16	this subsection.
17	(e) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who resides
18	with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted, or as a juvenile
19	has been adjudicated, of the sex offenses listed in (e)(i) through
20	(ix) of this subsection places a child at risk of abuse or harm when
21	that parent exercises residential time in the presence of the
22	convicted or adjudicated person. Unless the parent rebuts the
23	presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with
24	the parent's child except for contact that occurs outside of the
25	convicted or adjudicated person's presence:
26	(i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted
27	was at least five years older than the other person;
28	(ii) RCW 9A.44.073;
29	(iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at
30	least eight years older than the victim;
31	(iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at
32	least eight years older than the victim;
33	(v) RCW 9A.44.083;
34	(vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at
35	least eight years older than the victim;
36	(vii) RCW 9A.44.100;
37	(viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses
38	listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;

1 (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an 2 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of 3 this subsection.

4 (f) The presumption established in (d) of this subsection may be 5 rebutted only after a written finding that the child was not 6 conceived and subsequently born as a result of a sexual assault 7 committed by the parent requesting residential time and that:

(i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed 8 by the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the 9 10 child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk 11 to the child, and (B) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress 12 13 in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment 14 provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk 15 to the child; or

16 (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by 17 the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the 18 child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of 19 20 sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the 21 child and the offending parent is in the child's best interest, and 22 (C) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, 23 24 if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes 25 such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.

26 (g) The presumption established in (e) of this subsection may be 27 rebutted only after a written finding that the child was not 28 conceived and subsequently born as a result of a sexual assault 29 committed by the parent requesting residential time and that:

30 (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed 31 by the person who is residing with the parent requesting residential 32 time, (A) contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and that parent is 33 able to protect the child in the presence of the convicted or 34 35 adjudicated person, and (B) the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in 36 and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, 37 38 and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or 39

(ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by 1 2 the person who is residing with the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the parent in the presence of 3 the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal 4 5 risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact 6 between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or 7 adjudicated person in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated 8 person is in the child's best interest, and (C) the convicted or 9 10 adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex 11 offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes 12 13 contact between the parent and child in the presence of the convicted 14 or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the 15 child.

(h) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of 16 17 rebutting the presumption under (f) of this subsection, the court may 18 allow a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d) (i) through (ix) of this subsection to have residential 19 20 time with the child supervised by a neutral and independent adult and 21 pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between 22 the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the 23 evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting 24 the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the 25 26 supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor 27 has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of 28 protecting the child.

29 (i) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of 30 rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may 31 allow a parent residing with a person who has been adjudicated as a 32 juvenile of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this 33 subsection to have residential time with the child in the presence of the person adjudicated as a juvenile, supervised by a neutral and 34 35 independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor 36 for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, 37 38 based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval 39 40 of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the

1 supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or

2 capable of protecting the child.

(j) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of 3 rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may 4 allow a parent residing with a person who, as an adult, has been 5 6 convicted of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have residential time with the child in the presence of 7 the convicted person supervised by a neutral and independent adult 8 9 and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential 10 time. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between 11 the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting 12 13 the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the 14 supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor 15 has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child. 16

17 (k) A court shall not order unsupervised contact between the 18 offending parent and a child of the offending parent who was sexually 19 abused by that parent. A court may order unsupervised contact between 20 the offending parent and a child who was not sexually abused by the parent after the presumption under (d) of this subsection has been 21 rebutted and supervised residential time has occurred for at least 22 two years with no further arrests or convictions of sex offenses 23 involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 24 25 9.68A RCW and (i) the sex offense of the offending parent was not 26 committed against a child of the offending parent, and (ii) the court 27 finds that unsupervised contact between the child and the offending 28 parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after 29 consideration of the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental 30 health counselor, or social worker with expertise in treating child sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one period of 31 residential time between the parent and the child, and after 32 33 consideration of evidence of the offending parent's compliance with community supervision requirements, if any. If the offending parent 34 35 was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the parent shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation 36 conducted by a certified sex offender treatment provider or a 37 38 certified affiliate sex offender treatment provider indicating that the offender has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the 39 40 court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child.

1 (1) A court may order unsupervised contact between the parent and 2 a child which may occur in the presence of a juvenile adjudicated of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection who 3 resides with the parent after the presumption under (e) of this 4 5 subsection has been rebutted and supervised residential time has 6 occurred for at least two years during which time the adjudicated 7 juvenile has had no further arrests, adjudications, or convictions of sex offenses involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 8 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW, and (i) the court finds that 9 10 unsupervised contact between the child and the parent that may occur 11 in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile is appropriate and poses 12 minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a 13 state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker 14 with expertise in treatment of child sexual abuse victims who has 15 supervised at least one period of residential time between the parent 16 and the child in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile, and after consideration of evidence of the adjudicated juvenile's compliance 17 18 with community supervision or parole requirements, if any. If the adjudicated juvenile was not ordered by a court to participate in 19 20 treatment for sex offenders, then the adjudicated juvenile shall 21 obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted by a certified sex 22 offender treatment provider or a certified affiliate sex offender treatment provider indicating that the adjudicated juvenile has the 23 24 lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants 25 unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur 26 in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile who is residing with the 27 parent.

28 (m) (i) The limitations imposed by the court under (a) or (b) of 29 this subsection shall be reasonably calculated to protect the child 30 from the physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could 31 result if the child has contact with the parent requesting 32 residential time. The limitations shall also be reasonably calculated 33 to provide for the safety of the parent who may be at risk of physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could result if the 34 35 parent has contact with the parent requesting residential time. The limitations the court may impose include, but are not limited to: 36 37 Supervised contact between the child and the parent or completion of 38 relevant counseling or treatment. If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that limitations on the residential time with the 39 40 child will not adequately protect the child from the harm or abuse

1 that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting 2 residential time, the court shall restrain the parent requesting 3 residential time from all contact with the child.

