ingon, whether the services are under continued scientific testing and research, whether the services show a demonstrable benefit for a particular illness or disease, and whether they are proven to be safe and efficacious."

(b) "In determining whether services are experimental or investigational, we will consider whether the services result in greater benefits for a particular illness or disease than other generally available services, and do not pose a significant risk to health or safety of the patient."

The supporting documentation upon which the criteria are established must be made available for inspection upon written request in all instances and may not be withheld as proprietary.

(3) Every group disability insurer that denies a request for benefits or that refuses to approve a request to preauthorize services, whether made in writing or through other claim presentation or preauthorization procedures set out in the policy and any certificate of coverage thereunder, because of an experimental or investigational exclusion or limitation, must do so in writing within twenty working days of receipt of a fully documented request. The group disability insurer may extend the review period beyond twenty days only with the informed written consent of the covered individual. The denial letter must identify by name and job title the individual making the decision and fully disclose:

(a) The basis for the denial of benefits or refusal to preauthorize services;

(b) The procedure through which the decision to deny benefits or to refuse to preauthorize services may be appealed;

(c) What information the appellant is required to submit with the appeal; and

(d) The specific time period within which the company will reconsider its decision.

(4) (a) Every group disability insurer must establish a reasonable procedure under which denials of benefits or refusals to preauthorize services because of an experimental or investigational exclusion or limitation may be appealed. The appeals procedure may be considered reasonable if it provides that:

(i) A final determination must be made and provided to the appellant in writing within twenty working days of receipt of the fully documented appeal. The group disability insurer may extend the review period beyond twenty days only with the informed written consent of the covered individual;

(ii) The appeal must be reviewed by a person or persons qualified by reasons of training, experience and medical expertise to evaluate it; and

(iii) The appeal must be reviewed by a person or persons other than the person or persons making the initial decision to deny benefits or to refuse to preauthorize services.

(b) When the initial decision to deny benefits or to refuse to preauthorize services is upheld upon appeal, the written notice shall set forth:

(i) The basis for the denial of benefits or refusal to preauthorize services; and

(ii) The name and professional qualifications of the person or persons reviewing the appeal.

(c) Disclosure of the existence of an appeal procedure shall be made by the group disability insurer in each policy and any certificate of coverage issued thereunder which contains an experimental or investigational exclusion or limitation.

(5) Whenever a covered person appeals the insurer's decision and delay would jeopardize the covered person's life or health, the group disability insurer must follow the appeal procedures and time frames in WAC 284-43-620(2).

[Statutory Authority: RCW 48.02.060, 48.18.120, 48.20.450, 48.20.460, 48.30.010, 48.43.055, 48.44.050, 48.46.100 and 48.46.200. 99-24-075 (Matter No. R 98-17), § 284-96-015, filed 11/29/99, effective 12/30/99. Statutory Authority: RCW 48.02.060 (3)(a) and 48.18.120. 92-21-100 (Order R 92-16), § 284-96-015, filed 10/21/92, effective 11/21/92.]

Title 286 WAC
INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION

Chapters
286-26 Nonhighway road and off-road vehicle funds.

Chapter 286-26 WAC
NONHIGHWAY ROAD AND OFF-ROAD VEHICLE FUNDS

WAC
286-26-100 Development projects—Conversion to other uses.

WAC 286-26-100 Development projects—Conversion to other uses. (1) Without prior approval of the committee, a facility developed with money granted by the committee shall not be converted to a use other than that for which funds were originally approved.

(2) The committee shall only approve such a conversion under conditions which assure that:

(a) All practical alternatives to the conversion have been evaluated and rejected on a sound basis;

(b) A new development, in the spirit of WAC 286-13-080 ("...aid through the committee is intended to supplement the existing capacity of a sponsor..."), will serve as a replacement which:

(i) Is of reasonably equivalent recreation utility and location;

(ii) Will be administered by the same political jurisdiction as the converted development;

(iii) Will satisfy need(s) identified in the sponsor's NOVA plan (see WAC 286-26-080); and

(iv) Includes only elements eligible under the committee's program from which funds were originally allocated.

