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WAC 132B-128-010 General statement of policy. In accordance with the provisions of sections 32 through 45, chapter 283, Laws of 1969 ex. sess., as amended by chapter 5, Laws of 1970 ex. sess., the following procedures for tenure at Community College District No. 2 will be implemented as of January 29, 1973. These procedures supersede the previously adopted tenure regulations, Grays Harbor College. Granting of tenure should be the rule, not the exception; if denial of tenure becomes the rule, the hiring practices of the college shall be reevaluated.

Tenure policy statement. The only difference between a nontenured and a tenured faculty member is that the latter is evaluated periodically for the purpose of improving services and instruction and the former is evaluated regularly for the additional purpose of granting tenure. The dean of instruction shall hold an election and select a tenure review committee which will interview and evaluate the probationer and make recommendations to the board of trustees regarding the professional qualifications of the nontenured faculty member.

It shall be the policy of Community College District No. 2 that the board of trustees, on the recommendation of the tenure review committee which has interviewed and evaluated the probationer, may grant tenure at any time between the assumption of his faculty position and the end of the three year probationary period, except that compelling reasons must be shown for the award of tenure prior to the third year of probation. [Statutory Authority: RCW 28B.50.140(13). 79-08-129 (Order 79-1, Resolution 11-79), § 132B-128-010, filed 8/1/79; Order, § 132B-128-010, filed 3/28/73.]

WAC 132B-128-020 Definitions. Faculty appointment – Full-time employment as a teacher, counselor, librarian or other position for which the training, experience and responsibilities are comparable as determined by the appointing authority, except administrative appointments; "faculty appointment" shall mean department heads, division heads and administrators to the extent that such department heads, division heads or administrators have had or do have status as a teacher, counselor, or librarian.

Full-time position – One in which the faculty member receives a contract labeled full-time and works a regular load of his division or area for any three complete quarters in one calendar year. Only special circumstances, which shall be described in writing, will permit the faculty member to work less than a regular load and retain a full-time contract.

Dismissal review committee – A committee to hear dismissal cases shall be composed of a member of the administrative staff, a student representative, and members of the teaching faculty. The representatives of the teaching faculty shall represent a majority of the members on each review committee. The members representing the teaching faculty on each review committee shall be selected by a majority of the teaching faculty and faculty division heads acting in a body as specified by the dismissal policy.

Faculty peer – One who holds a faculty appointment.

Probationer – Any individual holding a probationary faculty appointment.

Probationary faculty appointment – A faculty appointment for a designated period of time which may be terminated without sufficient cause upon expiration of the probationer's terms of employment.

Tenure – A faculty appointment for an indefinite period of time which may be revoked only for sufficient cause and by due process.

Tenure review committee – A committee composed of the probationer's faculty peers, a student representative, and a member of the administrative staff of the community college provided that the majority of the committee shall consist of the probationer's faculty peers and that the faculty members be elected as specified by the tenure policy by a majority of the faculty members.

Appointing authority – Shall mean the board of trustees of Community College District No. 2.

Administrative appointment – Shall mean employment in a specific administrative position as determined by the appointing authority.

Administrative position – For purposes of this document, the following positions are considered administrative positions at Grays Harbor College: President, dean of instruction, dean of administration, associate dean for student affairs, associate dean for admissions and records, associate dean for vocational education, associate dean for continuing education, assistant dean for administration, assistant dean for library and media services, coordinator for basic education, coordinator for continuing education, coordinator for child and family studies, coordinator for women's programs. [Statutory Authority: RCW 28B.50.140(13). 79-08-129 (Order 79-1, Resolution 11-79), § 132B-128-020, filed 8/1/79; Order, § 132B-128-020, filed 3/28/73.]

WAC 132B-128-030 Procedure for granting tenure. (1) Selection of the Tenure Review Committee –

(a) A tenure review committee shall be established for each probationer. The committee shall be responsible for
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1. A dismissal review committee created for the express purpose of hearing dismissal cases shall be established no later than October 15 of each academic year (except if this provision is passed after October 15 of any academic year, the dismissal review committee will be chosen within thirty days after passage of this provision), and shall be comprised of the following members:

(a) One member chosen by the college president
(b) Three faculty members chosen by the faculty and division heads acting in a body and in the following manner:

(i) Two individuals will be nominated for each of positions one, two and three by a district–wide random selection process as described in (v) below.
(ii) Two individuals will be nominated in the same manner as (i) above to run for each of three alternate positions identified as alternate one, alternate two and alternate three.
(iii) The nominees receiving a majority of the votes cast will be elected for a one–year term.
(iv) In case of a vacancy in position one, two or three occurring any time after the election, the vacancy will be filled by the alternates, beginning with alternate one.
(v) A district–wide random selection process will be developed by the president of Grays Harbor College. This selection process will be designed to remove any element of preselection or predisposition from the dismissal review committee selection process.