(ii) The court shall not enter an order under (a) of this 4 5 subsection allowing a parent to have contact with a child if the 6 parent has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil 7 action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused the child, except upon recommendation by an 8 evaluator or therapist for the child that the child is ready for 9 10 contact with the parent and will not be harmed by the contact. The 11 court shall not enter an order allowing a parent to have contact with the child in the offender's presence if the parent resides with a 12 13 person who has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action 14 to have sexually abused a child, unless the court finds that the 15 16 parent accepts that the person engaged in the harmful conduct and the parent is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm 17 18 from the person.

19 (iii) The court shall not enter an order under (a) of this 20 subsection allowing a parent to have contact with a child if the 21 parent has been found by clear and convincing evidence pursuant to 22 RCW 26.26A.465 to have committed sexual assault, as defined in RCW 23 26.26A.465, against the child's parent, and that the child was born 24 within three hundred twenty days of the sexual assault.

25 (iv) If the court limits residential time under (a) or (b) of 26 this subsection to require supervised contact between the child and 27 the parent, the court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact 28 between a child and a parent who has engaged in physical, sexual, or 29 a pattern of emotional abuse of the child unless the court finds 30 based upon the evidence that the supervisor accepts that the harmful 31 conduct occurred and is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the 32 supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor 33 has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing to or capable 34 35 of protecting the child.

36 (n) If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that 37 contact between the parent and the child will not cause physical, 38 sexual, or emotional abuse or harm to the child and that the 39 probability that the parent's or other person's harmful or abusive 40 conduct will recur is so remote that it would not be in the child's

1 best interests to apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (iv) of this subsection, or if the court expressly finds that the 2 parent's conduct did not have an impact on the child, then the court 3 need not apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m) (i) and (iv) of 4 this subsection. The weight given to the existence of a protection 5 6 order issued under chapter 7.105 RCW or former chapter 26.50 RCW as to domestic violence is within the discretion of the court. This 7 subsection shall not apply when (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), 8 9 (j), (k), (l), and (m) (ii) of this subsection apply. 10 (3)), or any sexual assault; or (iii) Sexual abuse of a child. Required limitations and 11 12 considerations on a parent who resides with someone convicted of a 13 sex offense against a child or found to have sexually abused a child in the current case or a prior case are addressed in section 2 of 14 15 this act. 16 (c) PARENTAL CONDUCT THAT MAY RESULT IN LIMITATIONS ON A PARENT'S 17 RESIDENTIAL TIME. A parent's involvement or conduct may have an adverse effect on the child's best interests, and the court may 18 19 preclude or limit any provisions of the parenting plan, if any of the

20 following factors exist:

21 (((a))) <u>(i)</u> A parent's neglect or substantial nonperformance of 22 parenting functions;

23 (((b))) (ii) A long-term emotional or physical impairment 24 ((which)) that interferes with the parent's performance of parenting 25 functions ((as defined in RCW 26.09.004));

26 (((c))) <u>(iii)</u> A long-term impairment resulting from drug, 27 alcohol, or other substance abuse that interferes with the 28 performance of parenting functions;

29 (((d))) <u>(iv)</u> The absence or substantial impairment of emotional 30 ties between the parent and the child;

(((e) The)) <u>(v) A parent has engaged in the</u> abusive use of 31 32 conflict ((by the parent)) which creates the danger of serious damage 33 to the child's psychological development((. Abusive use of conflict 34 includes, but is not limited to, abusive litigation as defined in RCW 35 26.51.020. If the court finds a parent has engaged in abusive litigation, the court may impose any restrictions or remedies set 36 37 forth in chapter 26.51 RCW in addition to including a finding in the parenting plan. Litigation that is aggressive or improper but that 38 does not meet the definition of abusive litigation shall not 39 40 constitute a basis for a finding under this section. A report made in 1 good faith to law enforcement, a medical professional, or child 2 protective services of sexual, physical, or mental abuse of a child 3 shall not constitute a basis for a finding of abusive use of 4 conflict;

5 (f)))<u>;</u>

6 <u>(vi)</u> A parent has withheld from the other parent access to the 7 child for a protracted period without good cause. Withholding does 8 not include protective actions taken by a parent in good faith for 9 the legitimate and lawful purpose of protecting themselves or the 10 parent's child from the risk of harm posed by the other parent; or

11 (((g))) <u>(vii)</u> Such other factors or conduct as the court 12 expressly finds adverse to the best interests of the child.

13 (((4) In cases involving allegations of limiting factors under 14 subsection (2)(a)(ii) and (iii) of this section, both parties shall 15 be screened to determine the appropriateness of a comprehensive 16 assessment regarding the impact of the limiting factor on the child 17 and the parties.

18 (5) In entering a permanent parenting plan, the court shall not 19 draw any presumptions from the provisions of the temporary parenting 20 plan.

21 (6) In determining whether any of the conduct described in this
22 section has occurred, the court shall apply the civil rules of
23 evidence, proof, and procedure.

24

(7) For the purposes of this section:

25 (a) "A parent's child" means that parent's natural child, adopted 26 child, or stepchild; and

27 (b) "Social worker" means a person with a master's or further 28 advanced degree from a social work educational program accredited and 29 approved as provided in RCW 18.320.010.)

30 (d) LIMITATIONS A COURT MAY IMPOSE ON A PARENT'S RESIDENTIAL 31 TIME. The limitations that may be imposed by the court under this 32 section shall be reasonably calculated to protect a child from the physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could result if a 33 34 child has contact with the parent requesting residential time. The limitations shall also be reasonably calculated to provide for the 35 safety of the parent who may be at risk of physical, sexual, or 36 emotional abuse or harm that could result if the parent has contact 37 with the other parent. The limitations the court may impose include, 38 39 but are not limited to:

(i) SUPERVISED VISITATION. A court may, in its discretion, order
 supervised contact between a child and the parent.

(A) If the court requires supervised visitation, there is a 3 presumption that the supervision shall be provided by a professional 4 supervisor. This presumption is overcome if the court finds: (I) 5 6 There is a lay person who has demonstrated through sworn testimony 7 and evidence of past interactions with children that they are capable and committed to protecting the child from physical or emotional 8 abuse or harm; and (II) the parent is unable to access professional 9 supervision due to (1) geographic isolation or other factors that 10 would make professionally supervised visitation inaccessible or (2) 11 12 financial indigency that has been demonstrated by a general rule 34 waiver or other evidence that the parent's current income and 13 necessary expenses do not allow for the cost of professional 14 15 supervision.