(3) A master agreement signed by the parties shall control the provision of funds granted by the committee for facility developments to any federal agency sponsor.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 46.09.240. 99-16-009, § 286-26-100, filed 7/22/99, effective 8/22/99. Statutory Authority: RCW 43.98A.060(1), 48.02.060, 48.18.120, 48.20.450, 48.20.460, 48.30.010, 48.43.055, 48.44.050, 48.46.100 and 48.46.200. 99-24-075 (Matter No. R 98-17), § 284-96-015, filed 11/29/99, effective 12/30/99. Statutory Authority: RCW 48.02.060 (3)(a) and 48.18.120. 92-21-100 (Order R 92-16), § 284-96-015, filed 10/21/92, effective 11/21/92.]
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Chapter 292-100 WAC PROCEDURAL RULES

WAC 292-100-005 Purpose. The purpose of this chapter is to promulgate executive ethics board rules concerning complaints, investigations, and hearings pursuant to RCW 42.52.410, 42.52.420, 42.52.430, 42.52.470, and 42.52.500.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 42.52.360 (2)(b). 99-06-073, § 292-100-005, filed 3/2/99, effective 4/2/99.]

WAC 292-100-006 Adoption of model rules of procedure. The model rules of procedure, chapter 10-08 WAC, adopted by the chief administrative law judge pursuant to RCW 34.05.250, as now or hereafter amended, are hereby adopted for use by the board. In the case of a conflict between the model rules of procedure and procedural rules adopted in this chapter, the procedural rules adopted by the board shall take precedence.


WAC 292-100-007 Definitions. Unless the context clearly requires otherwise, the definitions in this section apply throughout this chapter.

(1) "Board staff" shall include the executive secretary, the investigator, attorneys who bring cases before the board, and the board clerk.

(2) "Complainant" means a person who has filed a complaint with the board.

(3) "Employing agency" means the former or current state agency of the respondent during the time the alleged violation occurred.

(4) "Party" includes the board staff and the respondent. The respondent may be represented in any matter filed under chapter 42.52 RCW by an attorney or an exclusive bargaining representative. If the respondent is represented by a person who is not an attorney, the representation shall conform to the standards of ethical conduct required of attorneys before the courts of the state of Washington.

(5) "Preliminary investigation" refers to the confidential fact-finding investigation that occurs before the board's determination of reasonable cause.

(6) "Presiding officer" refers to the board chair, vice chair, a board member designated as presiding officer by the chair or vice chair, or an administrative law judge.

(7) "Respondent" means a current or former state officer or state employee alleged to have violated chapter 42.52 RCW by a complainant.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 42.52.360 (2)(b). 99-06-073, § 292-100-007, filed 3/2/99, effective 4/2/99.]

WAC 292-100-010 Initiation of complaint. (1) A complaint alleging a violation of chapter 42.52 RCW may be filed by:

(a) Any person;
(b) The board;
(2) If a member of the board or the board's staff files a complaint in his or her individual capacity, the board member or staff member shall be disqualified from acting in his or her official capacity with regard to the disposition of that complaint.

(3) Other agencies may refer information about possible violations of chapter 42.52 RCW to the board for consideration. The board may file a complaint if appropriate.

(4) Complaints initiated by the board shall be signed on behalf of the board by the executive secretary.


WAC 292-100-020 Complaint procedures—Status of complainant and others. (1) When a complaint has been filed with the board, neither the complainant, if other than board, nor any other person shall have special standing to participate or intervene in the investigation or consideration of the complaint by the board. The complainant is not a party to an ethics case for any purpose; however, the board staff will give notice to the complainant and the employing agency of any open board hearings on the matter.
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