(c) A student representative, who shall be a full–time student, shall be appointed by the Grays Harbor College student council to position number four. The president of the college shall appoint a member to position number five.

2. Evaluation of the probationer

If the probationer disagrees with the tenure review committee's recommendation, he shall be given an opportunity to challenge it before the college president.

3. Final action on tenure

(a) The final decision to award or withhold tenure shall rest with the board of trustees (appointing authority) after it has given reasonable consideration to the recommendations of the tenure review committee, and reasonable consideration to the recommendation of the college president. Any recommendations of the tenure review committee and the president shall be advisory only and not binding upon the board of trustees (appointing authority).
(b) If the probationer is not to be retained, he must be informed no later than the last day of winter quarter.
(c) If the probationer is dismissed prior to the termination of his contract, his case shall be considered by the dismissal review committee in accordance with the laws of the state of Washington and the dismissal policy of Community College District No. 2. [Statutory Authority: RCW 28B.50.140(13). 79–08–129 (Order 79–1, Resolution 11–79), § 132B–128–030, filed 8/1/79; Order, § 132B–128–030, filed 3/28/73.]

dismissal review committee, if the professional requests a hearing.

(4) After receiving the president’s recommendation for dismissal, the affected professional may request a hearing within the following five days. If the president does not receive this request within five days, the professional’s right to a hearing will be deemed waived.

(5) If the president receives a request for a hearing, the dismissal review committee shall, after receiving the written recommendation from the college president, establish a date for a committee hearing giving the faculty member so charged twenty days notice of such hearing, and inform in writing the faculty member so charged of the time, date and place of such hearing.

(6) The dismissal review committee shall:

(a) Hear testimony from all interested parties, including but not limited to other faculty members and students and receive any evidence offered by same;

(b) Afford the faculty member whose case is being heard the right of cross-examination and the opportunity to defend himself and be accompanied by legal counsel;

(c) Allow the college administration to be represented by an assistant attorney general.

(7) The dismissal review committee shall include a neutral presiding officer appointed by the appointing authority. Such presiding or hearing officer shall not be a voting member of the committee; it shall be his responsibility to:

(a) Make all rulings regarding the evidentiary and procedural issues presented during the course of the dismissal review committee hearings;

(b) Meet and confer with the members of the dismissal review committee and advise them in regard to procedural and evidentiary issues considered during the course of the committee’s deliberations;

(c) Appoint a court reporter, who shall operate at the direction of the presiding officer and shall record all testimony, receive all documents and other evidence introduced during the course of hearings, and record any other matters related to the hearing as directed by the presiding officer;

(d) Prepare a record which shall include:

(i) All pleadings, motions and rulings;

(ii) All evidence received or considered;

(iii) A statement of any matters officially noticed;

(iv) All questions and offers of proof, objections and rulings thereon;

(v) Proposed findings and exceptions;

(vi) A copy of the recommendations of the dismissal review committee.

(8) A copy of the above shall be transcribed and furnished upon request to the faculty member whose case is being heard.

(9) The hearing shall be closed. However, interested parties, including but not limited to faculty members and students, will be given an opportunity to present evidence.

(10) Within ten college calendar days of the conclusion of the hearing, the dismissal review committee will arrive at its recommendations in conference on the basis of the hearing. Before doing so, it should give the faculty member or his counsel(s) and the representative designated by the president of the college the opportunity to argue orally before it. If written briefs would be helpful, the dismissal review committee may request them. The dismissal review committee may proceed to a recommendation promptly or await the availability of a transcript if making a fair recommendation would be aided thereby. Within fifteen college calendar days of the conclusion of the hearing the president of the college, the faculty member and the board of trustees will be presented with recommendations in writing and given a copy of the record of the hearing.

(11) The board of trustees shall meet within a reasonable time subsequent to its receipt of the dismissal review committee recommendations to consider those recommendations. The board of trustees shall afford the parties the right to oral and written argument with respect to whether they will dismiss the faculty member involved. The board of trustees may hold such other proceedings as they deem advisable before reaching their decision. A record of the proceedings at the board level shall be made and the final decision shall be based only upon the record made before the board and the dismissal review committee, including the briefs and oral arguments. The decision to dismiss or not to dismiss shall rest, with respect to both the facts and the decision, with the board of trustees after giving reasonable consideration to the recommendations of the dismissal review committee. The dismissal review committee’s recommendations shall be advisory only and in no respect binding in fact or law upon the decision maker, the board of trustees. The board of trustees shall within fifteen days following the conclusion of their review, notify the charged faculty member in writing of its final decision.