16 (B) For all supervision, the court shall include clear written 17 quidelines and prohibitions to be followed by the supervised party. No visits shall take place until the supervised parent and 18 supervisor, or designated representative of a professional 19 supervision program, have signed an acknowledgment confirming that 20 they have read the court orders and the guidelines and prohibitions 21 regarding visitation and agree to follow them. The court shall only 22 23 permit supervision by an individual or program that is committed to protecting the child from any physical or emotional abuse or harm and 24 is willing and capable of intervening in behaviors inconsistent with 25 the court orders and guidelines. 26

27 (C) A parent may seek an emergency ex parte order temporarily 28 suspending residential time until review by the court if: (I) The supervised parent repeatedly violates the court order or quidelines; 29 30 (II) the supervised parent threatens the supervisor or child with physical harm, commits an act of domestic violence, or materially 31 32 violates any treatment condition associated with any restrictions under this section (a missed counseling appointment does not 33 34 constitute a violation); (III) the supervisor is unable or unwilling to protect the child and/or the protected parent; or (IV) the 35 supervisor is no longer willing to provide service to the supervised 36 parent. The court suspending residential time shall set a review 37 hearing to take place within 14 days of entering the ex parte order. 38 39 (ii) EVALUATION OR TREATMENT. The court may order a parent to 40 undergo evaluations for such issues as domestic violence

1 perpetration, substance use disorder, mental health, or anger management, with collateral input provided from the other parent. Any 2 3 evaluation report that does not include collateral input must provide details as to why and the attempts made to obtain collateral input. 4 (A) The court may also order that a parent complete treatment for 5 6 any of these issues if the need for treatment is supported by the 7 evidence and the evidence supports a finding that the issue interferes with parenting functions. 8 9 (B) A parent's residential time and decision-making authority may be conditioned on the parent's completion of an evaluation or 10 treatment ordered by the court. 11 12 (iii) NO CONTACT. If, based on the evidence, the court expressly finds that limitations on the residential time with a child will not 13 adequately protect a child from the harm or abuse that could result 14 if a child has contact with the parent requesting residential time, 15 16 the court shall restrain the parent requesting residential time from 17 all contact with a child. 18 (5) LIMITATIONS ON DECISION MAKING AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION. Except 19 for circumstances provided in subsection (6) (b) of this section, the court shall order sole decision making and no dispute resolution 20 other than court action if it is found that a parent has engaged in 21 22 any of the following conduct: 23 (a) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended period; 24 (b) Physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; 25 (c) A history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26 7.105.010; or (d) An assault that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of 27 28 such harm or any sexual assault. 29 (6) DETERMINATION NOT TO IMPOSE LIMITATIONS. (a) If the court makes express written findings based on clear 30 31 and convincing evidence that contact between the parent and the child will not cause physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm to the 32 child and that the probability that the parent's or other person's 33 harmful or abusive conduct will recur is so remote that it would not 34 be in the child's best interests to apply limitations to residential 35 36 time under subsection (4) of this section, then the court need not apply the limitations of subsection (4) of this section. This 37 subsection shall not apply to findings of sexual abuse which are 38 39 governed by section 2 of this act.

1 (b) If the court makes express written findings based on clear and convincing evidence that it would be contrary to the child's best 2 interests to order sole decision making or preclude dispute 3 resolution under subsection (5) of this section, the court need not 4 apply those limitations. Where there has been a finding of domestic 5 6 violence, there is a rebuttable presumption that there will be sole decision making. The court shall not require face-to-face mediation, 7 arbitration, or interventions, including therapeutic interventions, 8 that require the parties to share the same physical or virtual space 9 if there has been a finding of domestic violence. 10

11 (c) In determining whether there is clear and convincing evidence 12 supporting a determination not to impose limitations, the court shall 13 consider and make express written findings on all of the following 14 factors:

15 (i) Any current risk posed by the parent to the physical or 16 psychological well-being of the child or other parent;

17 (ii) Whether a parent has demonstrated that they can and will 18 prioritize the child's physical and psychological well-being;

19 <u>(iii) Whether a parent has adhered to and is likely to adhere to</u> 20 <u>court orders;</u>

21 (iv) Whether a parent has genuinely acknowledged past harm and is 22 committed to avoiding harm in the future; and

23 (v) A parent's compliance with any previously court-ordered 24 treatment. A parent's compliance with the requirements for 25 participation in a treatment program does not, by itself, constitute 26 evidence that the parent has made the requisite changes.

27

(7) WHEN LIMITATIONS APPLY TO BOTH PARENTS.

28 (a) When mandatory limitations in subsection (4)(a) or (b) of this section apply to both parents, the court may make an exception 29 in applying mandatory limitations. The court shall make detailed 30 31 written findings regarding the comparative risk of harm to the child 32 posed by each parent, and shall explain the limitations imposed on each parent, including any decision not to impose restrictions on a 33 34 parent or to award decision making to a parent who is subject to 35 limitations.

36 (b) When mandatory limitations under subsection (4) (a) or (b) of 37 this section apply to one parent and discretionary limitations under 38 subsection (4) (c) of this section apply to another parent, there is a 39 presumption that the mandatory limitations shall have priority in 40 setting the limitations of the residential schedule, decision making, 1 <u>and dispute resolution. If the court deviates from this presumption,</u> 2 <u>the court shall make detailed written findings as to the reasons for</u> 3 <u>the deviation.</u>

4 <u>(c) When discretionary limitations in subsection (4)(c) of this</u> 5 <u>section apply to both parents, the court shall make detailed written</u> 6 <u>findings regarding the comparative risk of harm to the child posed by</u> 7 <u>each parent, and shall explain the limitations imposed on each</u> 8 <u>parent, including any decision not to impose restrictions on a parent</u> 9 <u>or to award decision making to a parent who is subject to limitations</u> 10 <u>in subsection (4)(c) of this section.</u>

(d) In making the determinations under (a), (b), or (c) of this 11 12 subsection, the court shall consider the best interests of the child and which parenting arrangement best maintains a child's emotional 13 growth, health and stability, and physical care. Further, the best 14 15 interests of the child are ordinarily served when the existing pattern of interaction between a parent and child is altered only to 16 17 the extent necessitated by the changed relationship of the parents or as required to protect the child from physical, mental, or emotional 18 19 harm.

