Washington State Register

WSR 22-12-015 PROPOSED RULES SECRETARY OF STATE

[Filed May 20, 2022, 12:42 p.m.]

Original Notice.

Preproposal statement of inquiry was filed as WSR 22-08-096. Title of Rule and Other Identifying Information: Protecting coun-

ty vote counting systems from unauthorized access.

Hearing Location(s): On July 5, 2022, at 1:00 p.m., at 520 Union Avenue, Olympia, 98504. The hearing will be conducted using [Microsoft] Teams. To join the hearing, call phone 1-206-899-2560 and enter the Conference ID 267 267 330#. People will be able to hear and comment.

Date of Intended Adoption: July 6, 2022.

Submit Written Comments to: Fina Ormond, P.O. Box 40229, Olympia, WA 98504, email fina.ormond@sos.wa.gov, fax 360-664-4169.

Assistance for Persons with Disabilities: Contact Fina Ormond, phone 360-902-4146, fax 360-664-4169, email Fina.ormond@sos.wa.gov.

Purpose of the Proposal and Its Anticipated Effects, Including Any Changes in Existing Rules: The rule change is necessary to protect county vote counting systems from unauthorized access, provide clear requirements related to access and operation of vote counting systems, and clarify prohibition against allowing vote counting access to non-authorized parties.

Reasons Supporting Proposal: Consistency in operation in all county election offices within the state, and enhancing security of the ballot counting process.

Statutory Authority for Adoption: RCW 29A.04.230, 29A.04.611, 29A.12.190, 29A.12.200, 42.56.420.

Rule is not necessitated by federal law, federal or state court decision.

Name of Proponent: Randy Bolerjack, deputy secretary of state, governmental.

Name of Agency Personnel Responsible for Drafting, Implementation, and Enforcement: Stuart Holmes, Olympia, 360-902-4151.

A school district fiscal impact statement is not required under RCW 28A.305.135.

A cost-benefit analysis is not required under RCW 34.05.328. This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act because the proposal:

Is exempt under RCW 19.85.025(3) as the rules relate only to internal governmental operations that are not subject to violation by a nongovernment party.

May 20, 2022 Randy Bolerjack Deputy Secretary of State

OTS-3705.1

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 05-18-022, filed 8/29/05, effective 9/29/05)

- WAC 434-335-260 Decertification of voting systems and vote tabulating systems. (1) The secretary of state may decertify a voting system or vote tabulating system or any component thereof and withdraw authority for its future use or sale in Washington if, at any time after certification the secretary of state determines that:
- (a) The system or component fails to meet the standards set forth in applicable federal guidelines or state statutes or rules;
- (b) The system or component was materially misrepresented in the certification application; $((\frac{or}{}))$
- (c) The applicant has installed unauthorized modifications to the certified software or hardware; or
- (d) The system or component was operated or accessed in Washington state at any time by anyone other than an authorized staff member of the office of the secretary of state, an authorized individual employed by the county that has been delegated any task under RCW 29A.60.140(2), for the purposes of preparation, maintenance, and operation under RCW 29A.12.060, an independent testing authority designated by the United States election assistance commission, or an independent testing authority and the test plan has received approval by both the county auditor and secretary of state.
- (i) County auditors shall not provide physical, electronic, or internal access to third parties seeking to copy and/or conduct an examination of state-certified voting systems, or any components of such systems including, but not limited to: Voting software and systems, tabulators, scanners, counters, automatic tabulating equipment, voting devices, servers, ballot marking devices, paper ballot printers, portable memory media devices, and any other hardware, software, or devices being used as part of the voting system.
- (ii) If access described in (d)(i) of this subsection occurs, those pieces of voting equipment will be considered no longer secure or reliable to use in subsequent elections. As a result, the incidents will be treated as a security breach under RCW 29A.12.180 and the office of the secretary of state may decertify the use of the system or component.
- (2) The secretary of state must provide written notice of intent to decertify to the original applicant or its successor, if known, to all county auditors, and to the public. The notice must specify the reasons why the certification of the system may be rescinded. The applicant or successor or any county auditor may, within thirty days after the issuance of the notice, file with the secretary of state a written explanation as to why the system or component should not be decertified. The secretary of state may extend or shorten the time for filing of a written explanation for good cause. After reviewing the explanation, the secretary of state may either discontinue the decertification process, in which case the system or component remains certified, or schedule a public hearing pursuant to subsection (3) of this section. If no explanation is timely filed, the secretary of state may either discontinue the decertification process or issue a final order pursuant to subsection (4) of this section.
- (3) A decertification proceeding shall constitute an adjudicative proceeding pursuant to chapter 34.05 RCW.
- (a) The secretary of state adopts the model rules of procedure as set forth in chapter 10-08 WAC, except as they may be inconsistent with this chapter. The proceeding may be conducted as an emergency ad-

judicative proceeding pursuant to RCW 34.05.479 if the secretary of state finds that immediate action is required to preserve the integrity of the electoral process.

- (b) The secretary of state shall designate the presiding officer.
- (c) The certification remains valid pending resolution of the administrative proceeding, unless the secretary of state finds, following notice and opportunity for written or oral input, which may be expedited, that the public interest requires that the decertification should take effect on a temporary basis pending hearing.
- (d) The argument in favor of decertification may be presented by an employee of the secretary of state or by an assistant attorney general. Other parties may be represented by a certified election administrator or by any person permitted to appear by (($\frac{WAC}{434-180-560}$)) the county auditor.
- (4) The presiding officer or secretary shall enter an order specifying the system or component at issue, whether or not it is decertified, the effective date of any decertification, and explain the basis for the decision. The effective date of decertification shall not be less than five days after the entry of the order, but may be delayed to any reasonable date. An order issued by the secretary pursuant to subsection (2) of this section is a final order. An order issued by the presiding officer is regarded as an initial order unless the secretary of state, assistant secretary of state, deputy secretary of state, or director of elections presides, in which case the decision of the presiding officer shall be final and no further review is available within the agency.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 29A.04.611. WSR 05-18-022, \$434-335-260, filed 8/29/05, effective 9/29/05.]