(12) Suspension of the faculty member by the president during the administrative proceedings involving him (prior to the final decision of the board of trustees) is justified if immediate harm to himself or others is threatened by his continuance. Any such suspension shall be with pay.

(13) Except for such simple announcements as may be required covering the time of the hearing and similar matters, no public statements about the case shall be made by the faculty member, the dismissal review committee or administrative officers of the board of trustees until all administrative proceedings and appeals have been completed.

(14) Any dismissed faculty member shall have the right to appeal the final decision of the board of trustees within ten days of the receipt of the notice of dismissal. The filing of an appeal shall not stay enforcement of the decision of the board of trustees.

(15) If the president of Grays Harbor College initiates a formal written recommendation that a faculty member be dismissed and the board of trustees decides to retain the faculty member, or if the trustees’ decision to dismiss a faculty member is reversed by a court, all evidence concerning the dismissal will be removed from the faculty member’s permanent personnel file if the reason
for the denial of the recommendation was the president's failure to establish the facts which were the basis for the dismissal recommendation.

If the facts which were the basis for the dismissal recommendation were shown to the satisfaction of the trustees and the courts, but the dismissal recommendation was not followed because the trustees or the courts decided that the facts were not sufficient to warrant dismissal, the facts which were shown would be retained in the faculty member's permanent personnel file along with a record of the outcome of the dismissal proceeding.

If the facts are to be retained in the faculty member's permanent personnel file, the faculty member will be given an opportunity to review the facts and to write an explanation which will be retained along with the findings of fact. [Statutory Authority: RCW 28B.50.140(13). 79-08-129 (Order 79-1, Resolution 11-79), § 132B-128-050, filed 8/1/79; Order, § 132B-128-050, filed 3/28/73.]

Chapter 132B-300 WAC
GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE

WAC
132B-300-010 Statement of policy.
132B-300-020 Grievance procedure.

WAC 132B-300-010 Statement of policy. Grays Harbor Community College is covered by Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 prohibiting sex discrimination in education and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 prohibiting discrimination on the basis of handicap in education. It is the policy of Grays Harbor Community College to ensure equal opportunity without regard to sex or handicap status in all areas of admission, education, application for employment, and employment.

A grievance procedure is required by Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 and section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. [Statutory Authority: Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 and section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. 78-12-065 (Order 78-1, Resolution 17-78), § 132B-300-010, filed 12/1/78.]

WAC 132B-300-020 Grievance procedure. (1) Any applicant for admission, enrolled student, applicant for employment or employee of Grays Harbor Community College who believes he/she has been discriminated against on the basis of sex or on the basis of a handicap may lodge a formal institutional grievance according to the following procedures:

(a) Step 1: Informal Meeting. The complainant may request an informal meeting with the individual believed to have committed the discriminatory act in an attempt to informally resolve the concern.

(b) Step 2: Official Hearing. If not satisfied by the results of the informal meeting, the complainant may request a meeting with the College Title IX/Handicap Officer.

(i) The request for an official hearing must be made in writing and must stipulate the specific grievance(s) the complainant wishes to raise.

(ii) Within 30 calendar days of receiving the written request, the College Title IX/Handicap Officer shall arrange a meeting to hear the complaint. It shall be at the discretion of the complainant to determine whether the officer will meet with the complainant and the person to whom the complaint has been directed separately or in a single meeting. If the complainant requests a single meeting, the meeting shall be attended by the complainant, the person to whom the complaint is directed, and the college officer, who will chair the meeting.

(iii) Following the hearing and, within 30 calendar days of receiving the written request, the college officer will report his/her findings in writing to both the complainant and the person to whom the complaint has been directed.

(c) Step 3: Presidential Appeal. If the complaint is not resolved as a result of the hearing conducted by the college Title IX/Handicap Officer, either the complainant or the person to whom the complaint is directed may request an appeal to the college president.

(i) The request must be made in writing within 10 days after receipt of the written results of the Step 2 Official Hearing.

(ii) Within 15 days after receiving the request, the college president or the president's designee will conduct the Presidential Appeal Hearing and report the findings in writing to both the complainant and the person to whom the complaint is directed.

(iii) Attendance at the Presidential Appeal Hearing shall be limited to the college president or designee, the Title IX/Handicap Officer, the complainant, and the person to whom the complaint is directed unless otherwise mutually agreed by the parties. The college president or presidential designee shall preside.

(iv) Either the complainant or the person to whom the complaint is directed may call witnesses at the discretion of the person presiding.

(v) The written findings of the Presidential Appeal will be considered final. No further intra-institutional appeal exists.

(2) If desired, inquiries or appeals beyond the institutional level may be directed to:

(a) Regional Director, Office of Civil Rights, HEW, 1321 Second Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

(b) The Equal Opportunity Commission, 705 Second Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.
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