20 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 26.09 21 RCW to read as follows:

This section governs limitations on residential provisions, decision-making authority, and dispute resolution when a parent, or a person the parent resides with, has been convicted of a sex offense against a child or found to have sexually abused a child.

(1) SEXUALLY VIOLENT PREDATORS. If a parent has been found to be 26 27 a sexually violent predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an 28 analogous statute of any other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed 29 30 under this chapter. If a parent resides with an adult or a juvenile 31 who has been found to be a sexually violent predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other jurisdiction, 32 the court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's 33 child except contact that occurs outside the predator's presence. 34

35 (2) CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE BY PARENT.

36 (a) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who has been 37 convicted as an adult of a sex offense against any child in this or 38 another jurisdiction poses a present danger to a child. Unless the 39 parent rebuts this presumption, the court shall restrain the parent

1 from all contact with the parent's child that would otherwise be 2 allowed under this chapter.

3 (b) The court shall not enter an order allowing a parent to have 4 contact with the parent's child if the parent has been found by a 5 preponderance of the evidence in a dependency or family law action, 6 including in the current case, to have sexually abused that child, 7 except upon recommendation by an evaluator or therapist for the child 8 that the child is ready for contact with the parent and will not be 9 harmed by the contact.

10 (3) PARENT RESIDING WITH A PERSON FOUND TO HAVE SEXUALLY ABUSED A 11 CHILD.

12 (a) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who knowingly 13 resides with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted of a sex 14 offense against a child, or as a juvenile has been adjudicated of a sex offense against a child at least eight years younger, in this or 15 16 another jurisdiction, places a child at risk of abuse or harm when 17 that parent exercises residential time in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person. Unless the parent rebuts the 18 presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with 19 20 the parent's child except for contact that occurs outside of the 21 convicted or adjudicated person's presence.

(b) The court shall not enter an order allowing a parent to have 22 contact with the child in the offender's presence if the parent 23 resides with a person who has been found by a preponderance of the 24 25 evidence in a dependency or family law action, including in the 26 current case, to have sexually abused a child, unless the court finds 27 that the parent accepts that the person engaged in the harmful conduct and the parent is willing to and capable of protecting the 28 29 child from harm from the person.

30

(4) REBUTTING THE PRESUMPTION OF NO CONTACT.

(a) OFFENDING PARENT. The presumption established in subsection
 (2) (a) of this section may be rebutted only after a written finding
 that:

(i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, and (B) the offending parent has provided documentation that they have successfully completed treatment for sex offenders or are engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court; or

1 (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child 2 3 and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of 4 sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the 5 6 child and the offending parent is in the child's best interest, and 7 (C) the offending parent has provided documentation that they have successfully completed treatment for sex offenders or are engaged in 8 and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court. 9

10 (b) PARENT RESIDES WITH OFFENDING PERSON. The presumption 11 established in subsection (3)(a) of this section may be rebutted only 12 after a written finding that:

(i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed 13 by the person who is residing with the parent requesting residential 14 time, (A) contact between the child and the parent residing with the 15 16 convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and that parent is 17 able to protect the child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person, and (B) the convicted or adjudicated person has 18 19 provided documentation that they have successfully completed treatment for sex offenders or are engaged in and making progress in 20 21 such treatment, if any was ordered by a court; or

22 (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by 23 the person who is residing with the parent requesting residential time, (A) contact between the child and the parent in the presence of 24 25 the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal 26 risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact 27 between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or 28 adjudicated person in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated 29 person is in the child's best interest, and (C) the convicted or 30 31 adjudicated person has provided documentation that they have 32 successfully completed treatment for sex offenders or are engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court. 33

34

(c) CONTACT IF PRESUMPTION REBUTTED.

(i) (A) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (a) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense against a child to have residential time with the child supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such residential time.

1 (B) The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact 2 between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the 3 evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting 4 the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the 5 supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor 6 has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of 7 protecting the child;

(ii) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of 8 rebutting the presumption under (b) of this subsection, the court may 9 allow a parent residing with a person who has been convicted of a sex 10 11 offense against a child or adjudicated of a juvenile sex offense with 12 a child at least eight years younger to have residential time with the child in the presence of that person, supervised by a neutral and 13 independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of 14 such residential time. The supervisor may be the parent if the court 15 16 finds, based on the evidence, that the parent is willing and capable 17 of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor, including the parent, upon finding, based 18 19 on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child; 20

(iii) A court shall not order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child of the offending parent who was sexually abused by that parent;

(iv) A court may order unsupervised contact between the offending 24 25 parent and a child who was not sexually abused by the parent after the presumption under subsection (2)(a) of this section has been 26 rebutted pursuant to (a) of this subsection and supervised 27 28 residential time has occurred for at least two years with no further arrests or convictions of sex offenses involving children and (A) the 29 sex offense of the offending parent was not committed against a child 30 31 of the offending parent, and (B) the court finds that unsupervised 32 contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony 33 of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, or social 34 worker with expertise in treating child sexual abuse victims who has 35 supervised at least one period of residential time between the parent 36 and the child, and after consideration of evidence of the offending 37 parent's compliance with community supervision requirements, if any. 38 39 If the offending parent was not ordered by a court to participate in 40 treatment for sex offenders, then the parent shall obtain a

SB 5575

1 psychosexual evaluation conducted by a certified sex offender 2 treatment provider or a certified affiliate sex offender treatment 3 provider indicating that the offender has the lowest likelihood of 4 risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between 5 the parent and a child.

6 (5) RESTRICTED DECISION MAKING AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION. The 7 parenting plan shall not require mutual decision making or 8 designation of a dispute resolution process other than court action 9 if it is found that a parent has been convicted as an adult of a sex 10 offense against any child in this or any other jurisdiction or has 11 been found to be a sexually violent predator under chapter 71.09 RCW 12 or under an analogous statute of any other jurisdiction.

13 Sec. 3. RCW 11.130.215 and 2022 c 243 s 8 are each amended to 14 read as follows:

(1) After a hearing under RCW 11.130.195, the court may appoint a
guardian for a minor, if appointment is proper under RCW 11.130.185,
dismiss the proceeding, or take other appropriate action consistent
with this chapter or law of this state other than this chapter.

19 (2) In appointing a guardian under subsection (1) of this 20 section, the following rules apply:

(a) The court shall appoint a person nominated as guardian by a parent of the minor in a probated will or other record unless the court finds the appointment is contrary to the best interest of the minor. Any "other record" must be a declaration or other sworn document and may include a power of attorney or other sworn statement as to the care, custody, or control of the minor child.

(b) If multiple parents have nominated different persons to serve as guardian, the court shall appoint the nominee whose appointment is in the best interest of the minor, unless the court finds that appointment of none of the nominees is in the best interest of the minor.

32 (c) If a guardian is not appointed under (a) or (b) of this 33 subsection, the court shall appoint the person nominated by the minor 34 if the minor is twelve years of age or older unless the court finds 35 that appointment is contrary to the best interest of the minor. In 36 that case, the court shall appoint as guardian a person whose 37 appointment is in the best interest of the minor.

38 (3) In the interest of maintaining or encouraging involvement by 39 a minor's parent in the minor's life, developing self-reliance of the

1 minor, or for other good cause, the court, at the time of appointment 2 of a guardian for the minor or later, on its own or on motion of the 3 minor or other interested person, may create a limited guardianship 4 by limiting the powers otherwise granted by this article to the 5 guardian. Following the same procedure, the court may grant 6 additional powers or withdraw powers previously granted.

7 (4) The court, as part of an order appointing a guardian for a minor, shall state rights retained by any parent of the minor, which 8 shall preserve the parent-child relationship through an order for 9 parent-child visitation and other contact, unless the court finds the 10 relationship should be limited or restricted under RCW 26.09.191 or 11 12 section 2 of this act; and which may include decision making regarding the minor's health care, education, or other matter, or 13 14 access to a record regarding the minor.

15 (5) An order granting a guardianship for a minor must state that 16 each parent of the minor is entitled to notice that:

17 (a) The guardian has delegated custody of the minor subject to18 guardianship;

19 (b) The court has modified or limited the powers of the guardian; 20 or

21

(c) The court has removed the guardian.

(6) An order granting a guardianship for a minor must identify any person in addition to a parent of the minor which is entitled to notice of the events listed in subsection (5) of this section.

(7) An order granting guardianship for a minor must direct the clerk of the court to issue letters of office to the guardian containing an expiration date which should be the minor's eighteenth birthday.

29 Sec. 4. RCW 26.09.187 and 2007 c 496 s 603 are each amended to 30 read as follows:

31 (1) DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCESS. The court shall not order a dispute resolution process, except court action, when it finds that 32 any limiting factor under RCW 26.09.191 or section 2 of this act 33 applies, or when it finds that either parent is unable to afford the 34 35 cost of the proposed dispute resolution process. If a dispute resolution process is not precluded or limited, then in designating 36 37 such a process the court shall consider all relevant factors, 38 including:

(a) Differences between the parents that would substantially
 inhibit their effective participation in any designated process;

3 (b) The parents' wishes or agreements and, if the parents have 4 entered into agreements, whether the agreements were made knowingly 5 and voluntarily; and

6 (c) Differences in the parents' financial circumstances that may 7 affect their ability to participate fully in a given dispute 8 resolution process.

9

(2) ALLOCATION OF DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY.

10 (a) AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE PARTIES. The court shall approve 11 agreements of the parties allocating decision-making authority, or 12 specifying rules in the areas listed in RCW 26.09.184(5)(a), when it 13 finds that:

14 (i) The agreement is consistent with any limitations on a 15 parent's decision-making authority mandated by RCW 26.09.191 <u>and</u> 16 <u>section 2 of this act</u>; and

17

(ii) The agreement is knowing and voluntary.

18 (b) SOLE DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY. The court shall order sole 19 decision-making to one parent when it finds that:

(i) A limitation on the other parent's decision-making authority
is mandated by RCW 26.09.191 or section 2 of this act;

22

(ii) Both parents are opposed to mutual decision making;

(iii) One parent is opposed to mutual decision making, and such opposition is reasonable based on the criteria in (c) of this subsection.

(c) MUTUAL DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY. Except as provided in (a)
 and (b) of this subsection, the court shall consider the following
 criteria in allocating decision-making authority:

(i) The existence of a limitation under RCW 26.09.191 or section
 <u>2 of this act</u>;

31 (ii) The history of participation of each parent in decision 32 making in each of the areas in RCW 26.09.184(5)(a);

33 (iii) Whether the parents have a demonstrated ability and desire 34 to cooperate with one another in decision making in each of the areas 35 in RCW 26.09.184(5)(a); and

(iv) The parents' geographic proximity to one another, to the
 extent that it affects their ability to make timely mutual decisions.

38 (3) RESIDENTIAL PROVISIONS.

(a) The court shall make residential provisions for each childwhich encourage each parent to maintain a loving, stable, and

1 nurturing relationship with the child, consistent with the child's family's level and the 2 developmental social and economic circumstances. The child's residential schedule shall be consistent 3 with RCW 26.09.191 and section 2 of this act. Where the limitations 4 of RCW 26.09.191 or section 2 of this act are not dispositive of the 5 6 child's residential schedule, the court shall consider the following 7 factors:

8 (i) The relative strength, nature, and stability of the child's 9 relationship with each parent;

10 (ii) The agreements of the parties, provided they were entered 11 into knowingly and voluntarily;

(iii) Each parent's past and potential for future performance of parenting functions as defined in RCW 26.09.004((-(-3))) (2), including whether a parent has taken greater responsibility for performing parenting functions relating to the daily needs of the child;

16

(iv) The emotional needs and developmental level of the child;

(v) The child's relationship with siblings and with other significant adults, as well as the child's involvement with his or her physical surroundings, school, or other significant activities;

(vi) The wishes of the parents and the wishes of a child who is sufficiently mature to express reasoned and independent preferences as to his or her residential schedule; and

23 (vii) Each parent's employment schedule, and shall make 24 accommodations consistent with those schedules.

25

Factor (i) shall be given the greatest weight.

(b) Where the limitations of RCW 26.09.191 or section 2 of this 26 27 act are not dispositive, the court may order that a child frequently 28 alternate his or her residence between the households of the parents for brief and substantially equal intervals of time if such provision 29 is in the best interests of the child. In determining whether such an 30 31 arrangement is in the best interests of the child, the court may 32 consider the parties geographic proximity to the extent necessary to 33 ensure the ability to share performance of the parenting functions.

34 (c) For any child, residential provisions may contain any 35 reasonable terms or conditions that facilitate the orderly and 36 meaningful exercise of residential time by a parent, including but 37 not limited to requirements of reasonable notice when residential 38 time will not occur. 1 Sec. 5. RCW 26.09.194 and 2008 c 6 s 1045 are each amended to 2 read as follows:

(1) A parent seeking a temporary order relating to parenting 3 shall file and serve a proposed temporary parenting plan by motion. 4 The other parent, if contesting the proposed temporary parenting 5 6 plan, shall file and serve a responsive proposed parenting plan. 7 Either parent may move to have a proposed temporary parenting plan entered as part of a temporary order. The parents may enter an agreed 8 9 temporary parenting plan at any time as part of a temporary order. The proposed temporary parenting plan may be supported by relevant 10 11 evidence and shall be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration 12 which shall state at a minimum the following:

(a) The name, address, and length of residence with the person or persons with whom the child has lived for the preceding twelve months;

16 (b) The performance by each parent during the last twelve months 17 of the parenting functions relating to the daily needs of the child;

18 (c) The parents' work and child-care schedules for the preceding 19 twelve months;

20

(d) The parents' current work and child-care schedules; and

(e) Any of the circumstances set forth in RCW 26.09.191 <u>or</u> section 2 of this act that are likely to pose a serious risk to the child and that warrant limitation on the award to a parent of temporary residence or time with the child pending entry of a permanent parenting plan.

(2) At the hearing, the court shall enter a temporary parentingorder incorporating a temporary parenting plan which includes:

(a) A schedule for the child's time with each parent whenappropriate;

30

(b) Designation of a temporary residence for the child;

31 (c) Allocation of decision-making authority, if any. Absent 32 allocation of decision-making authority consistent with RCW 33 26.09.187(2), neither party shall make any decision for the child 34 other than those relating to day-to-day or emergency care of the 35 child, which shall be made by the party who is present with the 36 child;

37 (d) Provisions for temporary support for the child; and

38 (e) Restraining orders, if applicable, under RCW 26.09.060.

1 (3) A parent may make a motion for an order to show cause and the 2 court may enter a temporary order, including a temporary parenting 3 plan, upon a showing of necessity.

4 (4) A parent may move for amendment of a temporary parenting
5 plan, and the court may order amendment to the temporary parenting
6 plan, if the amendment conforms to the limitations of RCW 26.09.191
7 and section 2 of this act and is in the best interest of the child.

8 (5) If a proceeding for dissolution of marriage or dissolution of 9 domestic partnership, legal separation, or declaration of invalidity 10 is dismissed, any temporary order or temporary parenting plan is 11 vacated.

12 Sec. 6. RCW 26.09.260 and 2009 c 502 s 3 are each amended to 13 read as follows:

(1) Except as otherwise provided in subsections (4), (5), (6), 14 15 (8), and (10) of this section, the court shall not modify a prior custody decree or a parenting plan unless it finds, upon the basis of 16 17 facts that have arisen since the prior decree or plan or that were unknown to the court at the time of the prior decree or plan, that a 18 substantial change has occurred in the circumstances of the child or 19 20 the nonmoving party and that the modification is in the best interest of the child and is necessary to serve the best interests of the 21 child. The effect of a parent's military duties potentially impacting 22 23 parenting functions shall not, by itself, be a substantial change of 24 circumstances justifying a permanent modification of a prior decree 25 or plan.

26 (2) In applying these standards, the court shall retain the 27 residential schedule established by the decree or parenting plan 28 unless:

29

(a) The parents agree to the modification;

30 (b) The child has been integrated into the family of the 31 petitioner with the consent of the other parent in substantial 32 deviation from the parenting plan;

33 (c) The child's present environment is detrimental to the child's 34 physical, mental, or emotional health and the harm likely to be 35 caused by a change of environment is outweighed by the advantage of a 36 change to the child; or

37 (d) The court has found the nonmoving parent in contempt of court 38 at least twice within three years because the parent failed to comply 39 with the residential time provisions in the court-ordered parenting

1 plan, or the parent has been convicted of custodial interference in 2 the first or second degree under RCW 9A.40.060 or 9A.40.070.

3 (3) A conviction of custodial interference in the first or second
4 degree under RCW 9A.40.060 or 9A.40.070 shall constitute a
5 substantial change of circumstances for the purposes of this section.

6 (4) The court may reduce or restrict contact between the child 7 and the parent with whom the child does not reside a majority of the 8 time if it finds that the reduction or restriction would serve and 9 protect the best interests of the child using the criteria in RCW 10 26.09.191 <u>and section 2 of this act</u>.

11 (5) The court may order adjustments to the residential aspects of 12 a parenting plan upon a showing of a substantial change in 13 circumstances of either parent or of the child, and without 14 consideration of the factors set forth in subsection (2) of this 15 section, if the proposed modification is only a minor modification in 16 the residential schedule that does not change the residence the child 17 is scheduled to reside in the majority of the time and:

18

(a) Does not exceed twenty-four full days in a calendar year; or

(b) Is based on a change of residence of the parent with whom the child does not reside the majority of the time or an involuntary change in work schedule by a parent which makes the residential schedule in the parenting plan impractical to follow; or

23 (c) Does not result in a schedule that exceeds ninety overnights per year in total, if the court finds that, at the time the petition 24 25 for modification is filed, the decree of dissolution or parenting plan does not provide reasonable time with the parent with whom the 26 child does not reside a majority of the time, and further, the court 27 28 finds that it is in the best interests of the child to increase residential time with the parent in excess of the residential time 29 period in (a) of this subsection. However, any motion under this 30 31 subsection (5)(c) is subject to the factors established in subsection 32 (2) of this section if the party bringing the petition has previously been granted a modification under this same subsection within twenty-33 four months of the current motion. Relief granted under this section 34 shall not be the sole basis for adjusting or modifying child support. 35

36 (6) The court may order adjustments to the residential aspects of 37 a parenting plan pursuant to a proceeding to permit or restrain a 38 relocation of the child. The person objecting to the relocation of 39 the child or the relocating person's proposed revised residential 40 schedule may file a petition to modify the parenting plan, including

a change of the residence in which the child resides the majority of 1 the time, without a showing of adequate cause other than the proposed 2 3 relocation itself. A hearing to determine adequate cause for modification shall not be required so long as the request for 4 relocation of the child is being pursued. In making a determination 5 6 of a modification pursuant to relocation of the child, the court shall first determine whether to permit or restrain the relocation of 7 the child using the procedures and standards provided in RCW 8 26.09.405 through 26.09.560. Following that determination, the court 9 shall determine what modification pursuant to relocation should be 10 made, if any, to the parenting plan or custody order or visitation 11 12 order.

13 (7) A parent with whom the child does not reside a majority of 14 the time and whose residential time with the child is subject to 15 limitations pursuant to RCW 26.09.191 (((2) or (3))) or section 2 of 16 <u>this act</u> may not seek expansion of residential time under subsection 17 (5)(c) of this section unless that parent demonstrates a substantial 18 change in circumstances specifically related to the basis for the 19 limitation.

(8) (a) If a parent with whom the child does not reside a majority of the time voluntarily fails to exercise residential time for an extended period, that is, one year or longer, the court upon proper motion may make adjustments to the parenting plan in keeping with the best interests of the minor child.

(b) For the purposes of determining whether the parent has failed to exercise residential time for one year or longer, the court may not count any time periods during which the parent did not exercise residential time due to the effect of the parent's military duties potentially impacting parenting functions.

30 (9) A parent with whom the child does not reside a majority of 31 the time who is required by the existing parenting plan to complete 32 evaluations, treatment, parenting, or other classes may not seek 33 expansion of residential time under subsection (5)(c) of this section 34 unless that parent has fully complied with such requirements.

(10) The court may order adjustments to any of the nonresidential aspects of a parenting plan upon a showing of a substantial change of circumstances of either parent or of a child, and the adjustment is in the best interest of the child. Adjustments ordered under this section may be made without consideration of the factors set forth in subsection (2) of this section. 1 (11) If the parent with whom the child resides a majority of the 2 time receives temporary duty, deployment, activation, or mobilization 3 orders from the military that involve moving a substantial distance 4 away from the parent's residence or otherwise would have a material 5 effect on the parent's ability to exercise parenting functions and 6 primary placement responsibilities, then:

7 (a) Any temporary custody order for the child during the parent's absence shall end no later than ten days after the returning parent 8 provides notice to the temporary custodian, but shall not impair the 9 discretion of the court to conduct an expedited or emergency hearing 10 11 for resolution of the child's residential placement upon return of 12 the parent and within ten days of the filing of a motion alleging an immediate danger of irreparable harm to the child. If a motion 13 alleging immediate danger has not been filed, the motion for an order 14 restoring the previous residential schedule shall be granted; and 15

16 (b) The temporary duty, activation, mobilization, or deployment 17 and the temporary disruption to the child's schedule shall not be a 18 factor in a determination of change of circumstances if a motion is 19 filed to transfer residential placement from the parent who is a 20 military service member.

21 (12) If a parent receives military temporary duty, deployment, activation, or mobilization orders that involve moving a substantial 22 distance away from the military parent's residence or otherwise have 23 a material effect on the military parent's ability to exercise 24 25 residential time or visitation rights, at the request of the military 26 parent, the court may delegate the military parent's residential time or visitation rights, or a portion thereof, to a child's family 27 member, including a stepparent, or another person other than a 28 parent, with a close and substantial relationship to the minor child 29 for the duration of the military parent's absence, if delegating 30 31 residential time or visitation rights is in the child's best 32 interest. The court may not permit the delegation of residential time or visitation rights to a person who would be subject to limitations 33 on residential time under RCW 26.09.191 or section 2 of this act. The 34 parties shall attempt to resolve disputes regarding delegation of 35 36 residential time or visitation rights through the dispute resolution process specified in their parenting plan, unless excused by the 37 court for good cause shown. Such a court-ordered temporary delegation 38 39 of a military parent's residential time or visitation rights does not

create separate rights to residential time or visitation for a person
 other than a parent.

3 (13) If the court finds that a motion to modify a prior decree or 4 parenting plan has been brought in bad faith, the court shall assess 5 the attorney's fees and court costs of the nonmoving parent against 6 the moving party.

7 Sec. 7. RCW 26.09.520 and 2019 c 79 s 3 are each amended to read 8 as follows:

The person proposing to relocate with the child shall provide his 9 10 or her reasons for the intended relocation. There is a rebuttable presumption that the intended relocation of the child will be 11 permitted. A person entitled to object to the intended relocation of 12 13 the child may rebut the presumption by demonstrating that the detrimental effect of the relocation outweighs the benefit of the 14 15 change to the child and the relocating person, based upon the following factors. The factors listed in this section are not 16 17 weighted. No inference is to be drawn from the order in which the following factors are listed: 18

19 (1) The relative strength, nature, quality, extent of 20 involvement, and stability of the child's relationship with each 21 parent, siblings, and other significant persons in the child's life;

22

(2) Prior agreements of the parties;

(3) Whether disrupting the contact between the child and the person seeking relocation would be more detrimental to the child than disrupting contact between the child and the person objecting to the relocation;

(4) Whether either parent or a person entitled to residential time with the child is subject to limitations under RCW 26.09.191 or section 2 of this act;

30 (5) The reasons of each person for seeking or opposing the 31 relocation and the good faith of each of the parties in requesting or 32 opposing the relocation;

33 (6) The age, developmental stage, and needs of the child, and the 34 likely impact the relocation or its prevention will have on the 35 child's physical, educational, and emotional development, taking into 36 consideration any special needs of the child;

37 (7) The quality of life, resources, and opportunities available 38 to the child and to the relocating party in the current and proposed 39 geographic locations; 1 (8) The availability of alternative arrangements to foster and 2 continue the child's relationship with and access to the other 3 parent;

4 (9) The alternatives to relocation and whether it is feasible and 5 desirable for the other party to relocate also;

6 (10) The financial impact and logistics of the relocation or its 7 prevention; and

8 (11) For a temporary order, the amount of time before a final 9 decision can be made at trial.

10 Sec. 8. RCW 26.12.177 and 2011 c 292 s 7 are each amended to 11 read as follows:

(1) All guardians ad litem appointed under this title must comply 12 with the training requirements established under RCW 2.56.030(15), 13 prior to their appointment in cases under Title 26 RCW, except that 14 15 volunteer guardians ad litem or court-appointed special advocates may 16 comply with alternative training requirements approved by the administrative office of the courts that meet or exceed the statewide 17 18 requirements. In cases involving allegations of limiting factors under RCW 26.09.191 or section 2 of this act, the guardians ad litem 19 20 appointed under this title must have additional relevant training 21 under RCW 2.56.030(15) when it is available.

22 (2) (a) Each guardian ad litem program for compensated guardians ad litem shall establish a rotational registry system for the 23 24 appointment of guardians ad litem under this title. If a judicial district does not have a program the court shall establish the 25 rotational registry system. Guardians ad litem under this title shall 26 27 be selected from the registry except in exceptional circumstances as 28 determined and documented by the court. The parties may make a joint recommendation for the appointment of a guardian ad litem from the 29 30 registry.

31 (b) In judicial districts with a population over one hundred thousand, a list of three names shall be selected from the registry 32 and given to the parties along with the background information record 33 as specified in RCW 26.12.175(3), including their hourly rate for 34 services. Each party may, within three judicial days, strike one name 35 from the list. If more than one name remains on the list, the court 36 shall make the appointment from the names on the list. In the event 37 38 all three names are stricken the person whose name appears next on the registry shall be appointed. 39

1 (c) If a party reasonably believes that the appointed guardian ad 2 litem is inappropriate or unqualified, charges an hourly rate higher than what is reasonable for the particular proceeding, or has a 3 conflict of interest, the party may, within three judicial days from 4 the appointment, move for substitution of the appointed guardian ad 5 6 litem by filing a motion with the court.

(d) Under this section, within either registry referred to in (a) 7 of this subsection, a subregistry may be created that consists of 8 guardians ad litem under contract with the department of social and 9 health services' division of child support. Guardians ad litem on 10 11 such a subregistry shall be selected and appointed in state-initiated 12 paternity cases only.

(e) The superior court shall remove any person from the guardian 13 14 ad litem registry who has been found to have misrepresented his or 15 her qualifications.

(3) The rotational registry system shall not apply to court-16 17 appointed special advocate programs.

Sec. 9. RCW 26.51.020 and 2021 c 215 s 143 and 2021 c 65 s 103 18 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows: 19

20 The definitions in this section apply throughout this chapter unless the context clearly requires otherwise. 21

(1) "Abusive litigation" means litigation where the following 22 23 apply:

24 (a) (i) The opposing parties have a current or former intimate 25 partner relationship;

26 (ii) The party who is filing, initiating, advancing, or 27 continuing the litigation has been found by a court to have committed 28 domestic violence against the other party pursuant to: (A) An order entered under chapter 7.105 RCW or former chapter 26.50 RCW; (B) a 29 30 parenting plan with restrictions based RCW on 31 26.09.191(((2)(a)(iii))) <u>(4)(a)(iii);</u> or (C) a restraining order entered under chapter 26.09, 26.26A, or 26.26B RCW, provided that the 32 issuing court made a specific finding that the restraining order was 33 necessary due to domestic violence; and 34

35 (iii) The litigation is being initiated, advanced, or continued primarily for the purpose of harassing, intimidating, or maintaining 36 37 contact with the other party; and

38

(b) At least one of the following factors apply:

1 (i) Claims, allegations, and other legal contentions made in the 2 litigation are not warranted by existing law or by a reasonable 3 argument for the extension, modification, or reversal of existing 4 law, or the establishment of new law;

5 (ii) Allegations and other factual contentions made in the 6 litigation are without the existence of evidentiary support; or

7 (iii) An issue or issues that are the basis of the litigation 8 have previously been filed in one or more other courts or 9 jurisdictions and the actions have been litigated and disposed of 10 unfavorably to the party filing, initiating, advancing, or continuing 11 the litigation.

12

(2) "Intimate partner" is defined in RCW 7.105.010.

(3) "Litigation" means any kind of legal action or proceeding 13 including, but not limited to: (a) Filing a summons, complaint, 14 demand, or petition; (b) serving a summons, complaint, demand, or 15 petition, regardless of whether it has been filed; (c) filing a 16 17 motion, notice of court date, note for motion docket, or order to appear; (d) serving a motion, notice of court date, note for motion 18 19 docket, or order to appear, regardless of whether it has been filed or scheduled; (e) filing a subpoena, subpoena duces tecum, request 20 21 for interrogatories, request for production, notice of deposition, or 22 other discovery request; or (f) serving a subpoena, subpoena duces 23 tecum, request for interrogatories, request for production, notice of deposition, or other discovery request. 24

(4) "Perpetrator of abusive litigation" means a person who files,
 initiates, advances, or continues litigation in violation of an order
 restricting abusive litigation.

--- END ---